arXiv:math/0407439v1 [math.AG] 26 Jul 2004

Semidirect products of groups of loops and groups of diffeomorphisms of real, complex and quaternion manifolds, their representations.

S.V. Ludkovsky.

29 June 2004

Abstract

This article is devoted to the investigation of semidirect products of groups of loops and groups of homeomorphisms or groups of diffeomorphisms of finite and infinite dimensional real, complex and quaternion manifolds. Necessary statements about quaternion manifolds with quaternion holomorphic transition mappings between charts of atlases are proved. It is shown, that these groups exist and have the infinite dimensional Lie groups structure, that is, they are continuous or differentiable manifolds and the composition $(f,g) \mapsto f^{-1}g$ is continuous or differentiable depending on a class of smoothness of groups. Moreover, it is demonstrated that in the cases of complex and quaternion manifolds these groups have structures of complex and quaternion manifolds respectively. Nevertheless, it is proved that these groups does not necessarily satisfy the Campbell-Hausdorff formula even locally besides the exceptional case of a group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of a compact complex manifold. Unitary representations of these groups G' including irreducible are constructed with the help of quasi-invariant measures on groups G relative to dense subgroups G'. It is proved, that this procedure provides a family of the cardinality $card(\mathbf{R})$ of pairwise nonequivalent irreducible unitary representations. A differentiabilty of such representations is studied.

1 Introduction.

Gaussian quasi-invariant measures on loop groups and diffeomorphism groups of Riemannian manifolds were investigated earlier (by the author) in [41, 43, 44]. Traditionally geometric loop groups are considered as families of mappings f from the unit circle S^1 to a manifold N which map a marked point $s_0 \in S^1$ to a marked point y_0 of N. In fact these mappings are defined as equivalence classes in the group of N-valued C^∞-diffeomorphisms on S^1, where two mappings f_1 and f_2 are called equivalent if there exists a C^∞-diffeomorphism $\varphi : S^1 \to S^1$ preserving the orientation such that $f_1 = f_2 \circ \varphi$ and equivalence classes are closures of such families of equivalent mappings. This concept was generalized and investigated in [41] to include loop groups as families of C^∞-mappings from one Riemannian manifold M to another N, which "preserve marked points" $s_0 \in M$ and $y_0 \in N$. Here again equivalence classes under appropriate C^∞-diffeomorphisms are used. This is done via the construction of an Abelian group from a commutative monoid with a unit together with the cancellation property which is available under rather mild conditions on the finite or infinite dimensional manifolds M and N. In this paper besides Riemannian manifolds also groups of loops of complex and quaternion manifolds are investigated.

Groups of loops and groups of diffeomorphisms of quaternion manifolds are defined and investigated here for the first time. It is necessary to note, that semidirect products of these groups also were not earlier considered.

Holomorphic functions of quaternion variables were investigated in [47, 48]. There specific definition of superdifferentiability was considered, because the quaternion skew field has the graded algebra structure. This definition of superdifferentiability does not impose the condition of right or left superlinearity of a superdifferential, since it leads to narrow class of functions. There are some articles on quaternion manifolds, but practically they undermine a complex manifold with additional quaternion structure of its tangent space (see, for example, [56, 75] and references therein). Therefore, quaternion manifolds as they are defined below were not considered earlier by others authors (see also [46]). Applications of quaternions in mathematics and physics can be found in [17, 23, 24, 40].

If we consider the composition of two nontrivial C^n-loops pinned in the marked point s_0, where $n \geq 1$, then the resulting loop is continuous, but in general not of class C^n. This can easily be seen from examples of loops on the unit circle S^1 and the unit sphere S^2. If e.g. $f : S^1 \to S^2$ is a C^n-loop, with $n \geq 1$. Then f and f' are continuous functions, which may be considered to be defined on the interval. Then $f(0) = f(1)$ and $\lim_{\theta \downarrow 0} f'(\theta) = \lim_{\theta \uparrow 1} f'(\theta) =: f'(0)$. There exists another C^n-loop g such that $g(0) = f(0)$, but with $g'(0) \neq f'(0)$. As an example of a non-C^1 continuous loop h we concatenate f with g to obtain the loop $h(\theta) := f(2\theta)$ for each $\theta \in [0, 1/2)$ and $h(\theta) := g(2\theta - 1)$ for each $\theta \in [1/2, 1]$. Such mappings h are generally speaking only piecewise C^n-continuous and continuous. Another reason that, although $S^n \vee S^n$ is a retract of S^n, the manifolds $S^n \vee S^n$ and S^n are not diffeomorphic. In addition, there exists a continuous mapping from $S^1 \times S^n$ onto S^{n+1}, but this mapping is not a diffeomorphism (see [71]). Naturally, smooth compositions of mappings between loop monoids and loop groups manifolds with corners (with the corresponding atlases) are being used. Such compositions and mappings permit us to define topological loop monoids and topological loop groups. Below the reader will find two other reasons to consider manifolds with corners.

A commutative monoid is not a free (pinned) loop space, because it is obtained from the latter by factorization. In order to construct loop groups, the underlying manifold has to satisfy some mild conditions. Finite dimensional manifolds are supposed to be compact. This condition is not very restrictive, because each locally compact space can be embedded into its Alexandroff (one-point) compactification (see Theorem 3.5.11 in [18]). If the manifold M is infinite dimensional over $\mathbf{C}$, then it is assumed, that M is embedded as a closed bounded subset in a corresponding Banach space X_M. In order to define a group structure on a quotient space of a free loop space, such an embedding is required.

Let M and N be complex or real manifolds with marked points $s_0 \in M$ and $y_0 \in N$ respectively. By definition the free loop space of these pointed manifolds consists of those continuous mappings $f : M \to N$ which are (piecewise) holomorphic in the complex case or C^∞ (in the real case) on $M \setminus M'$, where M' is a submanifold of M (which may depend on f) of codimension 1 in M, and which have the property that $f(s_0) = y_0$. There are at least two reasons to consider such a class of mappings. The first one being the fact that compositions of elements of a loop group can be defined correctly. The second reason is the existence of an isoperimetric inequality (for holomorphic loops) which causes a loop, which is close to a constant loop $w_0 : M \to \{y_0\}$, to be constant in a neighbourhood of s_0 (see Remark 3.2 in

[29]).

In this article loop groups of different classes of smoothness are considered. Classes analogous to Gevrey classes and also with the usage of Sobolev classes of $f : M \setminus M' \to N$ are considered for the construction of dense loop subgroups and quasi-invariant measures. Henceforth, we consider not only orientable manifolds M and N, but also nonorientable manifolds. Loop commutative monoids with the cancellation property are quotients of families of mappings f from M into a manifold N with $f(s_0) = y_0$ by the corresponding equivalence relation. For the definition of the equivalence relation groups of holomorphic diffeomorphisms are not used here, because of strong restrictions on their structure caused by holomorphicity (see Theorems 1 and 2 in [7]). Groups are constructed from monoids via the algebraic procedure, which was possibly first described by A. Grothendieck in an abstract context used in algebraic topology not meaning concrete groups related with those investigated in this paper.

Loop groups are Abelian, non-locally compact for $dim_{\mathbf{R}} N > 1$ and for them the Campbell-Hausdorff formula is not valid (in an open local subgroup). Apart from them, finite dimensional Lie groups satisfy locally the Campbell-Hausdorff formula. This is guarantied, if impose on a locally compact topological Hausdorff group G two conditions: it is a C^∞-manifold and the mapping $(f, g) \mapsto f \circ g^{-1}$ from $G \times G$ into G is of class C^∞. But for infinite dimensional G the Campbell-Hausdorff formula does not follow from these conditions. Frequently topological Hausdorff groups satisfying these two conditions are also called Lie groups, though they can not have all properties of finite dimensional Lie groups, so that the Lie algebras for them do not play the same role as in the finite dimensional case and therefore Lie algebras are not so helpful. If G is a Lie group and its tangent space T_eG is a Banach space, then it is called a Banach-Lie group, sometimes it is undermined, that they satisfy the Campbell-Hausdorff formula locally for a Banach-Lie algebra T_eG. In some papers the Lie group terminology undermines, that it is finite dimensional. It is worthwhile to call Lie groups satisfying the Campbell-Hausdorff formula locally (in an open local subgroup) by Lie groups in the narrow sense; in the contrary case to call them by Lie groups in the broad sense.

The problem to investigate unitary representations of nonlocally compact groups with the help of quasi-invariant measures was formulated in sixties of the 20-th century by I.M. Gelfand [69]. For construction of quasi-invariant measures on groups it can be used a local diffeomorphism of a Lie group as a manifold with its tangent space, where diffeomorphism need not be preserving group structure and may be other than the exponential mapping of a manifold. On tangent space it is possible to take a quasi-invariant measure, for example, Gaussian or a transition measure of a stochastic process, for example, Brownian (see [69, 44] and references therein). General theorems about quasi-invariance and differentiabilty of transition probabilities on the Lie group G relative to a dense subgroup G' were given in [6, 12], but they permit a finding of G' only abstractly and when a local subgroup of G satisfies the Campbell-Hausdorff formula. For Lie groups which do not satisfy the Campbell-Hausdorff formula even locally this question has been remaining open, as it was pointed out by Belopolskaya and Dalecky in Chapter 6. They have proposed in such cases to investigate concrete Lie groups that to find pairs G and G'. On the other hand, the groups considered in the present article do not satisfy the Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

Below loop groups and diffeomorphism groups and their semidirect products are considered not only for finite dimensional, but also for infinite dimensional manifolds.

In particular, loop and diffeomorphism groups are important for the development of the representation theory of non-locally compact groups. Their representation theory has many differences with the traditional representation theory of locally compact groups and finite dimensional Lie groups, because non-locally compact groups have not C^*-algebras associated with the Haar measures and they have not underlying Lie algebras and relations between representations of groups and underlying algebras (see also [42]).

In view of the A. Weil theorem if a topological Hausdorff group G has a quasi-invariant measure relative to the entire G, then G is locally compact. Since loop groups $(L^M N)_\xi$ are not locally compact, they can not have quasi-invariant measures relative to the entire group, but only relative to proper subgroups G' which can be chosen dense in $(L^M N)_\xi$, where an index ξ indicates on a class of smoothness. The same is true for diffeomorphism groups (besides holomorphic diffeomorphism groups of compact complex manifolds). Diffeomorphism groups of compact complex manifolds are finite dimensional Lie groups (see [36] and references therein). It is necessary to note that there are quite another groups with the same name loop groups, but they are infinite dimensional Banach-Lie groups of C^∞-mappings $f : M \to H$ into a finite dimensional Lie group H with the pointwise group multiplication of mappings with values in H such that $C^\infty(M, H)$ satisfies locally the Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

The traditional geometric loop groups and free loop spaces are important both in mathematics and in modern physical theories. Moreover, generalized geometric loop groups also can be used in the same fields of sciences and open new opportunities. In the cohomology theory and physical applications stochastic processes on the free loop spaces are used [16, 32, 49]. In these papers were considered only particular cases of real free loop spaces and groups for finite dimensional manifolds N for mappings from S^1 into N. No any applications to the representation theory were given there.

On the other hand, representation theory of non-locally compact groups is little developed apart from the case of locally compact groups (see [5, 19] and references therein). In particular, geometric loop and diffeomoprphism groups have important applications in modern physical theories (see [31, 50] and references therein). Groups of loops and groups of diffemorphisms are also intesively used in gauge theory. Loop groups defined below with the help of families of mappings from a manifold M into another manifold N with a dimension $dim(M) > 1$ can be used in the membrane theory which is the generalization of the string (superstring) theory.

One of the main tools in the investigation of unitary represenations of nonlocally compact groups are quasi-invariant measures. In previous works of the author [43, 44] Gaussian quasi-invariant measures were constructed on diffeomorphism groups with some conditions on real manifolds. For example, compact manifolds without boundary were not considered, as well as infinite dimensional manifolds with boundary. In this article new Gevrey-Sobolev classes of smoothness for diffeomorphism groups of infinite dimensional real, complex and quaternion manifolds are defined and investigated. This permits to define on them the Hilbert manifold structure. This in its turn simplifies the construction of stochastic processes and transition quasi-invariant probabilities on them. Transition probabilities are constructed below, which are quasi-invariant, for wider classes of manifolds. Pairs of topological groups G and their dense subgroups G' are described precisely. Then measures are used for the study of associated unitary regular and induced representations of dense subgroups G'.

Section 2 is devoted to the definitions of topological and manifold structures of loop groups and diffeomorphism groups and their semidirect products and their dense subgroups. For this necessary statements about structures of quaternion manifolds are proved in Propositions 2.1.3.3, 4, Theorems 2.1.3.6, 7, 9, Lemmas 2.1.6, 2.1.6.2. The existence of these groups is proved and that they are infinite dimensional Lie groups not satisfying even locally the Campbell-Hausdorff formula besides the degenerate case of groups of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of compact complex manifolds (see Theorems 2.1.4.1, 2.1.7, 2.2.1, 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, Lemmas 2.5, 2.5.1, 2.6.2, 2.7). In the cases of complex and quaternion manifolds it is proved that they have structures of complex and quaternion manifolds respectively. Their structure as manifolds and groups is studied not only for orientable manifolds, but also for nonorientable manifolds M or N over $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{H}$ (see Theorem 2.1.8). In Section 3 transition quasi-invariant differentiable probabilities are studied (see Theorem 3.3). Unitary representations of dense subgroups G' founded in Sections 2 and 3 are investigated in Section 4. All objects given in Sections 2-3 were not considered by other authors, besides very specific particular cases of the diffeomorphism group of real and complex finite dimensional manifolds and loop groups for $M = S^1$ outlined above. In Section 4 unitary representations including topologically irreducible of semidirect products and constituing them subgroups are investigated. It is proved, that this procedure provides a family of the cardinality $card(\mathbf{R})$ of pairwise nonequivalent irreducible unitary representations. A differentiability of such representations is studied. Then a usefulness of differentiable representations is illustrated for construction of representations of the corresponding algebras.

All results of this paper are obtained for the first time.

2 Semidirect products of groups of loops and groups of diffeomorphisms of finite and infinite dimensional manifolds.

To avoid misunderstandings we first give our definitions of manifolds considered here and then of loop and diffeomorphism groups.

2.1.1. Remark. An atlas $At(M) = \{(U_j, \phi_j) : j\}$ of a manifold M on a Banach space X over $\mathbf{R}$ is called uniform, if its charts satisfy the following conditions:
$(U1)$ for each $x \in G$ there exist neighbourhoods $U^2_x \subset U^1_x \subset U_j$ such that for each $y \in U^2_x$ there is the inclusion $U^2_x \subset U^1_y$;

$(U2)$ the image $\phi_j(U^2_x) \subset X$ contains a ball of the fixed positive radius $\phi_j(U^2_x) \supset B(X,0,r) := \{y : y \in X, \|y\| \le r\}$;
$(U3)$ for each pair of intersecting charts (U_1,ϕ_1) and (U_2,ϕ_2) connecting mappings $F_{\phi_2,\phi_1} = \phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1}$ are such that $\sup_x \|F'_{\phi_2,\phi_1}(x)\| \le C$ and $\sup_x \|F'_{\phi_1,\phi_2}(x)\| \le C$, where $C = const > 0$ does not depend on ϕ_1 and ϕ_2. For the diffeomorphism group $Diff^t_{\beta,\gamma}(M)$ and loop groups $(L^M N)_\xi$ we also suppose that manifolds satisfy conditions of [41, 43, 44] such that these groups are separable, but here let M and N may be with a boundary, where
$(N1)$ N is of class not less, than (strongly) C^∞ and such that $sup_{x\in S_{j,l}}\|F^{(n)}_{\psi_j,\psi_l}(x)\| \le C_n$ for each $0 \le n \in \mathbf{Z}$, when $V_{j,l} \ne \emptyset$, $C_n > 0$ are constants, $At(N) := \{(V_j,\psi_j) : j\}$ denotes an atlas of N, $V_{j,l} := V_j \cap V_l$ are intersections of charts, $S_{j,l} := \psi_l(V_{j,l})$, $\bigcup_j V_j = N$.

Conditions $(U1-U3, N1)$ are supposed to be satisfied for the manifold N for each loop group, as well as for the manifold M for each diffeomorphism group. Certainly, classes of smoothness of manifolds are supposed to be not less than that of groups.

2.1.2.1. Definition. A canonical closed subset Q of the Euclidean space $X = \mathbf{R^n}$ or of the standard separable Hilbert space $X = l_2(\mathbf{R})$ over $\mathbf{R}$ is called a quadrant if it can be given by the condition $Q := \{x \in X : q_j(x) \ge 0\}$, where $(q_j : j \in \Lambda_Q)$ are linearly independent elements of the topologically adjoint space X^*. Here $\Lambda_Q \subset \mathbf{N}$ (with $card(\Lambda_Q) = k \le n$ when $X = \mathbf{R^n}$) and k is called the index of Q. If $x \in Q$ and exactly j of the q_i's satisfy $q_i(x) = 0$ then x is called a corner of index j.

If X is an additive group and also left and right module over $\mathbf{H}$ with the corresponding associativity and distributivity laws then it is called the vector space over $\mathbf{H}$. In particular $l_2(\mathbf{H})$ consisting of all sequences $x = \{x_n \in \mathbf{H} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ with the finite norm $\|x\| < \infty$ and scalar product $(x,y) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n y_n^*$ with $\|x\| := (x,x)^{1/2}$ is called the Hilbert space (of separable type) over $\mathbf{H}$, where z^* denotes the conjugated quaternion, $zz^* =: |z|^2$, $z \in \mathbf{H}$. Since the unitary space $X = \mathbf{C^n}$ or the separable Hilbert space $l_2(\mathbf{C})$ over $\mathbf{C}$ or the quaternion space $X = \mathbf{H^n}$ or the separable Hilbert space $l_2(\mathbf{H})$ over $\mathbf{H}$ while considered over the field $\mathbf{R}$ is isomorphic with $X_\mathbf{R} := \mathbf{R^{2n}}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{R})$ or $\mathbf{R^{4n}}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{R})$ respectively, then the above definition also describes quadrants in $\mathbf{C^n}$ and $l_2(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathbf{H^n}$ and in $l_2(\mathbf{H})$. In the latter case we also consider generalized quadrants as canonical closed subsets which can be given by $Q := \{x \in X_\mathbf{R} : q_j(x + a_j) \ge 0, a_j \in X_\mathbf{R}, j \in \Lambda_Q\}$, where $\Lambda_Q \subset \mathbf{N}$ $(card(\Lambda_Q) = k \in \mathbf{N}$ when $dim_\mathbf{R} X_\mathbf{R} < \infty)$.

2.1.2.2. Notation. If for each open subset $U \subset Q \subset X$ a function $f : Q \to Y$ for Banach spaces X and Y over $\mathbf{R}$ has continuous Frechét differentials $D^\alpha f|_U$ on U with $\sup_{x \in U} \|D^\alpha f(x)\|_{L(X^\alpha, Y)} < \infty$ for each $0 \le \alpha \le r$ for an integer $0 \le r$ or $r = \infty$, then f belongs to the class of smoothness $C^r(Q, Y)$, where $0 \le r \le \infty$, $L(X^k, Y)$ denotes the Banach space of bounded k-linear operators from X into Y.

2.1.2.3. Definition. A differentiable mapping $f : U \to U'$ is called a diffeomorphism if

(i) f is bijective and there exist continuous mappings f' and $(f^{-1})'$, where U and U' are interiors of quadrants Q and Q' in X.

In the complex case and in the quaternion case we consider bounded generalized quadrants Q and Q' in $\mathbf{C^n}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{C})$ and in $\mathbf{H^n}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{H})$ respectively such that they are domains with piecewise C^∞-boundaries. We impose additional conditions on the diffeomorphism f in the complex case:

(ii) $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ on U,

(iii) f and all its strong (Frechét) differentials (as multilinear operators) are bounded on U, where ∂f and $\bar{\partial} f$ are differential $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ forms respectively, $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ is an exterior derivative. In particular, for $z = (z^1, ..., z^n) \in \mathbf{C^n}$, $z^j \in \mathbf{C}$, $z^j = x^{2j-1} + ix^{2j}$ and $x^{2j-1}, x^{2j} \in \mathbf{R}$ for each $j = 1, ..., n$, $i = (-1)^{1/2}$, there are expressions: $\partial f := \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial f / \partial z^j) dz^j$, $\bar{\partial} f := \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial f / \partial \bar{z}^j) d\bar{z}^j$. In the infinite dimensional case there are equations: $(\partial f)(e_j) = \partial f / \partial z^j$ and $(\bar{\partial} f)(e_j) = \partial f / \partial \bar{z}^j$, where $\{e_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is the standard orthonormal base in $l_2(\mathbf{C})$, $\partial f / \partial z^j = (\partial f / \partial x^{2j-1} - i \partial f / \partial x^{2j})/2$, $\partial f / \partial \bar{z}^j = (\partial f / \partial x^{2j-1} + i \partial f / \partial x^{2j})/2$.

In the quaternion case consider quaternion holomorphic diffeomorphisms f:

(iv) $\tilde{\partial} f = 0$ on U,

(v) f and all its superdifferentials (as $\mathbf{R}$-multilinear $\mathbf{H}$-additive operators) are bounded on U, where ∂f and $\tilde{\partial} f$ are differential $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ forms respectively, $d = \partial + \tilde{\partial}$ is an exterior derivative, ∂ corresponds to superdifferentiation by z and $\tilde{\partial}$ corresponds to superdifferentiation by $\tilde{z} := z^*$, $z \in U$ (see [47]).

The Cauchy-Riemann Condition (ii) in the complex case or (iv) in the quaternion case means that f on U is the complex holomorphic or quaternion holomorphic mapping respectively.

2.1.2.4. Definition and notation. A complex manifold or a quaternion manifold M with corners is defined in the usual way: it is a metric separable space modelled on $X = \mathbf{C^n}$ or $X = l_2(\mathbf{C})$ or on $X = \mathbf{H^n}$ or $X = l_2(\mathbf{H})$ respectively and is supposed to be of class C^∞. Charts on M are denoted (U_l, u_l, Q_l), that is, $u_l : U_l \to u_l(U_l) \subset Q_l$ is a C^∞-diffeomorphism for each l, U_l is open in M, $u_l \circ {u_j}^{-1}$ is biholomorphic from the domain $u_j(U_l \cap U_j) \ne \emptyset$ onto $u_l(U_l \cap U_j)$ (that is, $u_j \circ u_l^{-1}$ and $u_l \circ u_j^{-1}$ are holomorphic and bijective) and $u_l \circ u_j^{-1}$ satisfy conditions $(i - iii)$ or (i, iv, v) correspondingly from §2.1.2.3, $\bigcup_j U_j = M$.

A point $x \in M$ is called a corner of index j if there exists a chart (U, u, Q) of M with $x \in U$ and $u(x)$ is of index $ind_M(x) = j$ in $u(U) \subset Q$. A set of all corners of index $j \ge 1$ is called a border ∂M of M, x is called an inner point of M if $ind_M(x) = 0$, so $\partial M = \bigcup_{j \ge 1} \partial^j M$, where $\partial^j M := \{x \in M : ind_M(x) = j\}$.

For a real manifold with corners on the connecting mappings $u_l \circ u_j^{-1} \in C^\infty$ of real charts is imposed only Condition 2.1.2.3(i).

2.1.2.5. Definition of a submanifold with corners. A subset $Y \subset M$ is called a complex or quaternion submanifold with corners of M if for each $y \in Y$ there exists a chart (U, u, Q) of M centered at y (that is $u(y) = 0$) and there exists a quadrant $Q' \subset \mathbf{C^k}$ or in $l_2(\mathbf{C})$ or $Q' \subset \mathbf{H^k}$ or $Q' \subset l_2(\mathbf{H})$ respectively such that $Q' \subset Q$ and $u(Y \cap U) = u(U) \cap Q'$. A submanifold with corners Y of M is called neat, if an index in Y of each $y \in Y$ coincides with its index in M.

Analogous definitions are for real manifolds with corners for $\mathbf{R^k}$ and $\mathbf{R^n}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{R})$ instead of $\mathbf{C^k}$ and $\mathbf{C^n}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{C})$.

2.1.2.6. Terminology. Henceforth, the term a complex manifold or a quaternion manifold N modelled on $X = \mathbf{C^n}$ or $X = l_2(\mathbf{C})$ or on $X = \mathbf{H^n}$ or $X = l_2(\mathbf{H})$ means a metric separable space supplied with an atlas $\{(U_j, \phi_j) : j \in \Lambda_N\}$ such that:

(i) U_j is an open subset of N for each $j \in \Lambda_N$ and $\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda_N} U_j = N$, where $\Lambda_N \subset \mathbf{N}$;

(ii) $\phi_j : U_j \to \phi_j(U_j) \subset X$ is a C^∞-diffeomorphism for each j, where $\phi_j(U_j)$ is a C^∞-domain in X;

(iii) $\phi_j \circ \phi_m^{-1}$ is a complex biholomorphic or quaternion biholomorphic mapping respectively from $\phi_m(U_m \cap U_j)$ onto $\phi_j(U_m \cap U_j)$ while $U_m \cap U_j \ne \emptyset$. When $X = l_2(\mathbf{C})$ or $X = l_2(\mathbf{H})$ it is supposed, that $\phi_j \circ \phi_m^{-1}$ is Frechét (strongly) C^∞-differentiable for each j and m and certainly either condition (ii) or (iv) of §2.1.2.3 is satisfied.

2.1.3.1. Remark. Let X be either the standard separable Hilbert space

$l_2 = l_2(\mathbf{C})$ over the field $\mathbf{C}$ of complex numbers or $X = \mathbf{C^n}$ or $l_2 = l_2(\mathbf{H})$ over the skew field of quaternions or $X = \mathbf{H^n}$ correspondingly. Let $t \in \mathbf{N_o} := \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbf{N} := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ and W be a domain with a continuous piecewise C^∞-boundary ∂W in $\mathbf{R^{2m}}$ or in $\mathbf{R^{4m}}$ respectively, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, that is, W is a C^∞-manifold with corners and it is a canonical closed subset of $\mathbf{C^m}$ or of $\mathbf{H^m}$, $cl(Int(W)) = W$, where $cl(V)$ denotes the closure of V, $Int(V)$ denotes the interior of V in the corresponding topological space. As usually $H^t(W, X)$ denotes the Sobolev space of functions $f : W \to X$ for which there exists a finite norm

$\|f\|_{H^t(W,X)} := (\sum_{|\alpha| \le t} \|D^\alpha f\|^2{}_{L^2(W,X)})^{1/2} < \infty$,
where $f(x) = (f^j(x) : j \in \mathbf{N})$, $f(x) \in l_2$, $f^j(x) \in \mathbf{C}$ or $f^j(x) \in \mathbf{H}$ correspondingly, $x \in W$,

$\|f\|^2{}_{L^2(W,X)} := \int_W \|f(x)\|^2{}_X \lambda(dx)$, λ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R^{2m}}$ or on $\mathbf{R^{4m}}$ respectively, $\|z\|_{l_2} := (\sum_{j=1}^\infty |z^j|^2)^{1/2}$, $z = (z^j : j \in \mathbf{N}) \in l_2$, $z^j \in \mathbf{C}$ or $z^j \in \mathbf{H}$. Then $H^\infty(W, X) := \bigcap_{t \in \mathbf{N}} H^t(W, X)$ is a uniform space with a uniformity given by the family of norms $\{\|f\|_{H^t(W,X)} : t \in \mathbf{N}\}$.

2.1.3.2. Sobolev spaces for manifolds. Let now M be a compact Riemannian or complex or quaternion C^∞-manifold with corners with a finite atlas $At(M) := \{(U_i, \phi_i, Q_i); i \in \Lambda_M\}$, where U_i is open in M for each i, $\phi_i : U_i \to \phi_i(U_i) \subset Q_i \subset \mathbf{R^m}$ (or it is a subset in $\mathbf{C^m}$ or in $\mathbf{H^m}$ correspondingly) is a diffeomorphism (in addition holomorphic respectively as in §2.1.2.3), (U_i, ϕ_i) is a chart, $i \in \Lambda_M \subset \mathbf{N}$.

Let also N be a separable real or complex or quaternion metrizable manifold with corners modelled either on $X = \mathbf{R^n}$ or $X = l_2(\mathbf{R})$ or on $X = \mathbf{C^n}$ or $X = l_2(\mathbf{C})$ or on $X = \mathbf{H^n}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{H})$ respectively. Let (V_i, ψ_i, S_i) be charts of an atlas $At(N) := \{(V_i, \psi_i, S_i) : i \in \Lambda_N\}$ such that $\Lambda_N \subset \mathbf{N}$ and $\psi_i : V_i \to \psi_i(V_i) \subset S_i \subset X$ is a diffeomorphism for each i, V_i is open in N, $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda_N} V_i = N$. We denote by $H^t(M, N)$ the Sobolev space of functions $f : M \to N$ for which $f_{i,j} \in H^t(W_{i,j}, X)$ for each $j \in \Lambda_M$ and $i \in \Lambda_N$ for a domain $W_{i,j} \ne \emptyset$ of $f_{i,j}$, where $f_{i,j} := \psi_i \circ f \circ {\phi_j}^{-1}$, and $W_{i,j} = \phi_j(U_j \cap f^{-1}(V_i))$ is a canonical closed subset of $\mathbf{R^m}$ (or $\mathbf{C^m}$ or $\mathbf{H^m}$ respectively). The uniformity in $H^t(M, N)$ is given by the base $\{(f, g) \in (H^t(M, N))^2 : \sum_{i \in \Lambda_N, j \in \Lambda_M} \|f_{i,j} - g_{i,j}\|^2{}_{H^t(W_{i,j},X)} < \epsilon\}$, where $\epsilon > 0$, $W_{i,j}$ is a domain of $(f_{i,j} - g_{i,j})$. For $t = \infty$ as usually $H^\infty(M, N) := \bigcap_{t \in \mathbf{N}} H^t(M, N)$.

2.1.3.3. Proposition. *Let M be a quaternion manifold. Then there exists a tangent bundle TM which has the structure of the quaternion manifold* *such that each fibre T_xM is the vector space over* $\mathbf{H}$.

Proof. With the help of complex 2×2 matrices present each quaternion z, $z = \begin{pmatrix} t & u \\ -\bar{u} & \bar{t} \end{pmatrix}$, where $t, u \in \mathbf{C}$, hence each $z \in \mathbf{H}$ is also a real 4×4 matrix. Therefore, M has also the structure of real manifold. Since each quaternion holomorphic mapping is infinite differentiable (see Theorems 2.15 and 3.10 [47]), then there exists its tangent bundle TM which is C^∞-manifold such that each fibre T_xM is a tangent space, where $x \in M$, T is the tangent functor. If $At(M) = \{(U_j, \phi_j) : j\}$, then $At(TM) = \{(TU_j, T\phi_j) : j\}$, $TU_j = U_j \times X$, where X is the quaternion vector space on which M is modelled, $T(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}) = (\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}, D(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}))$ for each $U_j \cap U_k \ne \emptyset$. Each transition mapping $\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}$ is quaternion holomorphic on its domain, then its (strong) differential coincides with the superdifferential $D(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}) = D_z(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1})$, since $\tilde{\partial}(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}) = 0$. Therefore, $D(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1})$ is $\mathbf{R}$-linear and $\mathbf{H}$-additive, hence is the automorphism of the quaternion vector space X. Since $D_z(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1})$ is also quaternion holomorphic, then TM is the quaternion manifold.

2.1.3.4. Proposition. *Let M be a quaternion paracompact manifold on $X = \mathbf{H}^\mathbf{n}$ or on $X = l_2(\mathbf{H})$, where $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then M can be supplied with the quaternion Hermitian metric.*

Proof. Mention that a continuous mapping π of a Hausdorff space A into another B is called an $\mathbf{H}$-vector bundle, if

(i) $A_x := \pi^{-1}(x)$ is a vector space X over $\mathbf{H}$ for each $x \in B$ and

(ii) there exists a neighbourhood U of x and a homeomorphism $\psi : \pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times X$ such that $\psi(A_x) = \{x\} \times X$ and $\psi_x : A_x \to X$ is a $\mathbf{H}$-vector isomorphism for each $x \in B$, where $\psi^x := \psi \circ \pi_2$, $\pi_2 : U \times X \to X$ is the projection, (U, ψ) is called a local trivialization.

An $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian inner product in a vector space X over $\mathbf{H}$ is a $\mathbf{R}$-bilinear $\mathbf{H}$-biadditive form $< *, * >: X^2 \to \mathbf{H}$ such that:

(iii) $< x, x > \ge 0$ for each $x \in X$, $< x, x > = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;

(iv) $< x, y >^* = < y, x >$ for each $x, y \in X$;

(v) $< x, y + z > = < x, y > + < x, z >$, $< x + y, z > = < x, z > + < y, z >$ for each $x, y, z \in X$;

(vi) $< ax, y > = a < x, y >$ and $< x, by > = < x, y > b^*$ for each $x, y \in X$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{H}$.

If $\pi : A \to B$ is an $\mathbf{H}$-vector bundle, then an $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian metric g on A is an assignment of an $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian inner product $< *, * >_x$ to each fibre A_x such that for each open subset U in B and $\xi, \eta \in C^\infty(U, A)$ the mapping $< \xi, \eta >: U \to \mathbf{H}$ such that $< \xi, \eta > (x) = < \xi(x), \eta(x) >_x$ is C^∞. An $\mathbf{H}$-vector bundle A equipped with an $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian metric g we call an $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian vector bundle. If A is paracompact, then each its (open) covering contains a locally finite refinement (see [18]). Take a locally finite covering $\{U_j : j\}$ of B. By the supposition of this proposition $X = \mathbf{H^n}$ or $X = l_2(\mathbf{H})$. Choose a subordinated real partition of unity $\{\alpha_j : j\}$ of class C^∞: $\sum_j \alpha_j(x) = 1$ and $\alpha_j(x) \ge 0$ for each j and each $x \in B$. Therefore, there exists a frame $\{e_k : k \in \Lambda\}$ at $x \in B$, where either $\Lambda = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ or $\Lambda = \mathbf{N}$, such that $e_k \in C^\infty(U_j, A)$ for each k, $\{e_k : k \in \Lambda\}$ are $\mathbf{H}$-linearly independent at each $y \in U_j$ relative to left and right mulitplications on constants a_k, b_k from $\mathbf{H}$, that is, $\sum_k a_k e_k b_k = 0$ if and only if $\sum_k |a_k b_k| = 0$, where $x \in U_j$. Define $< \xi, \eta >^j_x := \sum_k \xi(e_k)(x)(\eta(e_k)(x))^*$ and $< \xi, \eta >_x := \sum_j \alpha_j(x) < \xi, \eta >^j_x$. If $\xi, \eta \in C^\infty(U, A)$, then the mapping $x \mapsto < \xi(x), \eta(x) >_x$ is C^∞ on U. Since $| < \xi, \eta >_x | \le \sum_j \alpha_j(x)(< \xi, \xi >^j_x)^{1/2}(< \eta, \eta >^j_x)^{1/2} < \infty$ for each $x \in B$, hence the $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian metric is correctly defined. For each $b \in \mathbf{R}$ we have $< \xi b, \eta b >_x = |b|^2 < \xi, \eta >_x$, since $\mathbf{R}$ is the centre of the algebra $\mathbf{H}$ over $\mathbf{R}$. Take in particular $\pi : TM \to M$ and this provides an $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian metric in M.

2.1.3.5. Definitions. A C^1-mapping $f : M \to N$ is called an immersion, if $rang(df|_x : T_xM \to T_{f(x)}N) = m_M$ for each $x \in M$, where $m_M := dim_{\mathbf{R}} M$. An immersion $f : M \to N$ is called an embedding, if f is bijective.

2.1.3.6. Theorem. *Let M be a compact quaternion manifold, $dim_{\mathbf{H}} M = m < \infty$. Then there exists a quaternion holomorphic embedding $\tau : M \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{2m+1}$ and a quaternion holomorphic immersion $\theta : M \to \mathbf{H}^{2m}$ correspondingly. Each continuous mapping $f : M \to \mathbf{H}^{2m+1}$ or $f : M \to \mathbf{H}^{2m}$ can be approximated by τ or θ relative to the norm $\| * \|_{C^0}$.*

Proof. Since M is compact, then it is finite dimensional over $\mathbf{H}$, $dim_{\mathbf{H}} M = m \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $dim_{\mathbf{R}} M = 4m$ is its real dimension. Choose an atlas $At'(M)$ refining initial atlas $At(M)$ of M such that (U'_j, ϕ_j) are charts of M, where each U'_j is quaternion holomorphic diffeomorphism to an interior of the unit ball $Int(B(\mathbf{H^k}, 0, 1))$, where $B(\mathbf{H^k}, y, r) := \{z \in \mathbf{H^k} : |z - y| \le r\}$. In view of compactness of M a covering $\{U'_j, j\}$ has a finite subcovering, hence $At'(M)$ can be chosen finite. Denote for convenience the latter atlas as $At(M)$. Let (U_j, ϕ_j) be the chart of the atlas $At(M)$, where U_j is open in M, hence $M \setminus U_j$ is closed in M.

Consider the space $\mathbf{H^k} \times \mathbf{R}$ as the $\mathbf{R}$-linear space $\mathbf{R^{4k+1}}$. The unit sphere $S^{4k} := S(\mathbf{R}^{4k+1}, 0, 1) := \{z \in \mathbf{R}^{4k+1} : |z| = 1\}$ in $\mathbf{H^k} \times \mathbf{R}$ can be supplied with two charts (V_1, ϕ_1) and (V_2, ϕ_2) such that $V_1 := S^{4k} \setminus \{0, ..., 0, 1\}$ and

$V_2 := S^{4k} \setminus \{0, ..., 0, -1\}$, where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are stereographic projections from poles $\{0, ..., 0, 1\}$ and $\{0, ..., 0, -1\}$ of V_1 and V_2 respectively onto $\mathbf{H^k}$. Since $z^* = -(z + JzJ + KzK + LzL)/2$ in $\mathbf{H^k}$, then $\phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1}$ (in the z-representation) is quaternion holomorphic diffeomorphism of $\mathbf{H^k} \setminus \{0\}$, but certainly with neither right nor left superlinear superdifferential $D_z(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1})$, where J, K, L are complex Pauli 2×2-matrices. Thus S^{4k} can be supplied with the structure of the quaternion manifold.

Therefore, there exists a quaternion holomorphic mapping ψ_j (that is, locally z-analytic [47]) of M into the unit sphere S^{4m} such that $\psi_j(M \setminus U_j) = \{x_j\}$ is the singleton and $\psi_j : U_j \to \psi_j(U_j)$ is the quaternion holomorphic diffeomorphism onto the open subset $\psi_j(U_j)$ in S^{4m}, $x_j \in S^{4m} \setminus \psi_j(U_j)$. There is evident embedding of $\mathbf{H^m} \times \mathbf{R}$ into $\mathbf{H^{m+1}}$. Then the mapping $\psi(z) := (\psi_1(z), ..., \psi_n(z))$ is the embedding into $(S^{4m})^n$ and hence into $\mathbf{H}^{nm+1}$, since the rank $rank[d_z\psi(z)] = 4m$ at each point $z \in M$, because $rank[d_z\psi_j(z)] = 4m$ for each $z \in U_j$ and $dim_{\mathbf{H}}\psi(U_j) \le dim_{\mathbf{H}}M = m$. Moreover, $\psi(z) \ne \psi(y)$ for each $z \ne y \in U_j$, since $\psi_j(z) \ne \psi_j(y)$. If $z \in U_j$ and $y \in M \setminus U_j$, then there exists $l \ne j$ such that $y \in U_l \setminus U_j$, $\psi_j(z) \ne \psi_j(y) = x_j$.

Let $M \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}^N$ be the quaternion holomorphic embedding as above. There is also the quaternion holomorphic embedding of M into $(S^{4m})^n$ as it is shown above, where $(S^{4m})^n$ is the quaternion manifold as the product of quaternion manifolds. Consider the bundle of all $\mathbf{H}$ straight lines $\mathbf{H}x$ in $\mathbf{H}^N$, where $x \in \mathbf{H}^N$. They compose the projective space $\mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$. Fix the standard orthonormal base $\{e_1, ..., e_N\}$ in $\mathbf{H}^N$ and projections on $\mathbf{H}$-linear subspaces relative to this base $P^L(x) := \sum_{e_j \in L} x_j e_j$ for the $\mathbf{H}$-linear span $L = span_{\mathbf{H}}\{e_i : i \in \Lambda_L\}$, $\Lambda_L \subset \{1, ..., N\}$, where $x = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j e_j$, $x_j \in \mathbf{H}$ for each j, $e_j = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$ with 1 at j-th place. In this base consider the $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian scalar product $< x, y >:= \sum_{j=1}^N x_j y_j^*$. Let $l \in \mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$, take a $\mathbf{H}$-hyperplane denoted by $\mathbf{H}_l^{N-1}$ and given by the condition: $< x, [l] >= 0$ for each $x \in \mathbf{H}_l^{N-1}$, where $0 \ne [l] \in \mathbf{H}^N$ characterises l. Take $\|[l]\| = 1$. Then the orthonormal base $\{q_1, ..., q_{N-1}\}$ in $\mathbf{H}_l^{N-1}$ and together with $[l] =: q_N$ composes the orthonormal base $\{q_1, ..., q_N\}$ in $\mathbf{H}^N$. This provides the quaternion holomorphic projection $\pi_l : \mathbf{H}^N \to \mathbf{H}_l^{N-1}$ relative to the orthonormal base $\{q_1, ..., q_N\}$. The operator π_l is $\mathbf{H}$ left and also right linear (but certainly nonlinear relative to $\mathbf{H}$), hence π_l is quaternion holomorphic.

To construct an immersion it is sufficient, that each projection $\pi_l : T_xM \to \mathbf{H}_l^{N-1}$ has $ker[d(\pi_l(x))] = \{0\}$ for each $x \in M$. The set of all $x \in M$ for which $ker[d(\pi_l(x))] \neq \{0\}$ is called the set of forbidden directions of the first kind. Forbidden are those and only those directions $l \in \mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$ for which there exists $x \in M$ such that $l' \subset T_xM$, where $l' = [l] + z$, $z \in \mathbf{H}^N$. The set of all forbidden directions of the first kind forms the quaternion manifold Q of quaternion dimension $(2m-1)$ with points (x, l), $x \in M$, $l \in \mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$, $[l] \in T_xM$. Take $g : Q \to \mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$ given by $g(x, l) := l$. Then g is quaternion holomorphic.

In each quaternion paracompact manifold A modelled on $\mathbf{H^p}$ there exists an $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian metric (see Proposition 2.1.3.4), hence it can be supplied with the Riemann manifold structure also. Therefore, on A there exists a Riemann volume element. In view of the Morse theorem $\mu(g(Q)) = 0$, if $N - 1 > 2m - 1$, that is, $2m < N$, where μ is the Riemann volume element in $\mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$. In particular, $g(Q)$ is not contained in $\mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$ and there exists $l_0 \notin g(Q)$, consequently, there exists $\pi_{l_0} : M \to \mathbf{H}^{N-1}_{l_0}$. This procedure can be prolonged, when $2m < N - k$, where k is the number of the step of projection. Hence M can be immersed into $\mathbf{H}^{2m}$.

Consider now the forbidden directions of the second type: $l \in \mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$, for which there exist $x \neq y \in M$ simultaneously belonging to l after suitable parrallel translation $[l] \mapsto [l] + z$, $z \in \mathbf{H}^N$. The set of the forbidden directions of the second type forms the manifold $\Phi := M^2 \setminus \Delta$, where $\Delta := \{(x, x) : x \in M\}$. Consider $\psi : \Phi \to \mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$, where $\psi(x, y)$ is the straight $\mathbf{H}$-line with the direction vector $[x, y]$ in the orthonormal base. Then $\mu(\psi(\Phi)) = 0$ in $\mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$, if $2m+1 < N$. Then the closure $cl(\psi(\Phi))$ coinsides with $\psi(\Phi) \cup g(Q)$ in $\mathbf{H}P^{N-1}$. Hence there exists $l_0 \notin cl(\psi(\Phi))$. Then consider $\pi_{l_0} : M \to \mathbf{H}^{N-1}_{l_0}$. This procedure can be prolonged, when $2m+1 < N-k$, where k is the number of the step of projection. Hence M can be embedded into $\mathbf{H}^{2m+1}$.

2.1.3.7. Theorem. *Let M be a quaternion locally compact manifold with and atlas $At(M) = \{(U_j, \phi_j) : j\}$ such that transition mappings $\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}$ of charts with $U_j \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$ are either right or left superlinearly superdifferentiable. Then M is orientable.*

Proof. Since M is locally compact, then M is finite dimensional over $\mathbf{H}$ such that $dim_{\mathbf{H}} X = m < \infty$, where $X = T_xM$ for $x \in M$. Consider open subsets U and V in X and a function $\phi : U \to V$ which is right superdifferentiable. Write ϕ in the form $\phi = ({}_1\phi, ..., {}_m\phi)$, where ${}_v\phi \in \mathbf{H}$ for each $v = 1, ..., m$. Then ${}_v\phi = {}_v\alpha + {}_v\beta j$, where ${}_v\alpha$ and ${}_v\beta \in \mathbf{C}$, i, j, k are generators of $\mathbf{H}$ such that $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, $ij = -ji = k$, we write also ${}_vz = {}_vx + {}_vyj$ with ${}_vx$ and ${}_vy \in \mathbf{C}$, where $z \in \mathbf{H^m}$. From Proposition

2.2 [47] it follows, that there exist right superlinear $\partial a/\partial b$ with $\partial a/\partial \bar{b} = 0$ for each $a \in \{ {}_v\alpha, {}_v\beta : v = 1, ..., m\}$ and each $b \in \{ {}_vx, {}_vy : v = 1, ..., m\}$ for each $z \in U$, where $(\partial\phi/\partial {}_vx).h = (\partial\phi/\partial {}_vz).h$ for each $h \in \mathbf{C}$, $(\partial\phi/\partial {}_vy).h = (\partial\phi/\partial {}_vz).hj$ for each $h \in \mathbf{C}$.

Consider a right superlinear operator A on X, then $A(h) = \sum_{l=0}^3 A(i_l)h_l$, where $h_l \in \mathbf{R^m}$, $i_0 := 1$, $i_1 := i$, $i_2 := j$, $i_3 := k$, $h = h_0 + i_1h_1 + i_2h_2 + i_3h_3$, $h \in X = \mathbf{H^m}$. From §3.28 [47] it follows, that $A(h) = A(1)(h - i_1hi_1 - i_2hi_2 - i_3hi_3)/4 + A(i_1)(h - i_1hi_1 + i_2hi_2 + i_3hi_3)/4 + A(i_2)(h + i_1hi_1 - i_2hi_2 + i_3hi_3)/4 + A(i_3)(h + i_1hi_1 + i_2hi_2 - i_3hi_3)/4$ for each $h \in X$. There are identities $i_1hi_1 = -h$, $i_2hi_2 = -\bar{h}$ and $i_3hi_3 = -\bar{h}$ for each $h \in \mathbf{C^m}$, consequently, $A(h) = (A(1) + A(i_1))h/2 + (A(1) - A(i_1))\bar{h}/2$ for each $h \in \mathbf{C^m}$ and in view of right superlinearity $A(1) = A(i_1)$, hence

(i) $A(h) = A(1)h$ for each $h \in \mathbf{C^m} := \mathbf{R^m} \oplus \mathbf{R^m}i_1$.

Then $A(hi_2) = (A(i_2) + A(i_3))hi_2/2 + (A(i_2) - A(i_3))\bar{h}i_2/2$ for each $h \in \mathbf{C^m}$ and in view of right superlinearity of A we get $A(i_2) = A(i_3)$. Restriction on $\mathbf{C^m}i_3$ of operator A shows, that $A(1) = A(i_3)$, consequently,

(ii) $A(hi_2) = A(1)hi_2$ for each $h \in \mathbf{C^m}$.

Since $(\partial a/\partial b).h$ is complex valued for each $h \in \mathbf{C}$, for each $a \in \{ {}_v\alpha, {}_v\beta : v = 1, ..., m\}$ and each $b \in \{ {}_vx, {}_vy : v = 1, ..., m\}$ for each $z \in U$, then Formulas (i, ii) imply that $(\partial a/\partial b).h$ is complex linear in h.

Consider now real local coordinates in M: $\{ {}_{v,l}\phi : v = 1, ..., m; l = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ such that ${}_v\phi = \sum_{l=0}^3 {}_{v,l}\phi i_l$, then the determinant of change of local coordinates between charts is positive: $det(\{\partial {}_{v,l}\phi/\partial {}_{w,p}z\}_{v,l;w,p}) = |det(\{\partial {}_{v,l}\eta/\partial {}_{w,p}\xi\}_{v,l;w,p})|^2$, where ${}_{v,l}\phi \in \mathbf{R}$ for each $v = 1, ..., m$ and $l = 0, 1, 2, 3$; ${}_{v,0}\eta + {}_{v,1}\eta i_2 = {}_v\phi$ and ${}_{v,0}\xi + {}_{v,1}\xi i_2 = {}_vz$ and ${}_{v,l}\xi, {}_{v,l}\eta \in \mathbf{C}$ for each $v = 1, ..., m$ and each $l = 0, 1$.

2.1.3.8. Remark. Theorem 2.1.3.6 is the quaternion analog of the Witney theorem. For the proof of it was important that superdifferentials of quaternion holomorphic functions may be nonlinear by $\mathbf{H}$. Without condition of right or left superlinearity of superdifferentials of transition mappings Theorem 2.1.3.7 is untrue, since there are square $4m \times 4m$-matrices A with entries in $\mathbf{R}$ such that $det(A) < 0$ to which correspond $\mathbf{R}$-homogeneous $\mathbf{H}$-additive operators. Certainly Riemannian manifold need not be a quaternion manifold even if its real dimension is $4m$ for $m \in \mathbf{N}$, since transition mappings $\phi_i \circ \phi_l^{-1}$ need not be quaternion holomorphic for charts with $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$.

2.1.3.9. Theorem. *Let M be a quaternion manifold, then there exists an open neighborhood $\tilde{T}M$ of M in TM and an exponential quaternion* *holomorphic mapping* $\exp : \tilde{T}M \to M$ *of* $\tilde{T}M$ *on* M.

Proof. It was shown in §§2.1.3.4 and 2.1.3.7 each quaternion manifold has also the structure of the Riemann manifold. Therefore, the geodesic equation

(i) $\nabla_{\dot{c}}\dot{c} = 0$ with initial conditions $c(0) = x_0$, $\dot{c}(0) = y_0$, $x_0 \in M$, $y_0 \in T_{x_0}M$
has a unique C^∞-solution, $c : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to M$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. For a chart (U_j, ϕ_j) containing x, put $\psi_j(\beta) = \phi_j \circ c(\beta)$, where $\beta \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. Consider an $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian metric g in M, then g is quaternion holomorphic in local quaternion coordinates in M (see §2.1.3.4), $\partial g(z)/\partial \tilde{z} = 0$, where $g(z)(*, *) =< *, * >_z$ is the $\mathbf{H}$-Hermitian inner product in T_zM, $z \in M$, where $\phi_l(z) \in X$ is denoted for convenience also by z. Consider real-valued inner product induced by g of the form $G(z)(x, y) := (g(z)(x, y) - \sum_{l=1}^3 i_l g(z)(x, y) i_l)/4$. Then $G(z)$ is also quaternion holomorphic: $\partial G(z)(x, y)/\partial \tilde{z} = 0$ for each x, y. In real local coordinates G can be written as: $G(z)(\eta, \xi) = \sum_{l,p} G^{l,p}(z)\eta_l\xi_p$, where $x = ({}_1x, ..., {}_mx)$, ${}_vx = \sum_{l=4v-3}^{4v} \eta_l i_{l-4v+3}$ and ${}_vy = \sum_{l=4v-3}^{4v} \xi_l i_{l-4v+3}$ for each $v = 1, ..., m$. Then the Christoffel symbols are given by the equation $\Gamma^a_{b,c} = (\sum_{l=1}^{4m} G^{a,l}(\partial_b G_{c,l} + \partial_c G_{l,b} - \partial_l G_{b,c})/2$ for each $a, b, c = 1, ..., 4m$. Using expression of the Christoffel symbol Γ through g, we get that $\Gamma(z)$ is quaternion holomorphic:

(ii) $\partial \Gamma^a_{b,c}(z)/\partial \tilde{z} = 0$ for each $a, b, c = 1, ..., 4m$.
Thus differential operator corresponding to the geodesic equation has quaternion holomorphic form:

(iii) $d^2c(t)/dt^2 + \Gamma(c(t))(c'(t), c'(t)) = 0$. Therefore, the mapping $\tilde{T}V_1 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \ni (z_0, y_0; \beta) \mapsto \psi_j(\beta; x_0, y_0)$ is quaternion holomorphic by (x_0, y_0), since components of y_0 can be expressed through $\mathbf{R}$-linear combinations of $\{i_l y_0 i_l : l = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$, where $0 < \epsilon$, $z_0 = \phi_j(x_0) \in V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \phi_j(U_j)$, V_1 and V_2 are open, ϵ and $\tilde{T}V_1$ are sufficiently small, that to satisfy the inclusion $\psi_j(\beta; x_0, y_0) \in V_2$ for each $(z_0, y_0; \beta) \in \tilde{T}V_1 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $c_{aS}(t) = c_S(at)$ for each $a \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ with $|aS(\phi_j(q))| < \delta$ since $dc_S(at)/dt = a(dc_S(z)/dz)|_{z=at}$. The projection $\tau := \tau_M : TM \to M$ is given by $\tau_M(s) = x$ for each vector $s \in T_xM$, τ_M is the tangent bundle. For each $x_0 \in M$ there exists a chart (U_j, ϕ_j) and open neighbourhoods V_1 and V_2, $\phi_j(x_0) \in V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \phi_j(U_j)$ and $\delta > 0$ such that from $S \in TM$ with $\tau_M S = q \in \phi_j^{-1}(V_1)$ and $|S(\phi_j(q))| < \delta$ it follows, that the geodesic c_S with $c_S(0) = S$ is defined for each $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and $c_S(t) \in \phi_j^{-1}(V_2)$. Due to paracompactness of TM and M this covering can be chosen locally finite [18].

This means that there exists an open neighbourhood $\tilde{T}M$ of M in TM such that a geodesic $c_S(t)$ is defined for each $S \in \tilde{T}M$ and each $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. Therefore, define the exponential mapping $\exp : \tilde{T}M \to M$ by $S \mapsto c_S(1)$, denote by $\exp_x := \exp|_{\tilde{T}M \cap T_xM}$ a restriction to a fibre. Then exp has a local representation $(x_0, y_0) \in \tilde{T}V_1 \mapsto \psi_j(1; x_0, y_0) \in V_2 \subset \phi_j(U_j)$. From Equations $(i - iii)$ it follows that exp is quaternion holomorphic from $\tilde{T}M$ onto M.

2.1.3.10. A uniform space of piecewise holomorphic mappings. For manifolds M and N with corners both either complex or quaternion let either $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, N)$ or $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, N)$ denotes a space of continuous mappings $f : M \to N$ such that for each f there exists a partition Z_f of M via a real C^∞-submanifold ${M'}_f$, which may be with corners, such that its codimension over $\mathbf{R}$ in M is $codim_{\mathbf{R}} {M'}_f = 1$ and $M \setminus {M'}_f$ is a disjoint union of open either complex submanifolds or quaternion submanifolds $M_{j,f}$ respectively possibly with corners with $j = 1, 2, ...$ such that each restriction $f|_{M_{j,f}}$ is either complex holomorphic or quaternion holomorphic correspondingly with all its derivatives bounded on $M_{j,f}$. For a given partition Z (instead of Z_f) and the corresponding M' the latter subspace of continuous piecewise either complex or quaternion holomorphic mappings $f : M \to N$ is denoted either by $\mathsf{O}(M, N; Z)$ or $\mathsf{H}(M, N; Z)$ respectively. The family $\{Z\}$ of all such partitions is denoted Υ. That is $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, N) = str - ind_\Upsilon \mathsf{O}(M, N; Z)$ and $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, N) = str - ind_\Upsilon \mathsf{H}(M, N; Z)$. Let also $\mathsf{O}(M, N)$ and $\mathsf{H}(M, N)$ denote the uniform spaces of all either complex holomorphic or quaternion holomorphic respectively mappings $f : M \to N$, $Diff^\infty(M)$ denotes a group of C^∞-diffeomorphisms of M and $Diff^{\mathsf{O}}_\Upsilon(M) := Hom(M) \cap \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, M)$, $Diff^{\mathsf{H}}_\Upsilon(M) := Hom(M) \cap \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, M)$, where $Hom(M)$ is a group of all homeomorphisms of M.

Let A and B be two manifolds either both either real or complex or quaternion with corners such that B is a submanifold of A. Then B we call a strong $C^r([0, 1] \times A, A)$-retract (or $C^r([0, 1], \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(A, A))$-retract or $C^r([0, 1], \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(A, A))$-retract respectively) of A if there exists a mapping $F : [0, 1] \times A \to A$ such that $F(0, z) = z$ for each $z \in A$ and $F(1, A) = B$ and $F(x, A) \supset B$ for each $x \in [0, 1] := \{y : 0 \le y \le 1, y \in \mathbf{R}\}$, $F(x, z) = z$ for each $z \in B$ and $x \in [0, 1]$, where $F \in C^r([0, 1] \times A, A)$ or $F \in C^r([0, 1], \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(A, A))$ or $F \in C^r([0, 1], \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(A, A))$ respectively, $r \in [0, \infty)$, $F = F(x, z)$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $z \in A$. Such F is called the retraction. In the case of $B = \{a_0\}$, $a_0 \in A$ we say that A is $C^r([0,1] \times A, A)$-contractible (or $C^r([0,1], \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(A,A))$-contractible or $C^r([0,1], \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(A,A))$-contractible correspondingly). Two maps $f : A \to E$ and $h : A \to E$ we call $C^r([0,1] \times A, E)$-homotopic (or $C^r([0,1], \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(A,E))$-homotopic or $C^r([0,1], \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(A,E))$-homotopic) if there exists $F \in C^r([0,1] \times A, E)$ (or $F \in C^r([0,1], \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(A,E))$ or $F \in C^r([0,1], \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(A,E))$ respectively) such that $F(0,z) = f(z)$ and $F(1,z) = h(z)$ for each $z \in A$, where E is also either a real or complex or quaternion manifold. Such F is called the homotopy.

Let M be either a real or complex manifold or a quaternion manifold with corners satisfying the following conditions:

(i) it is compact;

(ii) M is a union of two closed submanifolds both either real or complex or quaternion A_1 and A_2 with corners, which are canonical closed subsets in M with $A_1 \cap A_2 = \partial A_1 \cap \partial A_2 =: A_3$ and a codimension over $\mathbf{R}$ of A_3 in M is $codim_{\mathbf{R}} A_3 = 1$;

(iii) a marked point s_0 is in A_3;

(iv) A_1 and A_2 are either $C^0([0,1] \times A, A)$-contractible or $C^0([0,1], \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(A_j, A_j))$-contractible or $C^0([0,1], \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(A_j, A_j))$-contractible respectively into a marked point $s_0 \in A_3$ by mappings $F_j(x,z)$, where either $j = 1$ or $j = 2$. In the complex and quaternion cases more general condition of $C^0([0,1], \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(A_j, A_j))$-contractibility or $C^0([0,1], \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(A_j, A_j))$-contractibility of A_j on $X_0 \cap A_j$ can be considered, where X_0 is a closed subset in M, $j = 1$ or $j = 2$, $s_0 \in X_0$, $dim X_0 < dim_{\mathbf{R}} M$, $dim X_0$ is a covering dimension of X_0 (see its defintion in [18]).

We consider all finite partitions $Z := \{M_k : k \in \Xi_Z\}$ of M such that M_k are submanifolds either all complex or quaternion respectively (of M), which may be with corners and $\bigcup_{k=1}^s M_k = M$, $\Xi_Z = \{1, 2, ..., s\}$, $s \in \mathbf{N}$ depends on Z, M_k is a canonical closed subset of M for each k. We denote by $\tilde{diam}(Z) := \sup_k(diam(M_k))$ a diameter of a partition Z, where $diam(A) = \sup_{x,y \in A} |x - y|_X$ is a diameter of a subset A in a normed space X, since each finite dimensional manifold M can be embedded into $\mathbf{C^n}$ or in $\mathbf{H^n}$ with a corresponding $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We suppose also that $M_i \cap M_j \subset M'$ and $\partial M_j \subset M'$ for each $i \ne j$, where M' is a closed C^∞-submanifold (which may be with corners) in M with the codimension $codim_{\mathbf{R}}(M') = 1$ of M' in M, $M' = \bigcup_{j \in \Gamma_Z} M'_j$, M'_j are C^∞-submanifolds of M, Γ_Z is a finite subset of $\mathbf{N}$.

We denote by $H^t(M, N; Z)$ a space of continuous functions $f : M \to N$

such that $f|_{(M\setminus M')} \in H^t(M\setminus M', N)$ and $f|_{[Int(M_i)\cup(M_i\cap M'_j)]} \in H^t(Int(M_i)\cup (M_i\cap M'_j), N)$, when $\partial M_i \cap M'_j \ne \emptyset$, $h^Z_{Z'} : H^t(M,N;Z) \to H^t(M,N;Z')$ is an embedding for each $Z \le Z'$ in Υ.

An ordering $Z \le Z'$ means by our definition, that each submanifold $M^{Z'}_i$ from a partition Z' either belongs to the family $(M_j : j = 1, ..., k) = (M^Z_j : j = 1, ..., k)$ for Z or there exists j such that $M^{Z'}_i \subset M^Z_j$ and M^Z_j is a finite union of $M^{Z'}_l$ for which $M^{Z'}_l \subset M^Z_j$. Moreover, $M^{Z'}_l$ is a submanifold (may be with corners) in M^Z_j for each l and a corresponding j.

Then we consider the following uniform space $H^t_p(M,N)$ that is the strict inductive limit $str-ind\{H^t(M,N;Z); h^{Z'}_Z; \Upsilon\}$ (the index p reminds about the procedure of partitions), where Υ is the directed family of all such Z, for which $\lim_\Upsilon \tilde{diam}(Z) = 0$.

2.1.4. Notes and definitions of loop monoids. Let now s_0 be a marked point in M such that $s_0 \in A_3$ (see §2.1.3.10) and y_0 be a marked point in a manifold N.

(i). Suppose that M and N are connected.

Let $H^t_p(M,s_0;N,y_0) := \{f \in H^t(M,N) | f(s_0) = y_0\}$ denotes the closed subspace of $H^t(M,N)$ and ω_0 be its element such that $\omega_0(M) = \{y_0\}$, where $\infty \ge t \ge m+1$, $2m = dim_{\mathbf{R}} M$ such that $H^t \subset C^0$ due to the Sobolev embedding theorem. The following uniform subspace $\{f : f \in H^\infty_p(M,s_0;N,y_0), \quad \bar\partial f = 0\}$ is uniformly isomorphic with $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M,s_0;N,y_0)$ for complex manifolds, while the uniform subspace $\{f : f \in H^\infty_p(M,s_0;N,y_0)$, $\tilde\partial f = 0\}$ is uniformly isomorphic with $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,s_0;N,y_0)$ for quaternion manifolds M and N, since $f|_{(M\setminus M')} \in H^\infty(M\setminus M', N) = C^\infty(M\setminus M', N)$ and $\bar\partial f = 0$ or $\tilde\partial f = 0$ respectively.

Let as usually $A \vee B := A \times \{b_0\} \cup \{a_0\} \times B \subset A \times B$ be the wedge sum of pointed spaces (A, a_0) and (B, b_0), where A and B are topological spaces with marked points $a_0 \in A$ and $b_0 \in B$. Then the wedge combination $g \vee f$ of two elements $f, g \in H^t_p(M,s_0;N,y_0)$ is defined on the domain $M \vee M$.

The uniform spaces $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(J,A_3;N,y_0) := \{f \in \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(J,N) : f(A_3) = \{y_0\}\}$ have the manifold structure and have embeddings into $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M,s_0;N,y_0)$ for complex manifolds, while the uniform spaces $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(J,A_3;N,y_0) := \{f \in \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(J,N) : f(A_3) = \{y_0\}\}$ have the manifold structure and have embeddings into $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,s_0;N,y_0)$ due to Condition 2.1.3.10(ii) for quaternion manifolds M and N, where either $J = A_1$ or $J = A_2$. This induces the following embedding $\chi^* : \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M \vee M, s_0 \times s_0; N, y_0) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M,s_0;N,y_0)$ for complex manifolds, also

$\chi^* : \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M \vee M, s_0 \times s_0; N, y_0) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, s_0; N, y_0)$ for quaternion manifolds M and N. Considering $H^t_p(M, X_0; N, y_0) = \{f \in H^t(M, N) : f(X_0) = \{y_0\}\}$ and $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(J, A_3 \cup X_0; N, y_0)$ we get the embedding $\chi^* : \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M \vee M, X_0 \times X_0; N, y_0) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, X_0; N, y_0)$ for complex manifolds, also $\chi^* : \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M \vee M, X_0 \times X_0; N, y_0) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, X_0; N, y_0)$ for quaternion manifolds M and N. Therefore $g \circ f := \chi^*(f \vee g)$ is the composition in $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, s_0; N, y_0)$, also in $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, s_0; N, y_0)$.

The space $C^\infty(M, N)$ is dense in $C^0(M, N)$ and there is the inclusion $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, N) \subset H^\infty_p(M, N)$ for complex manifolds, also $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, N) \subset H^\infty_p(M, N)$ for quaternion manifolds. Let $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a Riemannian manifold generated by a manifold M considered over $\mathbf{R}$. Then $Diff^\infty_{s_0}(M_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a group of C^∞-diffeomorphisms η of $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ preserving the marked point s_0, that is $\eta(s_0) = s_0$. There exists the following equivalence relation R_{O} in $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, s_0; N, y_0)$: $fR_{\mathsf{O}}h$ (also R_{H} in $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, s_0; N, y_0)$: $fR_{\mathsf{H}}h$) if and only if there exist nets $\eta_n \in Diff^\infty_{s_0}(M_{\mathbf{R}})$, also f_n and $h_n \in H^\infty_p(M, s_0; N, y_0)$ with $\lim_n f_n = f$ and $\lim_n h_n = h$ such that $f_n(x) = h_n(\eta_n(x))$ for each $x \in M$ and $n \in \omega$, where ω is a directed set, $f, h \in \mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, s_0; N, y_0)$ (or $f, h \in \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, s_0; N, y_0)$ respectively) and converegence is considered in $H^\infty_p(M, s_0; N, y_0)$. In general, considering $Diff^\infty_{X_0}(M_{\mathbf{R}}) := \{f \in Diff^\infty(M_{\mathbf{R}}) : f(X_0) = X_0\}$ and elements f, h in $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, X_0; N, y_0)$ and a convergence in $H^\infty(M, X_0; N, y_0)$ we get the equivalence relation R_{O} in $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, X_0; N, y_0)$ for complex manifolds, also with f, h in $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, X_0; N, y_0)$ and a convergence in $H^\infty(M, X_0; N, y_0)$ this leads to the equivalence relation R_{H} in $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, X_0; N, y_0)$.

The quotient uniform space $\mathsf{O}_\Upsilon(M, X_0; N, y_0)/R_{\mathsf{O}} =: (S^M N)_{\mathsf{O}}$ for complex manifolds also $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, X_0; N, y_0)/R_{\mathsf{H}} =: (S^M N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ for complex manifolds we call the loop monoid. For the spaces $H^t_p(M, s_0; N, y_0)$ the corresponding equivalence relations are denoted $R_{t,H}$, for them the loop monoids are denoted by $(S^M_{\mathbf{R}} N)_{t,H}$. When real manifolds are considered we omit the index $\mathbf{R}$.

2.1.4.1. Theorem. *The uniform spaces $(S^M N)_{t,H}$ for real manifolds, $(S^M N)_{\mathsf{O}}$ for complex manifolds, $(S^M N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ for quaternion manifolds M and N have sructures of complete topological Abelian monoids with a unit and with a cancellation property, where $t > m + 5$, $m := dim_{\mathbf{R}} M$. It is nondiscrete for $dim_{\mathbf{R}} N > 1$. From these monoids it is possible to construct uniform spaces $(L^m N)_{t,H}$, $(L^M N)_{\mathsf{O}}$ and $(L^M N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ respectively which have structures of the complete separable Abelian topological groups, where $t > m + 5$.*

Proof. Consider the case of quaternion manifolds M and N. In view of the results from [57] $Hom(M) \cap H^t(M, M)$ is the topological group of diffeomorphisms for $t > m + 5$, where $Hom(M)$ is the group of homeomorphisms of M. Then $C^t(M, \mathbf{R}) \subset H^{t+[m/2]+1}(M, \mathbf{R})$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t \geq 0$ due to the Sobolev embedding theorem [51]. Hence for each $f \in H^t_p(M, s_0; N, y_0)$ the range $f(M)$ is compact and connected in N. In view of [66, 72, 73] each $f \in H^t(M_k, N)$ has an extension of the same class of smoothness onto an open neighbourhood U of M_k in M. Therefore, in view of Lemmas 6.8 and 6.9 [71] for each partition Z there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each partition $Z”$ with $\sup_i \inf_j dist(M_i, M”_j) < \delta$ and $f \in H^t(M, N; Z)$, $f(s_0) = y_0$, there exists $f_1 \in H^t(M, N; Z”)$, $f_1(s_0) = y_0$, such that $f R_{t,H} f_1$, hence we can choose f_1 with $f R_{\mathsf{H}} f_1$ for $\tilde{\partial} f = 0$ and $\tilde{\partial} f_1 = 0$ on corresponding quaternion submanifolds of M prescribed by the partitions Z and $Z”$, where $dist(A, B) = \max(\sup_{a \in A} D(a, B); \sup_{b \in B} D(b, A))$, $D(a, B) := \inf_{b \in B} d(a, b)$, A and B are subspaces of the metric space M with the metric $d(a, b) = |a - b|_{\mathbf{H^{2m+1}}}$ (see Theorem 2.1.3.6).

Hence there exists a countable subfamily $\{Z_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ in Υ such that $Z_j \subset Z_{j+1}$ for each j and $\lim_j \tilde{diam} Z_j = 0$. Then

(i) $str - ind\{\mathsf{H}(M, s_0; N, y_0; Z_j); h^{Z_i}_{Z_j}; \mathbf{N}\}/R_{\mathsf{H}} = (S^M N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ is separable, since each space $\mathsf{H}(M, s_0; N, y_0; Z_j)$ is separable. The continuity of the composition and the inversion follows from notes in §1.4. The space $str - ind\{\mathsf{H}(M, s_0; N, y_0; Z_j); h^{Z_i}_{Z_j}; \mathbf{N}\}$ is complete due to theorem 12.1.4 [59], each class of R_{H}-equivalent elements is closed in it, where $\mathsf{H}(M, s_0; N, y_0; Z) := \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, s_0; N, y_0) \cap H^t(M, N; Z)$. Then to each Cauchy sequence in $(S^M N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ there corresponds a Cauchy sequence in $str - ind\{\mathsf{H}(M \times [0, 1], s_0 \times 0; N, y_0; Z_j \times Y_j); h^{Z_i \times Y_i}_{Z_j \times Y_j}; \mathbf{N}\}$ due to theorems about extensions of functions, where Y_j are partitions of $[0, 1]$ with $\lim_j \tilde{diam}(Y_j) = 0$, $Z_j \times Y_j$ are the corresponding partitions of $M \times [0, 1]$. Hence $(S^M N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ is complete.

In view of Lemma 2.27 [71] it can be shown that there exists a $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathsf{H})$-homotopy $h : (M_1 \vee M_2) \times [0, 1] \to M_2 \vee M_1$, where $M = M_j$ for $j = 1$ or $j = 2$. Therefore, $(g \circ f)(h(s, z)) = (g \circ f)(s)$ for $z = 0$ and $(g \circ f)(h(s, z)) = f \circ g(s)$ for $z = 1$ for each $s \in M$, consequently, $(f \circ g) R_{\mathsf{H}} (g \circ f)$ for each $f, g \in \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M, s_0; N, y_0)$, since $M_2 \vee M_1 \setminus \{s_{0,1} \times s_{0,2}\}$ and $M_1 \vee M_2 \setminus \{s_{0,2} \times s_{0,1}\}$ are C^∞-diffeomorphic. Hence $(S^M N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ is Abelian.

For a commutative monoid $(S^M N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ with a unit and with the cancellation property there exists a commutative group $(L^M N)_{\mathsf{H}}$. Algebraically this group is the quotient group F/B, where F is a free Abelian group generated by

$(S^MN)_{\mathsf{H}}$ and B is a subgroup of F generated by elements $[f+g]-[f]-[g]$, f and $g \in (S^MN)_{\mathsf{H}}$, $[f]$ denotes an element of F corresponding to f. The natural mapping $\gamma : (S^MN)_{\mathsf{H}} \to (L^MN)_{\mathsf{H}}$ is injective. We supply F with a topology inherited from the Tychonoff product topology of $(S^MN)_{\mathsf{H}}^{\mathbf{Z}}$, where each element z of F is $z = \sum_f n_{f,z}[f]$, $n_{f,z} \in \mathbf{Z}$ for each $f \in (S^MN)_{\mathsf{H}}$, $\sum_f |n_{f,z}| < \infty$. In particular $[nf]-n[f] \in \mathsf{B}$, hence $(L^MN)_{\mathsf{H}}$ is the complete topological group and γ is the topological embedding such that $\gamma(f+g) = \gamma(f)+\gamma(g)$ for each $f, g \in (S^MN)_{\mathsf{H}}$, $\gamma(e) = e$, since $(z+B) \in \gamma(S^MN)_{\mathsf{H}}$, when $n_{f,z} \ge 0$ for each f, so in general $z = z^+ - z^-$, where $(z^+ + B)$ and $(z^- + B) \in \gamma(S^MN)_{\mathsf{H}}$. Cases of complex and real manifolds are proved analogously.

2.1.5.1. Notes and Definitions. In view of §I.5 [35] a complex manifold M or a quaternion manifold (see also §2.1.3.4) considered over $\mathbf{R}$ admits a (positive definite) Riemannian metric g, since M is paracompact (see §§1.3 and 1.5 [35]). Due to Theorem IV.2.2 [35] there exists the Levi-Cività connection (with vanishing torsion) of $M_{\mathbf{R}}$. Each complex manifold and each quaternion manifold with right (or left) superlinearly superdifferentiable transition mappings of charts is orientable (see Theorem 2.1.3.7). For the orientable manifold M we suppose that ν is a measure on M corresponding to the Riemannian volume element w (m-form) $\nu(dx) = w(dx)/w(M)$. The Riemannian volume element w is non-degenerate and non-negative, since M is orientable. For a nonorientable M consider its double covering orientable manifold $\tilde{M}$ and the quotient mapping $\theta_M : \tilde{M} \to M$, then the Riemannian volume element w on $\tilde{M}$ produces the following measure $\nu(S) := w(\theta_M^{-1}(S))/(2w(\tilde{M}))$ for each Borel subset S in M.

The Christoffel symbols $\Gamma^k_{i,j}$ of the Levi-Cività derivation (see §1.8.12 [34]) are of class C^∞ for M. Then the equivalent uniformity in $H^t(M,N)$ for $0 \le t < \infty$ is given by the following base $\{(f,g) \in (H^t(M,N))^2 : \|(\psi_j \circ f - \psi_j \circ g)\|''_{H^t(M,X)} < \epsilon$, where $D^\alpha = \partial^{|\alpha|}/\partial(x^1)^{\alpha^1} ... \partial(x^{km})^{\alpha^{km}}$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\|(\psi_j \circ f - \psi_j \circ g)\|''^2_{H^t(M,X)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \le t} \int_M |D^\alpha(\psi_j \circ f(x) - \psi_j \circ g(x))|^2 \nu(dx)\}$, $j \in \Lambda_N$, X is the Hilbert space over $\mathbf{C}$ either $\mathbf{C^n}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{C})$, or over $\mathbf{H}$ either $\mathbf{H^n}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{H})$ respectively, x are local normal coordinates in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$, $k = 2$ or $k = 4$ respectively. We consider submanifolds $M_{i,k}$ and $M'_{j,k}$ for each partition Z_k as in §2.1.3.10 (with Z_k instead of Z), $i \in \Xi_{Z_k}$, $j \in \Gamma_{Z_k}$, where Ξ_{Z_k} and Γ_{Z_k} are finite subsets of $\mathbf{N}$. We supply $H^\gamma(M, X; Z_k)$ with the following metric

$\rho_{k,\gamma}(y) := [\sum_{i\in\Xi} \| y|_{M_{i,k}}\|"^2{}_{\gamma,i,k}]^{1/2}$ for $y \in H^\gamma(M,X;Z_k)$
and $\rho_{k,\gamma}(y) = +\infty$ in the contrary case, where $\Xi = \Xi_{Z_k}$, $\infty > t \ge \gamma \in \mathbf{N}$, $\gamma \ge m+1$, $\| y|_{M_{i,k}}\|"{}_{\gamma,i,k}$ is given analogously to $\|y\|"{}_{H^\gamma(M,X)}$, but with $\int_{M_{i,k}}$ instead of $\int_M$, where $m := dim_{\mathbf{R}} M$.

Let $Z^\gamma(M,X)$ be the completion of $str-ind\{H^\gamma(M,X;Z_j); h^{Z_i}_{Z_j}; \mathbf{N}\} =: Q$ relative to the following norm $\|y\|'{}_\gamma := \inf_k \rho_{k,\gamma}(y)$, as usually $Z^\infty(M,X) = \bigcap_{\gamma\in\mathbf{N}} Z^\gamma(M,X)$. Let

$\bar{Y}^\infty(M,X) := \{f : f \in Z^\infty(M,X),\ \bar{\partial} f_j|_{M_{j,k}} = 0$ for each k
for M and X over $\mathbf{C}$, or $\tilde{\partial} f_j|_{M_{j,k}} = 0$ for M and N over $\mathbf{H}\}$,
where $f \in Z^\infty(M,X)$ imples $f = \sum_j f_j$ with $f_j \in H^\infty(M,X;Z_j)$ for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$.

For a domain W in $\mathbf{C^n}$ or in $\mathbf{H^n}$, which is a complex or quaternion respectively manifold with corners, let $Y^{\Upsilon,a}(W,X)$ (and $Z^{\Upsilon,a}(W,X)$) be a subspace of those $f \in \bar{Y}^\infty(W,X)$ (or $f \in Z^\infty(W,X)$ respectively) for which

$$\|f\|_{\Upsilon,a} := (\sum_{j=0}^\infty (\|f\|^*{}_j)^2/[(j!)^{a_1} j^{a_2}])^{1/2} < \infty,$$

where $(\|f\|^*{}_j)^2 := (\|f\|'{}_j)^2 - (\|f\|'{}_{j-1})^2$ for $j \ge 1$ and $\|f\|^*{}_0 = \|f\|'{}_0$, $a = (a_1,a_2)$, a_1 and $a_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, $a < a'$ if either $a_1 < a'_1$ or $a_1 = a'_1$ and $a_2 < a'_2$.

Using the atlases $At(M)$ and $At(N)$ for M and N of class of smoothness $Y^{\Upsilon,b} \cap C^\infty$ with $a \ge b$ we get the uniform space $Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X_0;N,y_0)$ (and also $Z^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X_0;N,y_0)$) of mappings $f : M \to N$ with $f(X_0) = y_0$ such that $\psi_j \circ f \in Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X)$ (or $\psi_j \circ f \in Z^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X)$ respectively) for each j, where $\sum_{p\in\Lambda_M, j\in\Lambda_N} \|f_{p,j} - (w_0)_{p,j}\|^2_{Y^{\Upsilon,a}(W_{p,j},X)} < \infty$ for each $f \in Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X_0;N,y_0)$ is satisfied with $w_0(M) = \{y_0\}$, since M is compact. Substituting w_0 on a fixed mapping $\theta : M \to N$ we get the uniform space $Y^{\Upsilon,a,\theta}(M,N)$. To each equivalence class either $\{g : gR_{\mathsf{O}}f\} =: < f >_{\mathsf{O}}$ or $\{g : gR_{\mathsf{H}}f\} =: < f >_{\mathsf{H}}$ there corresponds an equivalence class either $< f >_{\Upsilon,a} := cl(< f >_{\mathsf{O}} \cap Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X_0;N,y_0))$ in the complex case or $< f >_{\Upsilon,a} := cl(< f >_{\mathsf{H}} \cap Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X_0;N,y_0))$ in the quaternion case (or $< f >^{\mathbf{R}}_{\Upsilon,a} := cl(< f >_{\infty,H} \cap Z^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X_0;N,y_0))$ in the real case), where the closure is taken in $Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X_0;N,y_0)$ (or $Z^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X_0;N,y_0)$ respectively). This generates equivalence relations either $R_{\Upsilon,a}$ in the complex or quaternion cases or $R^{\mathbf{R}}_{\Upsilon,a}$ in the real case respectively. We use here the same notation in the complex and quaternion cases, because it can not cause a confusion, when manifolds are described as either complex or quaternion correspondingly. We denote the quotient uniform spaces $Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X_0;N,y_0)/R_{\Upsilon,a}$ and $Z^{\Upsilon,a}(M,X_0;N,y_0)/R^{\mathbf{R}}_{\Upsilon,a}$ by $(S^M N)_{\Upsilon,a}$ and $(S^M_{\mathbf{R}} N)_{\Upsilon,a}$ correspondingly.

2.1.5.2. Gevrey-Sobolev classes of smoothness of loops. Notes and definitions. Let M be an infinite dimensional complex or quaternion $Y^{\xi'}$-manifold with corners modelled on $l_2(\mathbf{C})$ or on $l_2(\mathbf{H})$ such that

(i) there is the sequence of the canonically embedded complex or quaternion respectively submanifolds $\eta^{m+1}_m : M_m \hookrightarrow M_{m+1}$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and to $s_{0,m}$ in M_m it corresponds $s_{0,m+1} = \eta^{m+1}_m(s_{0,m})$ in M_{m+1}, $dim_{\mathbf{C}} M_m = n(m)$ or $dim_{\mathbf{H}} M_m = n(m) \in \mathbf{N}$, $0 < n(m) < n(m+1)$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $\bigcup_m M_m$ is dense in M;

(ii) M and $At(M)$ are foliated, that is,

(α) $u_i \circ u_j^{-1}|_{u_j(U_i \cap U_j)} \to l_2$ are of the form: $u_i \circ u_j^{-1}((z^l : l \in \mathbf{N})) = (\alpha_{i,j,m}(z^1,...,z^{n(m)}), \gamma_{i,j,m}(z^l : l > n(m)))$ for each m, when M is without a boundary. If M is with a boundary, $\partial M \ne \emptyset$, then

(β) for each boundary component M_0 of M and $U_j \cap M_0 \ne \emptyset$ we have $\phi_j : U_j \cap M_0 \to H_{l,Q}$, moreover, $\partial M_m \subset \partial M$ for each m, where $H_{l,Q} := \{z \in Q_j : x^{2l-1} \ge 0\}$ in the complex case or $H_{l,Q} := \{z \in Q_j : x^{4l-3} \ge 0\}$ in the quaternion case, Q_j is a quadrant in l_2 such that $Int_{l_2} H_{l,Q} \ne \emptyset$ (the interior of $H_{l,Q}$ in l_2), $z^l = x^{2l-1} + x^{2l} i$ in the complex case or $z^l := \sum_{s=1}^4 x^{4l+s-4} i_{s-1}$ in the quaternion case, $x^j \in \mathbf{R}$, $z^l \in \mathbf{C}$ or $z^l \in \mathbf{H}$ respectively (see also §2.1.2.4);

(iii) M is embedded into l_2 as a bounded closed subset;

(iv) each M_m satisfies conditions $2.1.3.10(i-iv)$ with $X_{0,m} := X_0 \cap M_m$, where X_0 is a closed subset in M.

Let W be a bounded canonical closed subset in $l_2(\mathbf{K})$, $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{H}$ with a continuous piecewise C^∞-boundary and H_m be an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subspaces over $\mathbf{K}$, $H_m \subset H_{m+1}$ and $dim_{\mathbf{K}} H_m = n(m)$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Then there are spaces $P^\infty_{\Upsilon,a}(W,X) := str - ind_m Y^{\Upsilon,a}(W_m,X)$, where $W_m = W \cap H_m$ and X is a separable Hilbert space over $\mathbf{K}$.

Let $Y^\xi(W,X)$ be the completion of $P^\infty_{\Upsilon,a}(W,X)$ relative to the following norm

$$\|f\|_\xi := [\sum_{m=1}^\infty \|f|_{W_m}\|''^2_{Y^{\Upsilon,a}(W_m,X)}/[(n(m)!)^{1+c_1} n(m)^{c_2}]]^{1/2},$$

where $\|f|_{W_m}\|''^2_{Y^{\Upsilon,a}(W_m,X)} := \|f|_{W_m}\|^2_{Y^{\Upsilon,a}(W_m,X)} - \|f|_{W_{m-1}}\|^2_{Y^{\Upsilon,a}(W_{m-1},X)}$ for each $m > 1$ and $\|f|_{W_1}\|''_{Y^{\Upsilon,a}(W_1,X)} := \|f|_{W_1}\|_{Y^{\Upsilon,a}(W_1,X)}$; $c = (c_1, c_2)$, c_1 and

$c_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, $c < c'$ if either $c_1 < c'_1$ or $c_1 = c'_1$ and $c_2 < c'_2$; $\xi = (\Upsilon, a, c)$. Let M and N be the $Y^{\Upsilon,a',c'}$-manifolds with $a' < a$ and $c' < c$.

If N is a finite dimensional complex or quaternion $Y^{\Upsilon,a'}$-manifold, then it is also a $Y^{\Upsilon,a',c'}$-manifold. There exists a strict inductive limit $str-ind_m Q^m =: Q^{\infty}_{\Upsilon,a}(N, y_0)$,

$str-ind_m Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M_m; N) =: Q^{\infty}_{\Upsilon,a}(N)$, where $Q^m := Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M_m, X_{0,m}; N, y_0)$. Then with the help of charts of $At(M)$ and $At(N)$ the space $Y^{\xi}(W, X)$ induces the uniformity τ in $Q^{\infty}_{\Upsilon,a}(N, y_0)$ and the completion of it relative to τ we denote by $Y^{\xi}(M, X_0; N, y_0)$, where $\xi = (\Upsilon, a, c)$ and $\sum_{p \in \Lambda_M, j \in \Lambda_N} \|f_{p,j} - (w_0)_{p,j}\|^2_{Y^{\xi}(W_{p,j},X)} < \infty$ for each $f \in Y^{\xi}(M, X_0; N, y_0)$ is supposed to be satisfied with $w_0(M) = \{y_0\}$, since each M_m is compact. Substituting w_0 on a fixed mapping $\theta : M \to N$ we get a uniform space $Y^{\xi,\theta}(M, N)$. Therefore, using classes of equivalent elements from $Q^{\infty}_{\Upsilon,a}(N, y_0)$ and their closures in $Y^{\xi}(M, X_0; N, y_0)$ as in §2.1.5.1 we get the corresponding loop monoids which are denoted $(S^M N)_{\xi}$. Substituting spaces $Y^{\Upsilon,a}$ over $\mathbf{C}$ onto $Z^{\Upsilon,a}$ over $\mathbf{R}$ with the respective modifications we get spaces $Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(M, N)$ over $\mathbf{R}$ and loop monoids $(S^M_{\mathbf{R}} N)_{\xi}$ for the multi-index $\xi = (\Upsilon, a, c)$.

A relation between manifolds with corners and usual manifolds is given by the following lemma.

2.1.6. Lemma. *If M is a real or complex or quaternion manifold modelled on $X = \mathbf{K^m}$ or $X = l_2(\mathbf{K})$, where $\mathbf{K}$ is either $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{H}$ with an atlas $At(M) = \{(V_j, \phi_j) : j\}$, then there exists an atlas $At'(M) = \{(U_k, u_k, Q_k) : k\}$ which refines $At(M)$, where (V_j, ϕ_j) is an usual chart for each j with a diffeomorphism $\phi_j : V_j \to \phi_j(V_j)$ such that $\phi_j(V_j)$ is a C^{∞}-domain in $\mathbf{K^n}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{K})$, (U_k, u_k, Q_k) is a chart corresponding to quadrants Q_k in $\mathbf{K^n}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{K})$.*

Proof. The covering $\{V_j : j\}$ of M has a refinement $\{W_l : l\}$ such that for each j there exists $l = l(j)$ with $W_l \subset V_j$ so that each $\phi_j(W_l)$ is a simply connected region in $\mathbf{K^m}$ or $l_2(\mathbf{K})$ which is not the whole space. We choose W_l such that

(i) either $W_l \cap \partial M = \emptyset$ or $W_l \cap \partial M$ is open in ∂M;

(ii) $\{\pi_k(z) = z^k : z \in \phi_j(W_l)\} =: E_{l,k}$, $z \in X$, $\pi_k : X \to \mathbf{K}$ are canonical projections associated with the standard orthonormal base $\{e_j : j\}$ in X, $E_{l,k}$ are C^{∞}-regions in $\mathbf{K}$, $\phi_j(W_l) = \prod_{k=1}^m E_{l,k}$ for $X = \mathbf{K^m}$, or $\pi_J(\phi_j(W_l)) = \prod_{k \in J} E_{l,k}$ for each finite subset J in $\mathbf{N}$ and the corresponding projection $\pi_J : X \to sp_{\mathbf{K}}\{e_k : k \in J\}$. In the real case $E_{l,k}$ are open intervals in $\mathbf{R}$. In the complex case in view of the Riemann Mapping Theorem for each $E_{l,k}$

there exists holomorphic diffeomorphism $q_{l,k}$ either onto $B_r^- := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < r\}$ or $F_r := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < r, x^1 \ge 0\}$, where $z = x^1 + x^2 i$, $x^1, x^2 \in \mathbf{R}$, $z \in \mathbf{C}$ (see §2.12 in [25]). The latter case appears while treatment of $\pi_k(\phi_j(W_l \cap \partial M)) \ne \emptyset$ (see §10.5.2 [65] and §12 [25]).

In the quaternion case consider $\mathbf{H}$ as the linear space $\mathbf{C} \oplus j\mathbf{C}$ over $\mathbf{C}$, where $j = i_2$. Then right superlinear superdifferentiable mapping $q_{l,k}(z)$ of a quaternion variable $z = a + jb$, $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$, $z \in \mathbf{H}$, is characterized by the conditions $\partial q_{l,k}(a,b)/\partial \bar{a} = 0$ and $\partial q_{l,k}(a,b)/\partial \bar{b} = 0$ while $q_{l,k}$ is written in a, b variables (see Formulas 2.1.3.7(i, ii)). Moreover, $q_{l,k}$ is right linearly differentiable by a and b, that is, $s_{l,k}$ and $p_{l,k}$ are holomorphic in variables a, b, where $q_{l,k}(z) = s_{l,k} + jp_{l,k}$. Then each substitution $q_{l,k} \mapsto i_v q_{l,k}$ for each $i_v \in \{1, i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ preserves the property of right superlinear superdifferentiability and gives others dependent quaternion Cauchy-Riemann conditions in such notation (see also Propositions 2.2 and 3.13 [47]). Applying the Riemann mapping theorem to this situation (over $\mathbf{C^2}$) we get, that there exists a right superlinearly superdifferentiable mapping $q_{l,k}(z)$ such that $E_{l,k}$ is quaternion holomorphically diffeomorphic with $B_r^- := \{z \in \mathbf{H} : |z| < r\}$ or $F_r := \{z \in \mathbf{H} : |z| < r, x^1 \ge 0\}$, where $z = x^1 + x^2 i_1 + x^3 i_2 + x^4 i_3$, $x^1, ..., x^4 \in \mathbf{R}$, $z \in \mathbf{H}$. The latter statement can be proved also analogously to the complex case with the help of Theorem 3.15 and Remark 3.16 [47] in the class of quaternion right superlinearly superdifferentaible functions. Since this is true in the class of right superlinearly superdifferentiable functions, then this is true in the more abundant class of superdifferentiable functions (quaternion holomorphic). Slightly shrinking covering if necessary we can choose $\{W_l : l\}$ such that each $q_{l,k}$ and its derivatives are bounded on $E_{l,k}$. In view of Central Theorem from §6.3 [25] $q_{l,k}$ are boundary preserving maps. In view of Chapter 13 [53] B_r^- and F_r have finite atlases with charts corresponding to quadrants.

2.1.6.1. Note. Vice versa there are complex and quaternion manifolds with corners, which are not usual complex or quaternion manifolds correspondingly, for example, canonical closed domains F in $\mathbf{C^m}$ or in $\mathbf{H^m}$ with piecewise C^∞-boundaries, which are not of class C^1. Since each complex or quaternion manifold G has a boundary ∂G of class C^∞ by Definition 2.1.2.6.

2.1.6.2. Lemma. *The uniform spaces* $\mathsf{O}_{\Upsilon,a,c}(M,N)$ *and* $\mathsf{H}_{\Upsilon,a,c}(M,N)$ *from §§2.1.3 and 2.1.5 are infinite dimensional complex and quaternion manifolds respectively dense in* $C^0(M,N)$. *Moreover, there exist their tangent bundles* $T\mathsf{O}_{\Upsilon,a,c}(M,N) = \mathsf{O}_{\Upsilon,a,c}(M,TN)$ *and* $T\mathsf{H}_{\Upsilon,a,c}(M,N) = \mathsf{H}_{\Upsilon,a,c}(M,TN)$. *If* $N = \mathbf{K^n}$ *or* $N = l_2(\mathbf{K})$, *where* $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$ *or* $\mathbf{H}$, *then* $\mathsf{O}_{\Upsilon,a,c}(M,N)$ *and*

$\mathsf{H}_{\Upsilon,a,c}(M,N)$ *are infinite dimensional topological vector spaces over* $\mathbf{C}$ *and* $\mathbf{H}$ *respectively.*

Proof. The connecting mappings $\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}$ of charts (U_j, ϕ_j) and (U_k, ϕ_k) with $U_j \cap U_k \ne \emptyset$ are complex or quaternion holomorphic on the corresponding domains $\phi_k(U_j \cap U_k)$ for $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{H}$ respectively. Consider the quaternion case. For each submanifold M_j in M we have $T\mathsf{H}(M_j, N) = \mathsf{H}(M_j, TN)$ (see [15] and Proposition 2.1.3.3). For each $f \in \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,N)$ there exists a partition Z_f of M such that $f|_{M_{j,f}} \in \mathsf{H}(M_{j,f}, N)$ for each submanifold $M_{j,f}$ with corners defined by Z_f. In accordance with Proposition 2.1.3.3 and §2.1.4 $\phi_j^{-1} \circ \phi_k$ induce connecting mappings $(\phi_j^{-1} \circ \phi_k)^*$ of the corresponding charts in $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,N)$ such that $(\phi_j^{-1} \circ \phi_k)^*(f(z)) := f \circ (\phi_j^{-1} \circ \phi_k)(z)$ for each $z \in U_j \cap U_k$ such that its Frechét derivatives are the following $[\partial(\phi_j^{-1} \circ \phi_k)^*(f)/\partial f].h = (\phi_j^{-1} \circ \phi_k)^*(h)$ and $[\partial(\phi_j^{-1} \circ \phi_k)^*(f)/\partial \bar{f}].h = 0$, where h are vectors in $T_f \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(U_j \cap U_k, N)$. Thus transition mappings are quaternion holomorphic. Therefore, $T\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,N) = \mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,TN)$, since $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,N)$ is the quaternion manifold (certainly of class C^∞).

In particular $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,Y)$ is a topological vector space over $\mathbf{H}$ for $Y = \mathbf{H^n}$ or $Y = l_2(\mathbf{H})$. It remains to prove that the manifold $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,N)$ is infinite dimensional and dense in $C^0(M,N)$. This follows from Corollary 3.2.3, Exer. 1.28 and Conjecture in Exer. 3.2 [26] and [46], since for each quadrant Q and a given function s on ∂Q, which is a restriction $q|_{\partial Q}$ of a holomorphic function q on a neighbourhood of ∂Q in $\mathbf{H^m}$, $m = dim_{\mathbf{H}} M$, there exists a space of functions $u : W \to \mathbf{H^n}$ such that $u|_{Int(Q)}$ and $u|_{W \setminus Q}$ are holomorphic and bounded together with each partial derivative, where W is an open ball in $\mathbf{H^n}$ containing Q and such that $u^+(z) - u^-(z) = s(z)$ for each $z \in \partial Q$. Then using Cauchy integration along C^∞-curves we construct a space of continuous functions $f : W \to \mathbf{H^n}$ holomorphic on U and $W \setminus Q$ with prescribed $(\partial f)^-(z) - (\partial f)^+(z)$ for each $z \in \partial Q$ and analogously for $f \in C^l$ with jump conditions for higher order derivatives. In the case of $n > 1$ there also can be used holomorphic extension of holomorphic functions from proper quaternion submanifolds K of ∂Q (see Theorems 2(b) and 3(b) in [2] and Theorem 4.1.11 [26] and [46], since a space of rational functions $f : K \to T_y N$ such that $f|_K$ are holomorphic is infinite-dimensional, see also Corollaries 3.4 and 3.5 in [28]). Using charts of the atlas of M we get that $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,N)$ is infinite dimensional.

In view of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem [19] the space $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,Y)$ is dense in $C^0(M,Y)$, hence $\mathsf{H}_\Upsilon(M,N)$ is dense in $C^0(M,N)$. In the general case

$\mathsf{H}_{\Upsilon,a,c}(M,N)$ we finish the proof using the standard procedure of an increasing sequence of C^∞-domains W_n in a quadrant Q with $dim_{\mathbf{H}} Q < \infty$ such that $cl(\bigcup_n W_n) = Q$. The proof in the complex case is analogous due to Theorem VI.9 [9].

2.1.7. Theorems. (1). *A unform space $(S^M N)_\xi$ is a complete monoid and there exists a generated by it topological group $(L^M N)_\xi =: G$ for $\xi = (\Upsilon, a)$ or $\xi = (\Upsilon, a, c)$ from §2.1.5 which is complete separable Abelian. Moreover, in G there is a dense subgroup $(L^M N)_{\mathsf{O}}$ for complex manifolds or $(L^M N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ for quaternion manifolds with $\xi = (\Upsilon, a)$; G is non-discrete non-locally compact and locally connected for $dim_{\mathbf{R}} N > 1$.*

(2). *A uniform space $X^\xi(M,N) := T_e(L^M N)_\xi$ is a Hilbert space for each $1 \le m = dim_{\mathbf{K}} M \le \infty$ and $dim_{\mathbf{R}} N > 1$, where $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{H}$ respectively.*

(3.) *Let N be a Hilbert $Y^{\xi'}$-manifold over $\mathbf{K}$ with $a > a'$ and $c > c'$ for $\xi' = (\Upsilon, a')$ or $\xi' = (\Upsilon, a', c')$ respectively, then there exists a mapping $\tilde{E} : \tilde{T}(L^M N)_\xi \to (L^M N)_\xi$ defined by $\tilde{E}_\eta(v) = \exp_{\eta(s)} \circ v_\eta$ on a neighbourhood V_η of the zero section in $T_\eta(L^M N)_\xi$ and it is a C^∞-mapping for $Y^{\xi'}$-manifold N by v onto a neighbourhood $W_\eta = W_e \circ \eta$ of $\eta \in (L^M N)_\xi$; $\tilde{E}$ is the uniform isomorphism of uniform spaces V_η and W_η, where $s \in M$, e is a unit element in G, $v \in V_\eta$, $1 \le m \le \infty$.*

(4). *For complex or quaternion manifolds a group $(L^M N)_\xi$ is a closed proper subgroup in $(L^M_{\mathbf{R}} N_{\mathbf{R}})_\xi$ of infinite codimension of $T_e(L^M N)_\xi$ in $T_e(L^M_{\mathbf{R}} N_{\mathbf{R}})_\xi$.*

Proof. Consider the orientable quaternion manifolds. For $\xi = (\Upsilon, a)$ or $\xi = (\Upsilon, a, c)$ classes $< f >_\xi$ are closed due to Lemma 2.1.6.2 for the considered class of smoothness, since the uniform spaces $Y^\xi(M, s_0; N, y_0)$ are complete. Via the construction of an Abelian group from an Abelian monoid with unit and cancellation property we get the loop groups $(L^M N)_\xi$ and $(L^M_{\mathbf{R}} N)_\xi$ respectively for finite dimensional M, where $\xi = (\Upsilon, a)$ (see §2.1.4.1). There exists a strict inductive limit of loop groups $(L^{M_m} N)_{\Upsilon,a} =: L^m$, since there are natural embeddings $L^m \hookrightarrow L^{m+1}$, such that each element $f \in Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M_m, X_{0,m}; N, y_0)$ is considered in $Y^{\Upsilon,a}(M_{m+1}, X_{0,m+1}; N, y_0)$ as independent from $(z^{n(m)+1}, ..., z^{n(m+1)-1})$ in the local normal coordinates $(z^1, ..., z^{n(m+1)})$ of M_{m+1}. We denote it $str - ind_m L^m =: (L^M N)_{\Upsilon,a}$ (see §2.1.5.2). Via the construction of an Abelian group from an Abelian monoid with unity and cancellation property we get loop groups $(L^M N)_\xi$ for $\xi = (\Upsilon, a, c)$ also. The space $T_e(L^{M_m} N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ is linear over $\mathbf{H}$, where e is the unit element of the groop $(L^{M_m}N)_{\mathsf{H}}$. Then in particular $X^\xi(M_m,N)$ is the Banach space with $\|f\|_{X^\xi(M_m,N)} = \inf_{y\in f}\|y\|'_\xi$, where $f =< y >_\xi$, $y \in Y^\xi(M,s_0;X,0)$. On the other hand, $X^\xi(M_m,N)$ is isomorphic with the completion of $T_e(L^{M_m}N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ by the norm $\|f\|_{X^\xi(M_m,N)}$. Then $(\rho_{k,\gamma}(y^1+y^2))^2+(\rho_{k,\gamma}(y^1-y^2))^2 = 2[(\rho_{k,\gamma}(y^1))^2+(\rho_{k,\gamma}(y^2))^2]$ for each $y^1,y^2 \in H^\gamma(M,X;Z_k)$ due to the choices of ν and $\rho_{k,\gamma}$. If $y \in H^\gamma(M,X;Z_k)$ then $\rho_{k,\gamma}(y) = \rho_{l,\gamma}(y)$ for each $l > k$, since $\nu(M'_l) = 0$ and $y \in H^\gamma(M,X;Z_l)$. For each $y^1,y^2 \in {H^\gamma}_p(M,s_0;X,0)$ there exists $Z \in \Upsilon$ such that $y^1,y^2 \in H^\gamma(M,X;Z)$. Therefore, from §2.1.5 it follows that $\|f_1+f_2\|^2{}_{X^\xi(M,N)} + \|f_1-f_2\|^2{}_{X^\xi(M,N)} = 2[\|f_1\|^2{}_{X^\xi(M,N)} + \|f_2\|^2{}_{X^\xi(M,N)}]$ for each $f_1,f_2 \in X^\xi(M,N)$. Then $\|f_1+f_2\|^{*2}_k + \|f_1-f_2\|^{*2}_k = 2[\|f_1\|^{*2}_k + \|f_2\|^{*2}_k]$ for each $0 \le k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and each f_1 and $f_2 \in Q^\infty_{\Upsilon,a}(X)$, consequently, $\|f_1+f_2\|^2_{X^\xi(M,N)} + \|f_1-f_2\|^2_{X^\xi(M,N)} = 2[\|f_1\|^2_{X^\xi(M,N)} + \|f_2\|^2_{X^\xi(M,N)}]$. Hence the formula $4(f_1,f_2) := \|f_1+f_2\|^2{}_{X^\xi(M,N)} - \|f_1-f_2\|^2{}_{X^\xi(M,N)} + [\sum_{l=1}^3 i_l\|f_1+i_lf_2\|^2{}_{X^\xi(M,N)} - i_l\|f_1-i_lf_2\|^2{}_{X^\xi(M,N)}]/3$ gives the scalar product (f_1,f_2) in $X^\xi(M,N)$ and this is the Hilbert space over $\mathbf{H}$, where $i_l \in \{i,j,k\}$, $l = 1,2,3$.

The spaces $Y^\xi(M,s_0;N,y_0)$ and $X^\xi(M,N)$ are complete, consequently, G is complete. The space $X^\xi(M,N)$ is separable and $\Lambda_N \subset \mathbf{N}$, consequently, G is separable. The composition and the inversion in $(L^{M_m}N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ induces these operations in G, that are continuous due to Theorem 2.1.4.1 and using completions we get, that G is the Abelian topological group.

Let $\beta : M_m \to N$ be a Y^ξ-mapping such that $\beta(s_0) = y_0$. If C_0 is the connected component of y_0 in N then $\beta(M_m) \subset C_0$. On the other hand, N was supposed to be connected. In view of Theorems about extensions of functions of different classes of smoothness [66, 72] and using completions in the described above spaces there exists a neighbourhood W of w_0 such that for each $f : M_m \times \{0,1\} \to N$ of class Y^ξ with $f(M_m,0) = \{y_0\}$ and $f(s,1) = \beta(s)$ for each $s \in M$ there exists its Y^ξ-extension $f : M_m \times [0,1] \to N$, where $\{0,1\} := \{0\} \cup \{1\}$, $\beta \in W$, since there exists a neighbourhood V_0 of y_0 in N such that it is $C^0([0,1]\times V_0,N)$-contractible into a point. Hence for each class $< \beta >_\xi$ in a sufficiently small (open) neighbourhood of e there exists a continuous curve $h : [0,1] \to G$ such that $h(0) = e$ and $h(1) =< \beta >_\xi$.

In view of Theorem 2.1.4.1 and Lemma 2.1.6.2 the tangent space T_eG is infinite dimensional over $\mathbf{H}$, consequently, G is not locally compact, where e is the unit element in G.

Let ∇ be a covariant differentiation in N corresponding to the Levi-Civitá connection in N due to Theorem 5.1 [21]. This is possible, since N is the

Hilbert manifold C^∞-manifold over $\mathbf{H}$ and hence over $\mathbf{R}$, consequently, it has the partition of unity [38]. Therefore, there exists the exponential mapping $\exp : \tilde{T}N \to N$ such that for each $z \in N$ there are a ball $B(T_zN, 0, r) := \{y \in T_zN : \|y\|_{T_zN} \le r\}$ and a neighbourhood S_z of z in N for which $\exp_z : B(T_zN, 0, r) \to S_z$ is the homeomorphism, since ϕ is in the class of smoothness Y^ξ due to Theorem IV.2.5 [38], where $\exp_z w = \phi(1)$, $\phi(q)$ is a geodesic, $\phi : [0, 1] \to N$, $d\phi(q)/dq|_{q=0} = w$, $\phi(0) = z$, $w \in B(T_zN, 0, r)$ [34].

In view of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 [15] a mapping

(i) $E : \tilde{T}Y^\xi(M_m, s_0; N, y_0) \to Y^\xi(M_m, s_0; N, y_0)$ is a local isomorphism, since $a > b$, so $\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^2(k!)^{b-a} < \infty$, where

(ii) $E_g(h) := \exp_{g(s)} \circ h_g$, $s \in M_m$, $h \in TY^\xi(M_m, s_0; N, y_0)$, $h_g \in T_gY^\xi(M_m, s_0; N, y_0)$, $g \in Y^\xi(M_m, s_0; N, y_0)$. In view of [13, 15] the tangent bundle $TY^\xi(M_m, s_0; N, y_0)$ is isomorphic with $Y^\xi(M_m, s_0; TN, y_0 \times \{0\}) \times T_{y_0}N$. Then E induces $\tilde{E}$ with the help of factorisation by R_ξ and the subsequent construction of the loop group from the loop monoid. This mapping $\tilde{E}$ is of class of smoothness C^∞ as follows from equations for geodesics (see §IV.3 [38]), since TTN is the $Y^{\xi"}$-manifold with $a > a" > 0$ and $c > c" > 0$. Indeed, this construction at first may be applied for $(L^{M_m}N)_{\mathsf{H}}$ and then using the completion to $(L^MN)_\xi$.

The uniform space of quaternion holomorphic mappings from M into N is proper in the set of infinite Fréchet differentiable mappings from M into N. In view of §2.1.5 uniformities in groups $(L^MN)_\xi$ and $(L^M_{\mathbf{R}}N_{\mathbf{R}})_\xi$ are equivalent. Therefore, $(L^MN)_\xi$ has an embedding into $(L^M_{\mathbf{R}}N_{\mathbf{R}})_\xi$ as a closed proper subgroup of infinite codimension of $T_e(L^MN)_\xi$ in $T_e(L^M_{\mathbf{R}}N_{\mathbf{R}})_\xi$, since $dim_{\mathbf{R}}N > 1$. The proofs in the complex and orientable real cases are analogous.

It remains the case of nonorientable real or quaternion manifolds which can be deduced also from Theorems 2.1.8 below and the case of orientable manifolds.

2.1.8. Theorems. *Suppose that both either real or quaternion manifolds M and N together with their covering manifolds $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{N}$ satisfy the conditions imposed in §2.1.5.*

(**1**). *Let N be a nonorientable manifold and let $\theta_N : \tilde{N} \to N$ be a quotient mapping of its double covering manifold $\tilde{N}$. Then there exists a quotient group homomorphism $\tilde{\theta}_N : (L^M\tilde{N})_\xi \to (L^MN)_\xi$.*

(**2**). *Let M be a nonorientable manifold, then a quotient mapping $\theta_M : \tilde{M} \to M$ induces a group embedding $\tilde{\theta}_M : (L^MN)_\xi \hookrightarrow (L^{\tilde{M}}N)_\xi$.*

Proof. If M is a nonorientable manifold, then there exists the homomorphism h of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M, s_0)$ onto the two-element group $\mathbf{Z_2}$. For connected M the group $\pi_1(M, s_0)$ does not depend on a marked point s_0 and it is denoted by $\pi_1(M)$. If M is a connected manifold, then it has a universal covering manifold M^* which is linearly connected and it has a fiber bundle with the group $\pi_1(M)$ and a projection $p : M^* \to M$. Using the homomorphism h one gets the orientable double covering $\tilde{M}$ of M such that $\tilde{M}$ is connected, if M is connected (see Proposition 5.9 [35] and Theorem 78 [63]). Moreover, for each $x \in M$ there exists a neighborhood U of x such that $\theta_M^{-1}(U)$ is the disjoint union of two diffeomorphic open subsets V_1 and V_2 in $\tilde{M}$, where $\theta_M : \tilde{M} \to M$ is the quotient mapping, $g : V_1 \to V_2$ is a diffeomorphism.

(1). For each $\tilde{f} \in Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(M, \tilde{N})$ there exists $f = \theta_N \circ \tilde{f}$ in $Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(M, N)$. This induces the quotient mapping $\bar{\theta}_N : Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(M, \tilde{N}) \to Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(M, N)$, hence it induces the quotient mapping $\bar{\theta}_N : Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(M \vee M, \tilde{N}) \to Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(M \vee M, N)$ such that $\bar{\theta}_N(f \vee h) = \bar{\theta}_N(f) \vee \bar{\theta}_N(g)$. Considering the equivalence relation in $Y^\xi(M, s_0; \tilde{N}, y_0)$ and then loop monoids we get the quotient homomorphism $(S^M\tilde{N})_\xi \to (S^M N)_\xi$. With the help of construction of the loop group from the loop monoid it induces the loop groups quotient homomorphism.

(2). On the other hand, let M be a nonorientable manifold then the quotient mapping $\theta_M : \tilde{M} \to M$ induces a locally finite open covering $\{U_x : x \in M_0\}$ of M, where M_0 is a subset of M, such that each $\theta_M^{-1}(U_x)$ is the disjoint union of two open subsets $V_{x,1}$ and $V_{x,2}$ in $\tilde{M}$ and there exists a diffeomorphism g_x of $V_{x,1}$ on $V_{x,2}$ of the same class of smoothness as M. This produces the closed subspace of all $\tilde{f} \in Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(\tilde{M}, N)$ for which

(i) $\tilde{f}|_{V_{x,1}}(g_x^{-1}(y)) = \tilde{f}|_{V_{x,2}}(y)$ for each $y \in V_{x,2}$ and for each $x \in M_0$, where $M_0 = M_0^f$ and $\{U_x = U_x^f : x \in M_0\}$ may be dependent on f. If s_0 is a marked point in M, then let one of the points $\tilde{s}_0$ of $\theta_M^{-1}(s_0)$ be the marked point in $\tilde{M}$. Then θ_M induces the quotient mapping $\theta_M : \tilde{M} \vee \tilde{M} \to M \vee M$. If both M and $\tilde{M}$ satisfy the imposed above conditions on manifolds, then this induces the embedding $\bar{\theta}_M : Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(M, N) \hookrightarrow Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(\tilde{M}, N)$. The identity mapping $id(x) = x$ for each $x \in \tilde{M}$ evidently satisfy Condition (i). If $\tilde{f}$ is the diffeomorphism of $\tilde{M}$ satisfying Condition (i), then applying $\tilde{f}^{-2}$ to both sides of the equality we see, that it is satisfied for $\tilde{f}^{-1}$ with the same covering $\{U_x : M_0\}$. If $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{h}$ are two diffeomorphisms of $\tilde{M}$, then there exists $\{U_x^h : x \in M_0^h\}$ such that $\{\tilde{f}^{-1}(\theta_M^{-1}(U_x^h)) : x \in M_0^h\}$ is the locally finite covering of $\tilde{M}$. Two manifolds M and $\tilde{M}$ are metrizable, consequently, paracompact (see Theorem 5.1.3 [18]). Due to paracompactness of M and $\tilde{M}$ there exists a locally finite covering $\{U_x^{h\circ f} : x \in M_0^{h\circ f}\}$ for which Condition (i) is satisfied, since $\{U_x^f \cap \theta_M \circ \tilde{f}^{-1}(\theta_M^{-1}(U_z^h)) : x \in M_0^f, z \in M_0^h\}$ has a locally finite refinement. This means, that $\bar{\theta}_M : Diff^\infty(M_{\mathbf{R}}) \hookrightarrow Diff^\infty(\tilde{M}_{\mathbf{R}})$ is the group embedding. In a complete uniform space (X, U) for its subset Z a uniform space (Z, U_Z) is complete if and only if Z is closed in X relative to the topology induced by U (see Theorem 8.3.6 [18]). Since both groups are complete and the uniformity of $Diff^\infty(\tilde{M}_{\mathbf{R}})$ induces the uniformity in $Diff^\infty(M_{\mathbf{R}})$ equivalent to its own, then $\bar{\theta}_M(Diff^\infty(M_{\mathbf{R}}))$ is closed in $Diff^\infty(\tilde{M}_{\mathbf{R}})$. Considering the equivalence relation in $Z^{\Upsilon,a,c}(\tilde{M}, N)$ we get the embedding of loop monoids $\tilde{\theta}_M : (S^M N)_\xi \hookrightarrow (S^{\tilde{M}} N)_\xi$ (see §§2.1.4 and 2.1.5). This produces via the construction of an Abelian group from an Abelian monoid with unity and cancellation property the loop groups embedding (respecting their topological group structures) $\tilde{\theta}_M : (L^M N)_\xi \hookrightarrow (L^{\tilde{M}} N)_\xi$.

2.2. Note. For a diffeomorphism group we also consider a compact complex manifold M. For noncompact complex M, satisfying conditions of §2.1.1 and $(N1)$ a diffeomorphism group is considered as consisting of diffeomorphisms f of class $Y^{\Upsilon,a,c}$ (see §2.1.5), that is, $(f_{i,j} - id_{i,j}) \in Y^{\Upsilon,a,c}(U_{i,j}, \phi_i(U_i))$ for each i, j, $U_{i,j}$ is a domain of definition of $(f_{i,j} - id_{i,j})$ and then analogously to the real case the diffeomorphism group $Diff^\xi(M)$ is defined, where $\xi = (\Upsilon, a, c)$, $a = (a_1, a_2)$, $c = (c_1, c_2)$, $a_1 \le -1$ and $c_1 \le -1$. This means that $Diff^\xi(M) := Y^{\xi,id}(M, M) \cap Hom(M)$.

2.2.1. Theorem. *Let M and N be two complex manifolds, then there exist quaternion manifolds P and Q such that M and N have complex holomorphic emebeddings into P and Q respectively as closed submanifolds. Moreover, $(L^M N)_\xi$ is the proper closed subgroup in $(L^P Q)_\xi$ and $Diff^\xi(M)$ is the closed proper subgroup in $Diff^\xi(P)$ such that the codimensions over $\mathbf{R}$ of $T_e(L^M N)_\xi$ in $T_e(L^P Q)_\xi$ and $T_e Diff^\xi(M)$ in $T_e Diff^\xi(P)$ are infinite.*

Proof. At first prove that if N is a complex manifold, then there exists a quaternion manifold Q and a complex holomorphic embedding $\theta : N \hookrightarrow Q$. Suppose $At(N) = \{(V_a, \psi_a) : a \in \Lambda\}$ is any holomorphic atlas of N, where V_a is open in N, $\bigcup_a V_a = N$, $\psi_a : V_a \to \psi_a(V_a) \subset X = \mathbf{C^n}$ or $X = l_2(\mathbf{C})$ is a homeomorphism for each a, $n = dim_{\mathbf{C}} M \in \mathbf{N}$ or $n = \infty$ respectively, $\{V_a : a \in \Lambda\}$ is a locally finite covering of N, $\psi_b \circ \psi_a^{-1}$ is a holomorphic function on $\psi_a(V_a \cap V_b)$ for each $a, b \in \Lambda$ such that $V_a \cap V_b \ne \emptyset$. For each complex holomorphic function f on an open subset V in X there exists a quaternion holomorphic function F on an open subset U in $Y = \mathbf{H^n}$ or $Y = l_2(\mathbf{H})$ respectively such that $\pi_{1,1}(U) = V$ and $F_{1,1}|_{Ve} = f|_V$, where $\pi_{1,1} : Ze \oplus Zi \oplus Zj \oplus Zk \to Ze \oplus Zi = X$ is the projection with $Z = \mathbf{R^n}$ or $Z = l_2(\mathbf{R})$ correspondingly, $F_{1,1} := \pi_{1,1} \circ F$ (see Proposition 3.13 [47] and use a locally finite covering of V by balls). Therefore, for each two charts (V_a, ψ_a) and (V_b, ψ_b) with $V_{a,b} := V_a \cap V_b \neq \emptyset$ there exists $U_{a,b}$ open in Y and a quaternion holomorphic function $\Psi_{b,a}$ such that $\Psi_{b,a}|_{\psi_a(V_{a,b})e} = \psi_{b,a}|_{\psi_a(V_{a,b})}$, where $\psi_{b,a} := \psi_b \circ \psi_a^{-1}$, $\pi_{1,1}(U_{a,b}) = \psi_a(V_{a,b})$. Consider $F := \bigoplus_a F_a$, where F_a is open in Y, $\pi_{1,1}(F_a) = \psi_a(V_a)$ for each $a \in \Lambda$. The equivalence relation $\mathcal{C}$ in the topological space $\bigoplus_a \psi_a(V_a)$ generated by functions $\psi_{b,a}$ has an extension to the equivalence relation $\mathcal{H}$ in F. Then $Q := F/\mathcal{H}$ is the desired quaternion manifold with $At(Q) = \{(\Psi_a, U_a) : a \in \Lambda\}$ such that $\Psi_b \circ \Psi_a^{-1} = \Psi_{b,a}$ for each $U_a \cap U_b \neq \emptyset$, $\Psi_a^{-1}|_{\psi_a(V_a)e} = \psi_a^{-1}|_{\psi_a(V_a)}$ for each a, $\Psi_a^{-1} : F_a \to U_a$ is the quaternion homeomorphism. Moreover, each homeomorphism $\psi_a : V_a \to \psi_a(V_a) \subset X$ has the quaternion extension up to the homeomorphism $\Psi_a : U_a \to \Psi_a(U_a) \subset Y$. The family of embeddings $\eta_a : \psi_a(V_a) \hookrightarrow F_a$ such that $\pi_{1,1} \circ \eta_a = id$ together with $At(M)$ induces the complex holomorphic embedding $\theta : N \hookrightarrow Q$. If M is foliated then choose also P foliated such that $dim_{\mathbf{C}} M_m = dim_{\mathbf{H}} P_m = n(m)$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$ (see §2.1.5).

In view of Proposition 3.13 [47] there exists an embedding of $Y^\xi(M, N)$ into $Y^\xi(P, Q)$ as the closed submanifold such that a codimension over $\mathbf{R}$ of $T_f Y^\xi(M, N)$ in $T_g Y^\xi(P, Q)$ is infinite, where g is a quaternion extension of g. This is true even in the case when for these manifolds underlying real manifolds $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $P_{\mathbf{R}}$, $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $Q_{\mathbf{R}}$ are C^∞-diffeomorphic, since quaternion holomorphic functions need not be a right or left superlinearly superdifferentiable and hence need not in general satisfy complex Cauchy-Riemann conditions. Uniformities in $Y^\xi(M, N)$ and in $Y^\xi(P, Q)$ are consistent, hence embeddings of corresponding groups of loops and groups of diffeomorphisms are closed.

2.2.2. Remarks and definitions. For investigations of representations of diffeomorphism groups with the help of quasi-invariant transition measures induced by stochastic processes at first there are given below necessary definitions and statements on special kinds of diffeomorphism groups having Hilbert manifold structures.

Let M and N be real manifolds on $\mathbf{R^n}$ or l_2 and satisfying Conditions 2.2.(i-vi) [43] or they may be also canonical closed submanifolds of that of in [43]. For a field $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{H}$ let $l_{2,\delta}(\mathbf{K})$ be a Hilbert space of vectors $x = (x^j : x^j \in \mathbf{K}, j \in \mathbf{N})$ such that $\|x\|_{l_{2,\delta}} := \{\sum_{j=1}^\infty |x^j|^2 j^{2\delta}\}^{1/2} < \infty$. For $\delta = 0$ we omit it as the index. Let also U be an open subset in $\mathbf{R^m}$ and V be an open subset in $\mathbf{R^n}$ or l_2 over $\mathbf{R}$, where $0 \in U$ and $0 \in V$ with m and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. By $H^{l,\theta}_{\beta,\delta}(U,V)$ is denoted the following completion relative to the metric $q^l_{\beta,\delta}(f,g)$ of the family of all strongly infinite differentiable functions $f, g : U \to V$ with $q^l_{\beta,\delta}(f,\theta) < \infty$, where $\theta \in C^\infty(U,V)$, $0 \le l \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, $\infty > \delta \ge 0$, $q^l_{\beta,\delta}(f,g) := (\sum_{0 \le |\alpha| \le l} \|\bar{m}^{\alpha\delta} < x >^{\beta+|\alpha|} D^\alpha_x (f(x) - g(x))\|^2_{L^2})^{1/2}$, $L^2 := L^2(U,F)$ (for $F := \mathbf{R^n}$ or $F = l_{2,\delta} = l_{2,\delta}(\mathbf{R})$) is the standard Hilbert space of all classes of equivalent measurable functions $h : U \to F$ for which there exists a finite norm $\|h\|_{L^2} := (\int_U |h(x)|^2_F \mu_m(dx)))^{1/2} < \infty$, μ_m denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R^m}$.

Let also M and N have finite atlases such that M be on $X_M := \mathbf{R^m}$ and N on $X_N := \mathbf{R^n}$ or $X_N := l_2$, $\theta : M \hookrightarrow N$ be a C^∞-mapping, for example, embedding. Then $H^{l,\theta}_{\beta,\delta}(M,N)$ denotes the completion of a family of all C^∞-functions $g, f : M \to N$ with $\kappa^l_{\beta,\delta}(f,\theta) < \infty$, where the metric is given by the following formula $\kappa^l_{\beta,\delta}(f,g) = (\sum_{i,j} [q^l_{\beta,\delta}(f_{i,j}, g_{i,j})]^2)^{1/2}$, where $f_{i,j} := \psi_i \circ f \circ \phi_j^{-1}$ with domains $\phi_j(U_j) \cap \phi_j(f^{-1}(V_i))$, $At(M) := \{(U_i, \phi_i) : i\}$ and $At(N) := \{(V_j, \psi_j) : j\}$ are atlases of M and N, U_i is an open subset in M for each i and V_j is an open subset in N for each j, $\phi_i : U_i \to X_M$ and $\psi_j : V_j \to X_N$ are homeomorphisms of U_i on $\phi_i(U_i)$ and V_j on $\psi_j(V_j)$, respectively. Hilbert spaces $H^{l,\theta}_{\beta,\delta}(U,F)$ and $H^l_{\beta,0}(TM)$ are called weighted Sobolev spaces, where $H^l_{\beta,\delta}(TM) := \{f : M \to TM : f \in H^l_{\beta,\delta}(M,TM)$, $\pi \circ f(x) = x$ for each $x \in M\}$ with $\theta(x) = (x,0) \in T_xM$ for each $x \in M$. From the latter definition it follows, that for such f and g there exists $\lim_{R\to\infty} q^l_{\beta,\delta}(f|_{U^c_R}, g|_{U^c_R}) = 0$, when (U,ϕ) is a chart Hilbertian at infinity, U^c_R is an exterior of a ball of radius R in U with center in the fixed point x_0 relative to the distance function d_M in M induced by a Riemannian metric g (see §2.2(v) [43]). For $\beta = 0$ or $\gamma = 0$ we omit β or γ respectively in the notation $Dif^t_{\beta,\gamma}(M) := H^{t,id}_{\beta,\gamma}(M,M) \cap Hom(M)$ and $H^{l,\theta}_{\beta,\gamma}$.

The uniform space $Dif^t_{\beta,\gamma}(M)$ has the group structure relative to the composition of diffeomorphisms and is called the diffeomorphism group, where $Hom(M)$ is the group of all homeomorphisms of M.

Each topologically adjoint space $(H^l_\beta(TM))' =: H^{-l}_{-\beta}(TM)$ also is the Hilbert space with the standard norm in H' such that $\|\zeta\|_{H'} = \sup_{\|f\|_H = 1} |\zeta(f)|$.

2.3. Diffeomorphism groups of Gevrey-Sobolev classes of smooth-

ness. Notes and definitions. Let U and V be open subsets in the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R^k}$ with $k \in \mathbf{N}$ or in the standard separable Hilbert space l_2 over $\mathbf{R}$, $\theta : U \to V$ be a C^∞-function (infinitely strongly differentiable), $\infty > \delta \ge 0$ be a parameter. There exists the following metric space $H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta}(U,V)$ as the completion of a space of all functions $Q := \{f : f \in E^{\infty,\theta}_{\infty,\delta}(U,V)$, there exists $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $supp(f) \subset U \cap \mathbf{R^n}, d_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta}(f,\theta) < \infty\}$ relative to the given below metric $d_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta}$:

$$(i)\ d_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta}(f,g) := \sup_{x\in U}(\sum_{n=1}^\infty (\bar{\rho}^l_{\gamma,n,\delta}(f,g))^2)^{1/2} < \infty$$

and

$\lim_{R\to\infty} d_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta}(f|_{U^c_R}, g|_{U^c_R}) = 0$, when U is a chart Euclidean or Hilbertian correspondingly at infinity, f as an argument in $\bar{\rho}^l_{\gamma,n,\delta}$ is taken with the restriction on $U \cap \mathbf{R^n}$, that is, $f|_{U\cap\mathbf{R^n}} : U \cap \mathbf{R^n} \to f(U) \subset V$ (see also §§2.1-2.5 [43] and [44] about $E^{t,\theta}_{\beta,\gamma}$), $\bar{\rho}^l_{\gamma,n,\delta}(f,id)^2 := \omega_n^2(\kappa^l_{\gamma,\delta}(f|_{(U\cap\mathbf{R^n})}, id|_{(U\cap\mathbf{R^n})})^2 - \kappa^{l(n-1)}_{\gamma(n-1),\delta}(f|_{(U\cap\mathbf{R^{n-1}})}, id|_{(U\cap\mathbf{R^{n-1}})})^2)$ for each $n > 1$ and
$\bar{\rho}^l_{\gamma,1,\delta}(f,id) := \omega_1(\kappa^l_{\gamma,\delta}(f|_{(U\cap\mathbf{R^1})}, id|_{(U\cap\mathbf{R^1})})$ with $q^l_{\gamma,\delta}$ and the corresponding terms $\kappa^l_{\gamma,\delta}$ from §2.2.2, $l = l(n) > n+5$, $\gamma = \gamma(n)$ and $l(n+1) \ge l(n)$ for each n, $l(n) \ge [t] + sign\{t\} + [n/2] + 3$, $\gamma(n) \ge \beta + sign\{t\} + [n/2] + 7/2$, $\omega_{n+1} \ge n\omega_n \ge 1$. Moreover, $\bar{\rho}^l_{\gamma,n,\delta}(f,id)(x^{n+1}, x^{n+2}, ...) \ge 0$ is the metric by variables $x^1, ..., x^n$ in $H^l_{\gamma,\delta}(U \cap \mathbf{R^n}, V)$ for f as a function by $(x^1, ..., x^n)$ such that $\bar{\rho}^l_{\gamma,n,\delta}$ depends on parameters $(x^j : j > n)$. The index θ is omitted when $\theta = 0$. The series in (i) terminates $n \le k$, when $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let for M connecting mappings of charts be such that $(\phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1} - id_{i,j}) \in H^{\{l'\}}_{\{\gamma'\},\chi}(U_{i,j}, l_2)$ for each $U_i \cap U_j \ne \emptyset$ and the Riemannian metric g be of class of smoothness $H^{\{l'\}}_{\{\gamma'\},\chi}$, where subsets $U_{i,j}$ are open in $\mathbf{R^k}$ or in l_2 correspondingly domains of $\phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1}$, $l'(n) \ge l(n) + 2$, $\gamma'(n) \ge \gamma(n)$ for each n, $\infty > \chi \ge \delta$, submanifolds $\{M_k : k = k(n), n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ are the same as in Lemma 3.2 [43]. Let N be some manifold satisfying analogous conditions as M. Then there exists the following uniform space $H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,N) := \{f \in E^{\infty,\theta}_{\infty,\delta}(M,N) | (f_{i,j} - \theta_{i,j}) \in H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta}(U_{i,j}, l_2)$ for each charts $\{U_i, \phi_i\}$ and $\{U_j, \phi_j\}$ with $U_i \cap U_j \ne \emptyset, \chi_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(f,\theta) < \infty$ and $\lim_{R\to\infty} \chi_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(f|_{M^c_R}, \theta|_{M^c_R}) = 0\}$ and there exists the corresponding diffeomorphism group $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M) := \{f : f \in Hom(M)$, f^{-1} and $f \in H^{\{l\},id}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,M)\}$ with its topology given by the following left-invariant metric $\chi_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(f,g) := \chi_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(g^{-1}f, id)$,

$$(ii)\ \chi_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(f,g) := (\sum_{i,j}(d_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta}(f_{i,j}, g_{i,j}) i^\eta j^\eta)^2)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

$g_{i,j}(x) \in l_2$ and $f_{i,j}(x) \in l_2$, $\phi_i(U_i) \subset l_2$, $U_{i,j} = U_{i,j}(x^{n+1}, x^{n+2}, ...) \subset l_2$ is a domain of $f_{i,j}$ by variables $x^1, ..., x^n$ for chosen variables $(x^j : j > n)$ due to the given foliations in M, $U_{i,j} \subset \mathbf{R^n} \hookrightarrow l_2$, when $(x^j : j > n)$ are fixed and $U_{i,j}$ is a domain in $\mathbf{R^n}$ by variables $(x^1, ..., x^n)$, where $\infty > \eta \ge 0$.

In particular, for the finite dimensional manifold M_n the group $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M_n)$ is isomorphic to the diffeomorphism group $Dif^l_{\gamma,\delta}(M_n)$ of the weighted Sobolev class of smoothness $H^l_{\gamma,\delta}$ with $l = l(n)$, $\gamma = \gamma(n)$, where $n = dim_{\mathbf{R}}(M_n) < \infty$.

If manifolds M and N are both supplied (or considered with) either complex or quaternion structures with corresponding foliations in the infinite dimensional case, then in the definitions of $H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,N)$, $Dif^t_{\beta,\gamma}(M)$, $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ impose conditions that these uniform spaces are completions relative to their uniformities of complex or quaternion holomorphic mappings respectively, such that their elements f satisfy in the generalized sense condition $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ in the complex case and $\tilde{\partial} f = 0$ in the quaternion case. This generalized sense of complex or quaternion holomorphicity is induced by such conditions in the sense of distributions, since each Sobolev space H on finite dimensional manifold M_n for functions with values in a $\mathbf{K}$-Hilbert space X has the topologically conjugated space H^* relative to the complex or quaternion Hermitian inner product correspondingly. Elements of the above mentioned uniform spaces are therefore generalized functions on the subspaces of complex or quaternion holomorphic functions in H^*. In the case of a manifold N modelled on X over $\mathbf{K}$ use an atlas of N and transition mappings of charts in the standard way to get holomorphicity conditions in the sense of distributions.

2.4. Remarks. Let two sequences be given $\{l\} := \{l(n) : n \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset \mathbf{Z}$ and $\{\gamma\} := \{\gamma(n) : n \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset \mathbf{R}$, where manifolds M and $\{M_k : k = k(n), n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ are the same as in §§2.2 and 2.3. Then there exists the following space $H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M, TN)$. By $H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M|TN)$ it is denoted its subspace of all functions $f : M \to TN$ such that $\pi_N(f(x)) = \theta(x)$ for each $x \in M$, where $\pi_N : TN \to N$ is the natural projection, that is, each such f is a vector field along θ, $\theta : M \to N$ is a fixed C^∞-mapping. For $M = N$ and $\theta = id$ the metric space $H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M|TM)$ is denoted by $H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(TM)$. Spaces $H^{\{l\},id}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M_k|TN)$ and $H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(TM)$ are Banach spaces with the norms $\|f\|_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta} := \chi_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(f, f_0)$ denoted by the same symbol, where $f_0(x) = (x, 0)$ and $pr_2 f_0(x) = 0$ for each $x \in M$. This definition can be spread on the case $l = l(n) < 0$, if take $\sup_{\|\tau\|=1} | < x >^{|\alpha|-\gamma(m)}_m (D^\alpha_x \tau_{i,j}, [\zeta_{i,j} - \xi_{i,j}])_{L^2(U_{i,j,m},l_{2,\delta})}|$ instead of $\| < x >^{\gamma(m)+|\alpha|}_m D^\alpha_x(\zeta_{i,j} - \xi_{i,j})(x)\|_{L^2(U_{i,j,m},l_{2,\delta})}$, where $\tau \in H^{-l}_{-\gamma}(M_k|TN)$, $< x >_m = (1 + \sum_{i=1}^m (x^i)^2)^{1/2}$, $U_{i,j,m} = U_{i,j,m}(x^{m+1}, x^{m+2}, ...)$ denotes the domain of the function $\zeta_{i,j}$ by $x^1, ..., x^m$ for chosen $(x^j : j > m)$, $\|\zeta\|_k(x)$ are functions by variables $(x^i : i > k)$. Further the traditional notation is used: $sign(\epsilon) = 1$ for $\epsilon > 0$, $sign(\epsilon) = -1$ for $\epsilon < 0$, $sign(0) = 0$, $\{t\} = t - [t] \geq 0$.

2.5. Lemma. *Let a manifold M and spaces $E^t_{\beta,\delta}(TM)$ and $H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(TM)$ be the same as in §§2.2-2.4 with $l(k) \geq [t] + [k/2] + 3 + sign\{t\}$, $\gamma(k) \geq \beta + [k/2] + 7/2 + sign\{t\}$. Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $C_n > 1$ for each n such that $\|\zeta\|_{E^t_{\beta,\delta}(TM)} \leq C\|\zeta\|_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,0}$ for each $\zeta \in H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,0}(TM)$, moreover, there can be chosen $\omega_n \geq C_n$, $C_{n+1} \geq k(n+1)(k(n+1)-1)...(k(n)+1)C_n$ for each n such that the following inequality be valid: $\|\xi\|_{C^{l'(k)}_{\gamma'(k)}(TM_k)} \leq C_n\|\xi\|_{H^{l(k)}_{\gamma(k)}(TM_k)}$ for each $k = k(n)$, $l'(k) = l(k) - [k/2] - 1$, $\gamma'(k) = \gamma(k) - [k/2] - 1$ for each $\xi \in H^{l(k)}_{\gamma(k)}(TM_k)$.*

Proof. In view of theorems from [73] and the inequality $\int_{\mathbf{R^m}} < x >^{-m-1}_m dx < \infty$ (for $< x >_m$ taken in $\mathbf{R^m}$ with $x \in \mathbf{R^m}$) there exists the embedding $H^{l(n)}_{\gamma(n)}(TM_n) \hookrightarrow C^{l'(n)}_{\gamma'(n)}(TM_n)$ for each n, since $2([n/2]+1) \geq n+1$. Moreover, due to results of §III.6 [51] there exists a constant $C_n > 0$ for each $k = k(n)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\|\xi\|_{C^{l'(k)}_{\gamma'(k)}(TM_k)} \leq C_n\|\xi\|_{H^{l(k)}_{\gamma(k)}(TM_k)}$ for each $\xi \in H^{l(k)}_{\gamma(k)}(TM_k)$. Then $D^\alpha f(x^1, ..., x^n, ...) - D^\alpha f(y^1, ..., y^n, ...) =$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(D^\alpha f(y^1, ..., y^{n-1}, x^n, ...) - D^\alpha f(y^1, ..., y^n, x^{n+1}, ...))$$

for each $f \in H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta}(TM)$ in local coordinates, where $f(y^1, ..., y^{n-1}, x^n, ...) = f(x^1, x^2, ..., x^n, ...)$, if $n = 0$; $\alpha = (\alpha^1, ..., \alpha^m)$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $\alpha^i \in \mathbf{N_o} := \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$. Therefore, for each $x^n < y^n$ the following inequlity is satisfied:
$|D^\alpha f(y^1, ..., y^{n-1}, x^n, x^{n+1}, ...) - D^\alpha f(y^1, ..., y^n, x^{n+1}, ...)|_{l_{2,\delta}} \bar{m}^{\alpha\delta} \leq$

$$[\int_{\phi_j(U_j \cap M_k) \ni z := (y^1, ..., y^{n-1}, z^n), x^n \leq z^n \leq y^n} |D^\alpha \partial f(y^1, ..., y^{n-1}, z^n, x^{n+1}, ...)/\partial z^n|_{l_{2,\delta}} dz^n]\bar{m}^{\alpha\delta} \leq$$

$$C^1 \int\int_{\phi_j(U_j\cap M_{k(n+1)})\ni z:=(y^1,...,y^{n-1},z^n,z^{n+1}), x^n\le z^n\le y^n} sup_{x\in M}(\|f\|_{H^{l(k(n+8))}_{\gamma(k(n+8))}(M_{k(n+8)}|TM)}$$

$< z >^{-5/2}_{n+1})dz^ndz^{n+1}(n+1)^{-2} \le C'\|f\|_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,0} \times (n+1)^{-2}$,
when $|\alpha| = \alpha^1 + ... + \alpha^m \le l(k)$, $k = k(n) \ge n$, $m \le n$, where $C^1 = const > 0$ and $C' = const > 0$ are constants not depending on n and k; x, y and $(y^1, .., y^n, x^{n+1}, x^{n+2}, ...) \in \phi_j(U_j)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. This is possible due to local convexity of the subset $\phi_j(U_j) \subset l_2$. Therefore, $H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,0}(TM) \subset E^t_{\beta,\delta}(TM)$ and $\|f\|_{E^t_{\beta,\delta}(TM)} \le C\|f\|_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,0}$ for each $f \in H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,0}(TM)$, moreover, $C = C'\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-2} < \infty$, since $\sup_{x\in M}\sum_{j=1}^\infty g_j(x) \le \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sup_{x\in M} g_j(x)$ for each function $g : M \to [0,\infty)$ and $\lim_{R\to\infty} \|f|_{M^c_R}\|_{E^t_{\beta,\delta}(TM)} \le C\times\lim_{R\to\infty} \| f|_{M^c_R}\|_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,0} = 0$.

The uniform space $E^t_{\beta,\delta}(TM) \cap H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,0}(TM)$ contains the corresponding cylindrical functions ζ, in particular with $supp(\zeta) \subset U_j \cap M_n$ for some $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and $k = k(n)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The linear span of the family K over the field $\mathbf{R}$ of all such functions ζ is dense in $E^t_{\beta,\delta}(TM)$ and in $H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,0}(TM)$ due to the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, consequently, $H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,0}(TM)$ is dense in $E^t_{\beta,\delta}(TM)$, since $\partial f/\partial x^{n+1} = 0$ for cylindrical functions f independent from x^{n+j} for each $j > 0$.

2.5.1. Lemma. *Let M and N be both either complex or quaternion manifolds and $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ be underlying their Riemann manifolds over $\mathbf{R}$. Then $H := H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,N)$ has a holomorphic embedding into $H^{\mathbf{R}} := H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M_{\mathbf{R}},N_{\mathbf{R}})$ as the closed uniform subspace and the codimension of H in $H^{\mathbf{R}}$ over $\mathbf{R}$ is infinite.*

Proof. Elements of $H^{\mathbf{R}}$ are Fréchet differentiable, consequently, operators $f \mapsto Df$ are continuous from $H^{\mathbf{R}}$ into $D(H^{\mathbf{R}})$. Since $D = \partial + \bar\partial$ in the complex case and $D = \partial + \tilde\partial$ in the quaternion case, then the generalized holomorphicity condition $\bar\partial f = 0$ in the complex case or $\tilde\partial f = 0$ in the quaternion case defines the closed uniform subspace H in $H^{\mathbf{R}}$. This is correct, since transition mappings of charts are either complex or quaternion holomorphic respectively. The uniform subspace of mappings $Df \ne \partial f$ is infinite dimensional, since subspaces of locally analytic functions having nonvanishing series in variables $\bar z$ or $\tilde z$ (in local coordinates) are infinite dimensional. Thus H has an infinite codimension in $H^{\mathbf{R}}$ over $\mathbf{R}$.

2.6.1. Note. For a diffeomorphism group $Diff^t_{\beta,\gamma}(\tilde M)$ of a Banach manifold $\tilde{M}$ let M be a dense Hilbert submanifold in $\tilde{M}$ as in [43, 44].

2.6.2. Lemma. *Let* $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ *and* M *be the same as in §2.3 with values of parameters* C_n *from Lemma 2.5 for given* $l(k)$, $\gamma(k)$ *and* $k=k(n)$ *with* $\omega_n = l(k(n))!C_n$, *then* $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ *is the separable metrizable topological group dense in* $Diff^t_{\beta,\delta}(\tilde{M})$.

In the case of a complex or quaternion manifold M *the groups* $Diff^\xi(M)$, $Dif^t_{\beta,\gamma}(M)$ *and* $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ *(see §§2.1.5, 2.2, 2.3) are the separable metrizable topological groups. They have embeddings as closed subgroups into the groups* $Diff^\xi(M_{\mathbf{R}})$, $Dif^t_{\beta,\gamma}(M_{\mathbf{R}})$ *and* $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M_{\mathbf{R}})$ *respectively of infinite codimensions.*

Proof. Consider at first the real case. From the results of the paper [57] it follows that the uniform space $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M_k)$ is the topological group for each finite dimensional submanifold M_k, since $l(k) > k+5$ and $dim_{\mathbf{R}} M_k = k$. The minimal algebraic group $G_0 := gr(Q)$ generated by the family $Q := \{f : f \in E^{\{l\},id}_{\{\gamma\},\delta}(U,V)$ for all possible pairs of charts U_i and U_j with $U = \phi_i(U_i)$ and $V = \phi_j(U_j)$, $supp(f) \subset U \cap \mathbf{R^n}$, $f \in Hom(M)$, $dim_{\mathbf{R}} M \ge n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is dense in $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ and in $Diff^t_{\beta,\delta}(M)$ due to the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, since the union $\bigcup_k M_k$ is dense in M, where $supp(f) := cl\{x \in M : f(x) \ne x\}$, $cl(B)$ denotes the closure of a subset B in M. Therefore, $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ and $Diff^t_{\beta,\delta}(\tilde{M})$ are the separable topological spaces. It remains to verify that $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ is the topological group. For it can be used Lemma 2.5. For $a>0$ and $k \ge 1$ using integration by parts formula we get the following equality $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(a^2+x^2)^{-(k+2)/2}dx = ((k-1)/(ka^2))\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(a^2+x^2)^{k/2}dx$, which takes into account the weight multipliers. Let $f,g \in Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(V)$ for an open subset $V = \phi_j(U_j) \subset l_2$ and $\chi_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(f,id) < 1/2$ and $\chi_{\{l\},\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(g,id) < \infty$, then $\bar{\rho}^l_{\gamma,n,\delta}(g^{-1}\circ f, id) \le C_{l,n,\gamma,\delta}(\bar{\rho}^{4l}_{\gamma,n,\delta}(f,id) + \bar{\rho}^{4l}_{\gamma,n,\delta}(g,id))$, where $0 < C_{l,n,\gamma,\delta} \le 1$ is a constant dependent on l,n,γ and independent from f and g. For the Bell polynomials Y_n there is the following inequality $Y_n(1,...,1) \le n!e^n$ for each n and $Y_n(F/2,...,F/(n+1)) \le (2n)!e^n$ for $F^p := F_p = (n+p)_p := (n+p)...(n+2)(n+1)$ (see Chapter 5 in [64] and Theorem 2.5 in [4]). The Bell polynomials are given by the following formula $Y_n(fg_1,...,fg_n) := \sum_{\pi(n)}(n!f_k/(k_1!...k_n!))(g_1/1!)^{k_1}...(g_n/n!)^{k_n}$, where the sum is by all partitions $\pi(n)$ of the number n, this partition is denoted by $1^{k_1}2^{k_2}...n^{k_n}$ such that $k_1+2k_2+...+nk_n = n$ and k_i is a number of terms equal to i, the total number of terms in the partition is equal to $k = k(\pi) = k_1+....+k_n$, $f^k := f_k$ in the

Blissar calculus notation. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $l = l(n)$ and $\gamma = \gamma(n)$ the following inequality is satisfied: $\bar{\rho}^l_{\gamma,n,\delta}(f \circ g, id) \le Y_l(\bar{f}\bar{g}_1, ..., \bar{f}\bar{g}_m)$, $\bar{\rho}^{l+1}_{\gamma,n,\delta}(f^{-1}, id) \le (3/2)Y_l(Fp_1/2, ..., Fp_m/(m+1))$, where $\bar{f}^m := \bar{f}_m = \bar{\rho}^m_{\gamma,\underline{n},\delta}(f, id)$ and $F^k := F_k = (n+k)_k$, $(n)_j := n(n-1)...(n-j+1)$, $p_k = -\bar{f}_{k+1}(3/2)^{k+1}$. Then $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (2l(k(n)))! e^{l(k(n))} b^{4l(k(n))} (l(k(n))!)[(4l(k(n)))!]^{-1} < \infty$ for each $0 < b < \infty$. Hence due the Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakovskii inequality and the condition $C_n^2 > C_n$ for each n we get: $f \circ g$ and $f^{-1} \in Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ for each f and $g \in Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$, moreover, the operations of composition an inversion are continuous.

The base of neighborhoods of id in $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ is countable, hence this group is metrizable, moreover, a metric can be chosen left-invariant due to Theorem 8.3 [27]. The case $Diff^\xi(M)$ for a complex or quaternion manifold M is analogous to that of considered above. The latter statement follows from Lemma 2.5.1.

2.7. Lemma. *Let* $G' := Di^{\{l"\}}_{\{\gamma"\},\delta",\eta"}(M)$ *be a subgroup of* $G := Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ *such that* $m(n) > n/2$, $l"(n) = l(n) + m(n)n$, $\gamma"(n) = \max(\gamma(n) - m(n)n, 0)$ *for each* n, $inf-\lim_{n\to\infty} m(n)/n = c > 1/2$, $\delta" > \delta + 1/2$, $\infty > \eta" > \eta + 1/2$, $\eta \ge 0$ *(see §2.3). Let also* $G' := Diff^{\xi'}(M')$ *be a subgroup of* $G = Diff^\xi(M)$ *with either* $a'_1 < a_1$ *and* $c'_1 < c_1$ *or* $a'_1 = a_1$ *and* $a'_2 < a_2 - 1$ *and* $c'_1 = c_1$ *and* $c'_2 < c_2 - 1$ *for the complex or quaternion manifold* M *(see §§2.1.5 and 2.2). Then there exists a Hilbert-Schmidt operator of embedding* $J : Y' \hookrightarrow Y$, *where* $Y := T_eG$ *and* $Y' := T_eG'$ *are tangent Hilbert spaces over* $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{C}$ *or* $\mathbf{H}$ *respectively.*

Proof. Consider at first the real case. The natural embedding θ_k of the Hilbert spaces $H^{l(k)-m(k)k,b(k)}_{\gamma(k)+m(k)k,\delta}(M_k, \mathbf{R})$ into $H^{l(k),b(k)}_{\gamma(k)k,\delta}(M_k, l_{2,\delta+1+\epsilon})$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt operator for each $k = k(n)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ (see their definition for general Banach spaces in [62]). For each chart (U_j, ϕ_j) there are linearly independent functions $x^m e_l < x >^\zeta_n /m! =: f_{m,l,n}(x)$, where $\{e_l : l \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset l_2$ is the standard orthonormal basis in l_2, $x^m := x_1^{m_1}...x_n^{m_n}$, $m! = m_1!...m_n!$, $< x >_n = (1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (x^i)^2)^{1/2}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\zeta(n) = \zeta \in \mathbf{R}$. The linear span over $\mathbf{R}$ of the family of all such functions $f(x)$ is dense in Y. Moreover, $D^\alpha f(x) = e_l \sum \binom{\alpha}{\beta}(D^\beta x^m/m!)\ (D^{\alpha-\beta} < x >^\zeta_n)$, where $D^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha^1}...\partial_n^{\alpha^n}$, $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$, $\alpha = (\alpha^1, ..., \alpha^n)$, $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\alpha^i}{\beta^i}$, $0 \le \alpha^i \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} q^n/n! = 0$ for each $\infty > q > 0$, $\sum_{j,l,n=1}^\infty \sum_{|m| \ge m(n),m} [jln^n m_1...m_n]^{-(1+2\epsilon)} < \infty$ for each $0 < \epsilon < \min(c - 1/2, \eta" - \eta - 1/2, \delta" - \delta - 1/2)$, where $m = (m_1, ..., m_n)$, $|m| := m_1 + ... + m_n$, $0 \le m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Hence due to §§2.3 and 2.4 the embedding

J is the Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

In the complex case and quaternion cases it is possible to use the convergence of the series
$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(j!)^{{a'}_1-a_1}(n!)^{{c'}_1-c_1}<\infty$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}j^{{a'}_2-a_2}n^{{c'}_2-c_2}<\infty$.

2.8. Theorems. *Let diffeomorphism groups* $G:=Di_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)$ *and* $G:=Diff^{\xi}(M)$ *be the same as in §§2.2, 2.3. Then*

$$(i) \text{for each } H_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\},id}(M,TM)\text{-vector field } V \text{ its flow } \eta_t$$

is a one-parameter subgroup of $Di_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)$, *the curve* $t\mapsto\eta_t$ *is of class* C^1, *the mapping* $\tilde{E}xp:\tilde{T}_eDi_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)\to Di_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)$, *is continuous and defined on the neighbourhood* $\tilde{T}_eDi_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)$ *of the zero section in* $T_eDi_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)$, $V\mapsto\eta_1$;

$$(ii)\ T_fDi_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M),=\{V\in H_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\},id}(M,TM)|\pi\circ V=f\};$$

$$(iii)\ (V,W)=\int_M g_{f(x)}(V_x,W_x)\mu(dx)$$

is a weak Riemannian structure on a Hilbert manifold $Di_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)$, *where* μ *is a measure induced on* M *by* ϕ_j *and a Gaussian measure with zero mean value on* l_2 *produced by an injective self-adjoint operator* $Q:l_2\to l_2$ *of trace class,* $0<\mu(M)<\infty$;

(iv) the Levi-Civita connection ∇ *on* M *induces the Levi-Civita connection* $\hat{\nabla}$ *on* $Di_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)$;

$$(v)\ \tilde{E}:TDi_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)\to Di_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M) \text{ is defined by}$$

$\tilde{E}_\eta(V)=exp_{\eta(x)}\circ V_\eta$ *on a neighbourhood* $\bar{V}$ *of the zero section in* $T_\eta Di_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)$ *and is a* $H_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\},id}$*-mapping by* V *onto a neighbourhood* $W_\eta=W_{id}\circ\eta$ *of* $\eta\in Di_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\}}(M)$; $\tilde{E}$ *is the uniform isomorphism of uniform spaces* $\bar{V}$ *and* W. *Analogous statements are true for* $Diff^{\xi}(M)$ *with the class of smoothness* $Y^{\xi,id}$ *instead of* $H_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\},id}$.

Proof. We have that $T_fH_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\},\theta}(M,N)=\{g\in H_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}^{\{l\},\theta}(M,TN):\pi_N\circ g=f\}$, where $\pi_N:TN\to N$ is the canonical projection. Therefore, the tangent space is given by the formula $TH^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,N) = H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,TN) = \bigcup_f T_f H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,N)$ and the following mapping $w_{exp} : T_f H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,N) \to H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,N)$, $w_{exp}(g) = exp \circ g$ gives charts for $H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,N)$, since TN has an atlas of class $H^{\{l'(n)-1:n\}}_{\{\gamma'(n)+1:n\},\chi}$. Apply Theorem 5 about differential equations on Banach manifolds in §4.2 [38] to the case considered here. Then a vector field V of class $H^{\{l\},\theta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}$ on M defines a flow η_t of such class, that is $d\eta_t/dt = V \circ \eta_t$ and $\eta_0 = e$. From the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 in [13] we get that η_t is a one-parameter subgroup of $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$, the curve $t \mapsto \eta_t$ is of class C^1, the map $\tilde{E}xp : T_e Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M) \to Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ defined by $V \mapsto \eta_1$ is continuous.

Each curve of the form $t \mapsto \tilde{E}(tV)$ is a geodesic for $V \in T_\eta Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ such that $d\tilde{E}(tV)/dt$ is the map $m \mapsto d(exp(tV(m)))/dt = \gamma'_m(t)$ for each $m \in M$, where $\gamma_m(t)$ is a geodesic on M, $\gamma_m(0) = \eta(m)$, $\gamma'_m(0) = V(m)$. Indeed, this follows from an existence of a solution of a corresponding differential equation in the Hilbert space $H^{\{l\},\eta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M|TM)$, then we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 9.1 [13].

From the definition of μ it follows that for each $x \in M$ there exists an open neighbourhood $Y \ni x$ such that $\mu(Y) > 0$ [67]. Since $t \ge 1$, the scalar product (iii) gives a weaker topology than the initial $H^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}$.

Then the right multiplication $\alpha_h(f) = f \circ h$, $f \to f \circ h$ is of class C^∞ on $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ for each $h \in Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$. Moreover, $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ acts on itself freely from the right, hence we have the following principal vector bundle $\tilde{\pi} : TDi^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M) \to Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ with the canonical projection $\tilde{\pi}$.

Analogously to [13, 43] we get the connection $\hat{\nabla} = \nabla \circ h$ over $\mathbf{R}$ on $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$. If ∇ is torsion-free then $\hat{\nabla}$ is also torsion-free. From this it follows that the existence of $\tilde{E}$ and $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ is the Hilbert manifold of class $H^{\{l'(n)-1:n\}}_{\{\gamma'(n)+1:n\},\chi,\eta}$, since exp for M is of class $H^{\{l'(n)-1:n\}}_{\{\gamma'(n)+1:n\},\delta}$, $f \to f \circ h$ is a C^∞ mapping with the derivative $\alpha_h : H^{\{l\},\eta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M',TN) \to H^{\{l\},\eta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,TN)$ whilst $h \in H^{\{l\},\eta}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M,M')$,

(vi) $\tilde{E}_h(\hat{V}) := exp_{h(x)}(V(h(x)))$, where

(vii) $\hat{V}_h = V \circ h$, V is a vector field in M, $\hat{V}$ is a vector field in $Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$.

2.9. Theorem. *Let M and N be manifolds both either real or complex or quaternion and $(L^M N)_\xi$ and $Diff^\xi_{y_0}(N)$ be a group of loops and a* *group of diffeomorphisms preserving a marked point* $y_0 \in N$*, where* ξ *is a class of smoothness (see §§2.1, 2.2 and 2.3). Then there exists a topological locally connected nonlocally compact group which is their semidirect product* $Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^M N)_{\xi}$ *such that for complex or quaternion manifolds it has an embedding as the closed subgroup into* $Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N_{\mathbf{R}}) \otimes^s (L^{M_{\mathbf{R}}} N_{\mathbf{R}})_{\xi}$ *with infinite codimension. If* M *and* N *are complex manifolds, then there exist quaternion manifolds* P *and* Q *and complex holomorphic embeddings of* M *and* N *into* P *and* Q *respectively and an embedding of* $Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^M N)_{\xi}$ *into* $Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(Q) \otimes^s (L^P Q)_{\xi}$ *as a closed subgroup of infinite codimension of* $T_e(Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^M N)_{\xi})$ *in* $T_e(Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(Q) \otimes^s (L^P Q)_{\xi})$*.*

Proof. Let $Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N)$ be the subgroup of the group of diffeomorphisms preservind a marked point y_0 in N, $\zeta \in Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N)$ be a marked element in this subgroup, then it induces the internal automoprhism $Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N) \ni \psi \mapsto \zeta \circ \psi \circ \zeta^{-1}$. For each function $f : M \to N$ with $f(s_0) = y_0$ and each diffeomorphism $\psi \in Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N)$ there is defined a mapping $\psi(f) : M \to N$ such that $\psi(f)(s_0) = y_0$. Consider the equivalence relation caused by the action of $Diff^{\xi}_{s_0}(M)$ on the space of all such mappings f of the class of smootness corresponding to ξ. From Theorem 2.1.4.1 it follows, that ψ is an automorphism of the loop monoid $(S^M N)_{\xi}$, since $\psi(\omega_0) = \omega_0$ and $\psi(f_1 \vee f_2) = \psi(f_1) \vee \psi(f_2)$ for each f_1 and $f_2 : M \to N$ with $f_1(s_0) = y_0$ and $f_2(s_0) = y_0$, where $\omega_0(M) := \{y_0\}$. In view of Theorem 2.1.7(1) the diffeomorphism ψ induces the automorphism of the loop group, which it is convenient to denote by $\psi : g \ni (L^M N)_{\xi} \mapsto \psi(g)$. Thus there exists a semidirect product of these groups for which products of elements (ψ_1, g_1) and $(\psi_2, g_2) \in Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^M N)_{\xi}$ are given by the formula: $(\psi_1, g_1)(\psi_2, g_2) = (\psi_1 \circ \psi_2, g_1 g_2^{\psi_1})$, where $g^{\psi} := \zeta \circ \psi \circ \zeta^{-1}(g)$ for each $g \in (L^M N)_{\xi}$. Since the diffeomorphism group $Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N)$ is nonlocally compact and locally connected, then such is also $Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^M N)_{\xi}$. The latter statements about embeddings follows from Theorems 2.1.7(4), 2.2.1 and Lemma 2.6.2.

2.10. Theorem. *Let* $G := Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^M N)_{\xi}$ *be a semidirect product of a group of diffeomorphisms and a group of loops as in §2.9. Then* G *is an infinite dimensional uniformly complete Lie group which does not satisfy even locally the Campbell-Hausdorff formula as well as its closed subgroups* $Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N)$ *and* $(L^M N)_{\xi}$*, besides the degenerate case of* $Diff^{\mathcal{O}}(N)$ *for a compact complex manifold* N*. If both manifolds* M *and* N *are either complex or quaternion, then* G *has a structure of a complex or a quaternion manifold* *respectively.*

Proof. If $dim_{\mathbf{R}} N > 1$, then $(L^M N)_\xi$ is infinite dimensional and nonlocally compact manifold (see Theorem 2.1.7). A group $Diff^\xi_{y_0}(N)$ may be locally compact only for finite dimensional complex manifold N and $\xi = \mathsf{O}$, but then $dim_{\mathbf{R}} N > 1$, hence G is nonlocally compact in all cases. Groups $Diff^\xi_{y_0}(N)$ and $(L^M N)_\xi$ have structures of smooth manifolds, hence so is G also, since $Diff^\xi_{y_0}(N)$ acts smoothly on $(L^M N)_\xi$. Group operations $(f, g) \mapsto fg^{-1}$ are smooth in them, hence they are Lie groups. For both either complex or quaternion manifolds M and N groups of loops and diffeomorphisms have structures of complex and quaternion manifolds respectively, hence such is their semidirect product also. It is known, that the diffeomorphism group does not satisfy the Campbell-Hausdorff formula besides the case of a compact complex manifold N for $Diff^{\mathsf{O}}(N)$ (see [7, 13, 36]). The uniformities in $Diff^\xi_{y_0}(N)$ and $(L^M N)_\xi$ correspond to the class of smoothness characterized by ξ. Thus these subgroups are closed in their semidirect product.

The loop group $(L^M N)_\xi$ for $dim_{\mathbf{R}} N > 1$ is nondiscrete. To prove that it does not satisfy locally the Campbell-Hausdorff formula it is sufficient to prove it for its subgroup $(L^{M_m} N)_\xi$ with compact submanifold M_m. Suppose that it has a nontrivial local one-parameter subgroup $\{g^b : b \in (-a, a)\}$ with $a > 1$ for an element g corresponding to an equivalence class of a mapping $f : M_m \to N$, $f(s_0) = y_0$, when f is such that $\sup_{y \in N}[card(f^{-1}(y))] = k < \aleph_0$. The condition $a > 1$ is not restrictive, since it is possible to consider arbitrary small neighbourhood of e. The existence of a nontrivial local one-parameter subgroup imply that for each integer $0 \ne p \in \mathbf{Z}$ there exists $g^{1/p}$ in $(L^M_m N)_\xi$ such that $(g^{1/p})^p = g$. This number p may be arbitrary large. The mapping f_p belonging to the class of equivalence corresponding to $g^{1/p}$ has at least one restriction $f_p(s_0) = y_0$, but then wedge product of f_p with itself $|p|$ times and the corresponding equivalence class would give an element h_p in it having at least one point $y \in N$ with $|p| \le card(h_p^{-1}(y))$. On the other hand, $h_p(M) = f(M)$. Then $g^{k/p}$ with relatively prime k and p, $(k, p) = 1$, with p arbitrary large would give in the symmetric neighbourhood $U = U^{-1} \ni g \ne e$, $e \notin U$, elements $g^{k/p}$ in U with mapping representatives $h_{k/p}$ of these classes having arbitrary large amount of distinct points in M_m belonging to $h^{-1}_{k/p}(y)$ for each $y \in f(M) \subset N$ while p tends to the infinity. But $h_1 = f$ has $\sup_{y \in N}[card(h_1^{-1}(y))] = k < \aleph_0$ by the supposition above.

The diameter of M_m as the metric space is positive together with $M_m^{\vee p}$. Since $g \ne e$, then $< h_{k/p} >_\xi = g^{k/p}$ can not converge to g while $k/p \in \mathbf{Q}$ tends to 1. Thus for each neighbourhood V of e in $(L^M N)_\xi$ there exists $e \ne g \in V$ such that g does not belong to any local one-parameter subgroup. In $G := Diff^\xi_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^M N)_\xi$ an element (e, g) does not belong to any local one-parameter subgroup. Moreover, G does not satisfy the Campbell-Hausorff formula.

Let now both manifolds M and N be either complex or quaternion, then the tangent bundle $TC^1(M, N)$ is isomorphic with $C^1(M, TN)$, since M and N are C^∞-manifolds particularly. Considering continuous piecewise complex or quaternion holomorphic mappings f from M into N and the completion of their family by Y^ξ-uniformity, we get, that $TY^\xi(M, N)$ is isomorphic with $Y^\xi(M, TN)$. If $f : M \to N$ then $\psi_j \circ f \circ \psi_k^{-1}$ has domain in the complex or quaternion vector space T_yN, where (V_j, ψ_j) is a chart of $At(N)$, $y \in N$, $V_j \cap V_k \ne \emptyset$. Consider charts $W_k(U) := \{f \in Y^\xi(M, N) : f(U) \subset V_k\}$, where U is open in M, then the transition mapping between $W_k(U)$ and $W_j(U)$ is $\psi_k \circ \psi_j^{-1}$, since from $f \in W_j(U)$ it follows, that $(\psi_j \circ f)(U) \subset \psi_j(V_j) \subset T_yN$. Since $\psi_k \circ \psi_j^{-1}$ is either complex or quaternion holomorphic, then $Y^\xi(M, N)$ is complex or quaternion manifold respectively. Since T_yN is either complex or quaternion vector space, then $T_fY^\xi(M, N)$ is either complex or quaternion vector space respectively for each $f \in Y^\xi(M, N)$. Using constructions above of G from $Y^\xi(M, N)$ and Lemma 2.1.6.2, we get that G has the structure of either complex or quaternion manifold correspondingly.

2.11. Theorem. *A loop group $G := (L^M N)_\xi$ from §§2.1.5, 2.3 and diffeomorphism groups $G := Diff^t_{\beta,\gamma}(M)$ from [43] and $G := Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ from §2.3 and $G := Diff^\xi(M)$ from §2.2 and their semidirect product $G := Diff^\xi_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^M N)_\xi$ have uniform atlases.*

Proof. In view of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 [43] and Theorems 2.1.7, 2.2.1, 2.8 above a diffeomorphism group G and a loop group $G := (L^M N)_\xi$ have uniform atlases (see §2.1) consistent with their topology, where M is a real manifold $1 \le t < \infty$, $0 \le \beta < \infty$, $0 \le \gamma \le \infty$ for a diffeomorphism group $Diff^t_{\beta,\gamma}(M)$ (see [43]). Others parameters are specified in the cited paragraphs. They also include the particular cases of finite dimensional manifolds M and N.

The case of complex compact M for $G := Diff^\infty(M)$ is trivial, since $Diff^\infty(M)$ is the finite dimensional Lie group for such M [36].

In view of Theorems 2.1.7, 2.8, 2.10 and Formulas 2.8.(vi, vii) above and Theorem 3.3 [43] to satisfy conditions $(U1, U2)$ of §2.1.1 it is sufficient to find an atlas $At(G)$ of each such group G, for which U_1 is a neighbourhood of e, U^1_x and U^2_x are for $x = e$ such that $\phi_1(U_1)$ contains a ball of radius $r > 0$. Due to an existence of left-invariant metrics in each such topological group and its paracompactness and separability we can take a locally finite covering $\{U_j : g_j^{-1}U_j \subset U_1 : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$, where $\{g_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a countable subset of pairwise distinct elements of the group, $g_1 = e$. Using uniform continuity of $\tilde{E}$ we can satisfy $(U1, U2)$ with $r > 0$, since the manifolds M for diffeomorphism groups and N for loop groups also have uniform atlases. Choosing U_1 in addition such that $\tilde{E}$ is bounded on U_1U_1 and using left shifts $L_hg := hg$, where h and $g \in G$, $AB := \{c : c = ab, a \in A, b \in B\}$ for $A \cup B \subset G$, and Condition $(U3)$ for M and N we get, that there exist sufficiently small neighbourhoods U_1, U^1_e and U^2_e with $U^2_eU^2_e \subset U^1_e$ and $U^1_x \subset xU^1_e$, $U^2_x \subset xU^2_e$ for each $x \in G$ such that Conditions $(U1 - U3)$ are fulfilled, since uniform atlases exist on the Banach or Hilbert tangent space T_eG.

3 Differentiable transition probabilities on groups.

3.1. Definitions and Notes. Let G be a Hausdorff topological group, we denote by $\mu : Af(G, \mu) \to [0, \infty) \subset \mathbf{R}$ a σ-additive measure. Its left shifts $\mu_\phi(E) := \mu(\phi^{-1} \circ E)$ are considered for each $E \in Af(G, \mu)$, where $Af(G, \mu)$ is the completion of $Bf(G)$ by μ-null sets, $Bf(G)$ is the Borel σ-field on G, $\phi \circ E := \{\phi \circ h : h \in E\}$, $\phi \in G$. Then μ is called quasi-invariant if there exists a dense subgroup G' such that μ_ϕ is equivalent to μ for each $\phi \in G'$. Henceforth, we assume that a quasi-invariance factor $\rho_\mu(\phi, g) = \mu_\phi(dg)/\mu(dg)$ is continuous by $(\phi, g) \in G' \times G$, $\mu(V) > 0$ for some (open) neighbourhood $V \subset G$ of a unit element $e \in G$ and $\mu(G) < \infty$.

Let (M, F) be a space M of measures on $(G, Bf(G))$ with values in $\mathbf{R}$ and G" be a dense subgroup in G such that a topology F on M is compatible with G", that is, $\mu \mapsto \mu_h$ is the homomorphism of (M, F) into itself for each $h \in G$". Let F be the topology of convergence for each $E \in Bf(G)$. Suppose also that G and G" are real Banach manifolds such that the tangent space T_eG" is dense in T_eG, then TG and TG" are also Banach manifolds. Let $\Xi(G")$ denotes a set of all differentiable vector fields X on G", that is, X are sections of the tangent bundle TG". We say that a measure μ is continuously differentiable if there exists its tangent mapping $T_\phi \mu_\phi(E)(X_\phi)$ corresponding to the strong differentiability relative to Banach structures of the manifolds $G"$ and $TG"$. Its differential we denote by $D_\phi \mu_\phi(E)$, hence $D_\phi \mu_\phi(E)(X_\phi)$ is the σ-additive real measure by subsets $E \in Af(G,\mu)$ for each $\phi \in G"$ and $X \in \Xi(G")$ such that $D\mu(E) : TG" \to \mathbf{R}$ is continuous for each $E \in Af(G,\mu)$, where $D_\phi \mu_\phi(E) = pr_2 \circ (T\mu)_\phi(E)$, $pr_2 : p \times \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ is the projection in TN, $p \in N$, $T_pN = \mathbf{F}$, N is another real Banach differentiable manifold modelled on a Banach space $\mathbf{F}$, for a differentiable mapping $V : G" \to N$ by $TV : TG" \to TN$ is denoted the corresponding tangent mapping, $(T\mu)_\phi(E) := T_\phi \mu_\phi(E)$. Then by induction μ is called n times continuously differentiable if $T^{n-1}\mu$ is continuously differentiable such that $T^n\mu := T(T^{n-1}\mu)$, $(D^n\mu)_\phi(E)(X_{1,\phi},...,X_{n,\phi})$ are the σ-additive real measures by $E \in Af(G,\mu)$ for each X_1,...,$X_n \in \Xi(G")$, where $(X_j)_\phi =: X_{j,\phi}$ for each $j = 1,...,n$ and $\phi \in G"$, $D^n\mu : Af(G,\mu) \otimes \Xi(G")^n \to \mathbf{R}$.

3.2. Note. Suppose that in either a $Y^{\Upsilon,b}$-Hilbert or $Y^{\Upsilon,b,d'}$-manifold N modelled on $l_2(\mathbf{K})$ (see §2.1) there exists a dense $Y^{\Upsilon,b'}$- or $Y^{\Upsilon,b',d"}$-Hilbert submanifold N' modelled on $l_{2,\epsilon} = l_{2,\epsilon}(\mathbf{K})$, $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{H}$ (see §2.2.2), where

(1) $a > b > b'$ and $c > d'$ and either

(2) $\infty > \epsilon > 1/2$ and $d' \ge d"$ or

(3) $\infty > \epsilon \ge 0$ and $d' > d"$ (such that either $d'_1 > d"_1$ or $d'_1 = d"_1$ and $d'_2 > d"_2 + 1$) correspondingly.

If a manifold N is finite dimensional let $N' = N$. Evidently, each $Y^{\Upsilon,b}$-manifold is a complex C^∞-manifold. Certainly we suppose, that a class of smoothness of a manifold N' is not less than that of N and classes of smoothness of M and N are not less than that of a given loop group for it as in §2.1.5 and of G' as below. For a chosen loop group $G = (L^MN)_\xi$ let its dense subgroup $G' := (L^MN')_{\xi'}$ be characterized by parameters:

(a) $\xi' = (\Upsilon, a")$ such that $a" > b$ for $\xi = \mathsf{O}$ or $\xi = \mathsf{H}$ and the $Y^{\Upsilon,b}$-manifolds M and N and the $Y^{\Upsilon,b'}$-manifold N';

(b) $\xi' = (\Upsilon, a")$ such that $a > a" > b$ for $\xi = (\Upsilon, a)$;

(c) $\xi' = (\Upsilon, a", c")$ for $\xi = (\Upsilon, a, c)$ and $dim_{\mathbf{K}} M = \infty$ such that $b < a" < a$ and $d' < c" < c$ and either (2) $\infty > \epsilon > 1$ with $d" \le d'$ or (3) $\infty > \epsilon \ge 0$ with $d" < d'$, such that either $d'_1 > d"_1$ or $d'_1 = d"_1$ and $d'_2 > d"_2 + 1$, where M and N are $Y^{\Upsilon,b,d'}$-manifolds, N' is the $Y^{\Upsilon,b',d"}$-manifold, $1 \le dim_{\mathbf{K}} M =: m < \infty$ in the cases $(a-b)$, where either $a_1 > a"_1$ or $a_1 = a"_1$ with $a_2 > a"_2 + 1$, analogously for c and $c"$, b and b' instead of a and a”. For the corresponding pair $G' := (L^M_{\mathbf{R}} N')_{\xi'}$ and $G := (L^M_{\mathbf{R}} N)_{\xi}$ let indices in $(1-3)$ and $(a-c)$ be the same with substitution of $\xi = \mathsf{O}$ on $\xi = (\infty, H)$.

For a diffeomorphism group $Diff^t_{\beta,\gamma}(\tilde{M})$ of a Banach manifold $\tilde{M}$ let M be a dense Hilbert submanifold in $\tilde{M}$ as in [43, 44]. For $Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N)$ and $(L^M N)_{\xi}$ consider in $G := Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^M N)_{\xi}$ a dense subgroup $G' := Diff^{\xi'}_{y_0}(N') \otimes^s (L^M N')_{\xi'}$, where pairs (ξ, ξ') are described above.

3.3. Theorem. *Let G be either a loop group or a diffeomorphism group or their semidirect product for real or complex or quaternion separable metrizable C^{∞}-manifolds M and N, then there exist a Wiener process on G which induces quasi-invariant infinite differentiable measures μ relative to a dense subgroup G'.*

For a given pair (G, G') there exists a family of nonequivalent Wiener processes on G of the cardinality $\mathsf{c} = card(\mathbf{R})$ and a family of the cardinality c of pairwise orthogonal quasi-invariant C^{∞}-differentiable measures on G relative to G'.

Proof. These topological groups also have structures of C^{∞}-manifolds, but they do not satisfy the Campbell-Hausdorff formula (see Theorems 2.1.7, 2.8, 2.10) in any open local subgroup. Their manifold structures and actions of G' on G will be sufficient for the construction of desired measures. Manifolds over $\mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{H}$ naturally have structures of manifolds over $\mathbf{R}$ also.

We take $G = \bar{G}$ and $Y = \bar{Y}$ for each loop group $(L^M N)_{\xi}$ outlined in 3.2.(b, c), for each diffeomorphism group $Diff^{\xi}(M)$ of a complex or quaternion manifold M respectively given above, for each diffeomorphism group $G := Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ for a real manifold M, for corresponding semidirect products of loop and diffeomorphism groups, since such G has a Hilbert manifold structure (see Theorems 2.1.7, 2.8, 2.10). For $\bar{G} := Diff^t_{\beta,\gamma}(\tilde{M})$ there exists a Hilbert dense submanifold M in a Banach manifold $\tilde{M}$ (see §2.6) and a subgroup $G := Di^{\{l\}}_{\{\gamma\},\delta,\eta}(M)$ dense in $\bar{G}$ and a diffeomorphism subgroup G' dense in G (see the proof of Theorem 3.10 [44] and Lemma 2.6.2 above), analogously for loop groups of such classes of smoothness for real manifolds and corresponding semidirect products of these groups. This G' can be chosen as in Lemma 2.7.

For the chosen loop group $G = (L^M N)_{\xi}$ let its dense subgroup $G' := (L^M N')_{\xi'}$ be the same as in §3.2 Cases (b, c). In case 3.2.(a) let $\bar{G} = (L^M N)_{\xi}$ and $G = (L^M N')_{\hat{\xi}}$ with $\hat{\xi} = (\Upsilon, \hat{a})$ such that $\hat{a} > a$”, then G' let be as in

3.2.(a), then also for $G := Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^M N)_{\xi}$ take $G' := Diff^{\xi'}_{y_0}(N') \otimes^s (L^M N')_{\xi'}$.

Then the embedding $J : T_e G' \hookrightarrow T_e G$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt operator, that follows from §2.1 and Lemma 2.7, Theorem 2.10.

On a dense subgroup G' there exists a 1-parameter group $\rho : \mathbf{R} \times G' \to G'$ of diffeomorphisms of G' generated by a C^{∞}-vector field X_{ρ} on G' such that $X_{\rho}(p) = (d\rho(s,p)/ds)|_{s=0}$, where $\rho(s+t,p) = \rho(s, \rho(t,p))$ for each $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$, $\rho(0,p) = p$, $\rho(s,*) : G' \to G'$ is the diffeomorphism for each $s \in \mathbf{R}$ (about ρ see §1.10.8 [34]). Then each measure μ on G and ρ produce a 1-parameter family of measures $\mu_s(W) := \mu(\rho(-s, W))$. Let $\tau_G : TG \to G$ be a tangent bundle on G. Let also $\theta : Z_G \to G$ be a trivial bundle on G with a fibre Z such that $Z_G = Z \times G$. We suppose also, that $L_{1,2}(\theta, \tau_G)$ is an operator bundle with a fibre $L_{1,2}(Z, Y)$, where $Z, Z_1, ..., Z_n$ are Hilbert spaces, $L_{n,2}(Z_1, ..., Z_n; Z)$ is a subspace of a space of all Hilbert-Schmidt n times multilinear operators from $Z_1 \times ... \times Z_n$ into Z (see [6, 62]). Then $L_{n,2}(Z_1, ..., Z_n; Z)$ has the structure of the Hilbert space with the scalar product denoted by

$$\sigma_2(\phi, \psi) := \sum_{j_1, ..., j_n = 1}^{\infty} (\phi(e^{(1)}_{j_1}, ..., e^{(n)}_{j_n}), \psi(e^{(1)}_{j_1}, ..., e^{(n)}_{j_n}))$$

for each pair of its elements ϕ, ψ. It does not depend on a choice of the orthonormal bases $\{e(k)_j : j\}$ in Z_k. Let $\Pi := \tau_G \oplus L_{1,2}(\theta, \tau_G)$ be a Whitney sum of bundles τ and $L_{1,2}(\theta, \tau_G)$. If (U_j, ϕ_j) and (U_l, ϕ_l) are two charts of G with an open non-void intersection $U_j \cap U_l$, then to a connecting mapping $f_{\phi_l, \phi_j} = \phi_l \circ \phi_j^{-1}$ there corresponds a connecting mapping $f_{\phi_l, \phi_j} \times {f'}_{\phi_l, \phi_j}$ for the bundle Π and its charts $U_j \times (Y \oplus L_{1,2}(Z, Y))$ for $j = 1$ or $j = 2$, where f' denotes the strong derivative of f, ${f'}_{\phi_l, \phi_j} : (a^{\phi_j}, A^{\phi_j}) \mapsto ({f'}_{\phi_l, \phi_j} a^{\phi_j}, {f'}_{\phi_l, \phi_j} \circ A^{\phi_j})$, $a^{\phi} \in Y$ and $A^{\phi} \in L_{1,2}(Z, Y)$ for the chart (U, ϕ), ${f'}_{\phi_l, \phi_j} \circ A^{\phi_j} := {f'}_{\phi_l, \phi_j} A^{\phi_j} {f'}^{-1}_{\phi_l, \phi_j}$. Such bundles are called quadratic. Then there exists a new bundle J on G with the same fibre as for Π, but with new connecting mappings: $J(f_{\phi_l, \phi_j}) : (a^{\phi_j}, A^{\phi_j}) \mapsto ({f'}_{\phi_l, \phi_j} a^{\phi_j} + tr({f''}_{\phi_l, \phi_j}(A^{\phi_j}, A^{\phi_j}))/2, {f'}_{\phi_l, \phi_j} \circ A^{\phi_j})$, where $tr(A)$ denotes a trace of an operator A. Then using sheafs one gets the Itô functor $I : I(G) \to G$ from the category of manifolds to the category of quadratic bundles.

For the construction of differentiable measures on the C^{∞}-manifold we shall use the following statement: if $a \in C^{\infty}(TG', TG)$ and $A \in C^{\infty}(TG', L_{1,2}(TG', TG))$ and $a_x \in T_x G$ and $A_x \in L_{1,2}(T_x G', T_x G)$ for each $x \in G'$, each derivative by

$x \in G'$: $a_x^{(k)}$ and $A_x^{(k)}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt mappings into $Y = T_eG$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\sup_{\eta \in G} \|A_\eta(t)A^*_\eta(t)\|^{-1} \le C$, where $C > 0$ is a constant, then the transition probability $P(\tau, x, t, W) := P\{\omega : \xi(t, \omega) = x, \xi(t, \omega) \in W\}$ is continuously stronlgy C^∞-differentiable along vector fields on G', where G' is a dense C^∞-submanifold on a space Y', Y' is a separable real Hilbert space having embedding into Y as a dense linear subspace (see Theorem 3.3 and the Remark after it in Chapter 4 [6] as well as Theorems 4.2.1, 4.3.1 and 5.3.3 [6], Definitions 3.1 above), $W \in \mathsf{F}_t$.

Now let G be a loop or a diffeomorphism group or their semidirect product of the corresponding manifolds over the field $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{H}$. Then G has the manifold structure. If $\exp^N : \tilde{T}N \to N$ is an exponential mapping of the manifold N, then it induces the exponential C^∞-mapping $\tilde{E} : \tilde{T}(L^MN)_\xi \to (L^MN)_\xi$ defined by $\tilde{E}_\eta(v) = \exp^N_\eta \circ v_\eta$ (see Theorems 2.1.3.9, 2.1.7 and 2.10), where $\tilde{T}N$ is a neighbourhood of N in a tangent bundle TN, $\eta \in (L^MN)_\xi =: G$, W_e is a neighbourhood of e in G, $W_\eta = W_e \circ \eta$. At first this mapping is defined on classes of equivalent mappings of the loop monoid $(S^MN)_\xi$ and then on elements of the group, since $\exp^N_{f(x)}$ is defined for each $x \in M$ and $f \in \eta \in (S^MN)_\xi$. The manifolds G and G' are of class C^∞ and the exponential mappings $\tilde{E}$ and $\bar{E}$ for G and G' correspondingly are of class (strongly) C^∞. The analogous connection there exists in the diffeomorphism group of the manifold M satisfying the corresponding conditions (see Theorem 3.3 [43], §2.3 and Theorem 2.8) for which: $\tilde{E}_\eta(v) = \exp_{\eta(x)} \circ v_\eta$ for each $x \in M$ and $\eta \in G$. We can choose the uniform atlases $At_u(G)$ such that Christoffel symbols Γ_η are bounded on each chart (see Theorem 2.11). This mapping $\tilde{E}$ is for G as the manifold and has not relations with its group structure such as given by the Campbell-Hausdorff formula for some Lie group, for example, finite dimensional Lie group. For the case of manifolds M and N over $\mathbf{C}$ we consider G and others appearing manifolds with their structure over $\mathbf{R}$, since $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R} \oplus i\mathbf{R}$ as the Banach space over $\mathbf{R}$.

The exponential mapping $\exp^G : \tilde{T}G \to G$ is defined by the formula $X \mapsto c_X(1)$. The restriction $\exp^G|_{\tilde{T}G \cap T_pG}$ will also be denoted by $\exp^G_p$. Then there is defined the mapping $I(\exp^G) : I(\tilde{T}G) \to I(G)$ such that for each chart (U, ϕ) the mapping $I(\exp^\phi) : Y \oplus L_{1,2}(Z, Y) \to Y \oplus L_{1,2}(Z, Y)$ is given by the following formula:

$$I(\exp^\phi)(a^\phi, A^\phi) = (a^\phi - \quad tr(\Gamma^\phi(A^\phi, A^\phi))/2, A^\phi),$$

where Γ denotes the Christoffel symbol.

Therefore, if $\mathsf{R}_{x,0}(a, A)$ is a germ of diffusion processes at a point $y = 0$ of the tangent space T_xG, then $\tilde{\exp}_x \mathsf{R}_{x,0}(a, A) := \mathsf{R}_x(I(\exp_x)(a, A))$ is a germ of stochastic processes at a point x of the manifold G. The germs $\tilde{\exp}_x \mathsf{R}_{x,0}(a, A)$ are called stochastic differentials and the It$\hat{o}$ bundle is called the bundle of stochastic differentials such that $\mathsf{R}_{x,0}(a, A) =: a_x dt + A_x dw$. A section U of the vector bundle $\Pi = \tau_Y \oplus L_{1,2}(\theta, \tau_Y)$ is called an It$\hat{o}$ field on the manifold G and it defines a field of stochastic differentials $\mathsf{R}_x(I(exp_x)(a, A)) = \tilde{exp}_x(a_x dt + A_x dw)$. A random process ξ has a stochastic differential defined by the It$\hat{o}$ field $\mathsf{U} : d\xi(s, \omega) = \tilde{\exp}_{\xi(s,\omega)} \mathsf{R}(a_{\xi(s,\omega)}, A_{\xi(s,\omega)})$ if the following conditions are satisfied: for $\nu_{\xi(s)}$-almost every $x \in Y$ there exists a neighbourhood V_x of a point x and a diffusion process $\eta_x(t, \omega)$ belonging to the germ $\mathsf{R}_x(I(\exp_x))(a, A)$ such that $P_{s,x}\{\xi(t, \omega) = \eta_x(t, \omega) : \xi(t, \omega) \in V_x, t \ge s\} = 1$ $\nu_{\xi(s)}$-almost everywhere, where $P_{s,x}(S) := P\{S : \xi(s, \omega) = x\}$, S is a P-measurable subset of Ω, $\nu_{\xi(s)}(F) := P\{\omega : \xi(s, \omega) \in F\}$ (see Chapter 4 in [6]).

If $\mathsf{U}(t) = (a(t), A(t))$ is a time dependent It$\hat{o}$ field, then a random process $\xi(t, \omega)$ having for each $t \in [0, T]$ a stochastic differential $d\xi = \exp_{\xi(t,\omega)}(a_{\xi(t,\omega)} dt + A_{\xi(t,\omega)} dw)$ is called a stochastic differential equation on the manifold G, the process $\xi(t, \omega)$ is called its solution (see Chapter VII in [11]). As usually a flow of σ-algebras consistent with the Wiener process $w(t, \omega)$ is a monotone set of σ-algebras F_t such that $w(s, \omega)$ is F_t-measurable for each $0 \le s \le t$ and $w(\tau, \omega) - w(s, \omega)$ is independent from F_t for each $\tau > s \ge t$, where $\mathsf{F}_s \supset \mathsf{F}_t$ for each $0 \le t \le s$.

Then we consider for a manifold G its It$\hat{o}$ bundle for which an It$\hat{o}$ field U has a principal part (a_η, A_η), where $a_\eta \in T_\eta G$ and $A_\eta \in L_{1,2}(H, T_\eta G)$ and $ker(A_\eta) = \{0\}$, $\theta : H_G \to G$ is a trivial bundle with a Hilbert fiber H and $H_G := G \times H$, $L_{1,2}(\theta, \tau_\eta)$ is an operator bundle with a fibre $L_{1,2}(H, T_\eta G)$. To satisfy conditions of the theorem about quasi-invariance and differentiability of a transition probability we choose A also such that $\sup_{\eta \in G} \|A_\eta(t) A^*_\eta(t)\|^{-1} \le C$, where $C > 0$ is a constant. If an operator B is selfadjoint, then $A^\phi_\eta B A^{\phi^*}_\eta$ is also selfadjoint, where $A_\eta(t) =: A^{\phi_j}_\eta(t)$ is on a chart (U_j, ϕ_j). If μ_B is a Gaussian measure on $T_\eta G$ with the correlation operator B, then $\mu_{A^\phi_\eta B A^{\phi^*}_\eta}$ is the Gaussian measure on $X_{1,\eta}$, where B is selfadjoint and $ker(B) = \{0\}$, $A_\eta : T_\eta G \to X_{1,\eta}$, $X_{1,\eta}$ is a Hilbert space. We can take initially μ_B a cylindrical measure on a Hilbert space X' such that $T_\eta G' \subset X' \subset T_\eta G$. If A_η is the

Hilbert-Schmidt operator with $ker(A_\eta)=\{0\}$, then $A^\phi_\eta B A^{\phi *}_\eta$ is the nondegenerate selfadjoint linear operator of trace class and the so called Radonifying operator A^ϕ_η induces the σ-additive measure $\mu_{A^\phi_\eta B A^{\phi *}_\eta}$ in the completion $X'_{1,\eta}$ of X' with respect to the norm $\|x\|_1 := \|A_\eta x\|$ (see §II.2.4 [11], §I.1.1 [67], §II.2.4 [61]). Then using cylinder subsets we get a new Gaussian σ-additive measure on $T_\eta G$, which we denote also by $\mu_{A^\phi_\eta B A^{\phi *}_\eta}$ (see also Theorems I.6.1 and III.1.1 [37]).

If $U_j \cap U_l \ne \emptyset$, then $A^{\phi_l}_\eta(t) = f_{\phi_l,\phi_j}{}' A^{\phi_l}_\eta(t) f_{\phi_l,\phi_j}{}'^{-1}$, hence the correlation operator $A^\phi_\eta B A^{\phi *}_\eta$ is selfadjoint on each chart of G, that produces the Wiener process correctly. Therefore, we can consider a stochastic process $d\xi(t,\omega) = \tilde{E}_{\xi(t,\omega)}[a_{\xi(t,\omega)}dt + A_{\xi(t,\omega)}dw]$, where w is a Wiener process in $T_\eta G$ defined with the help of a nuclear nondegenerate selfadjoint positive definite operator B. The corresponding Gaussian measures $\mu_{tA^\phi_\eta B A^{\phi *}_\eta}$ for $t > 0$ (for the Wiener process) are defined on the Borel σ-algebra of $T_\eta G$ and $\mu_{tA^\phi_\eta B A^{\phi *}_\eta}$ for such Hilbert-Schmidt nondegenerate linear operators A_η with $ker(A_\eta) = \{0\}$ are σ-additive (see Theorem II.2.1 [11]). If the embedding operator $T_\eta G' \hookrightarrow T_\eta G$ is of the Hilbert-Schmidt class, then there exist A_η and B such that $\mu_{tA^\phi_\eta B A^{\phi *}_\eta}$ is the quasi-invariant and C^∞-differentiable measure on $T_\eta G$ relative to shifts on vectors from $T_\eta G'$ (see Theorem 26.2 [67] using Carleman-Fredholm determinant and Chapter IV [11] and §5.3 [74]). Henceforth we impose such conditions on B and A_η for each $\eta \in G'$.

Consider left shifts $L_h : G \to G$ such that $L_h\eta := h \circ \eta$. Let us take $a_e \in T_eG$, $A_e \in L_{1,2}(T_eG', T_eG)$, then we put $a_x = (DL_x)a_e$ and $A_x = (DL_x) \circ A_e$ for each $x \in G$, hence $a_x \in T_eG$ and $A_x \in L_{1,2}(H_x, (DL_x)T_eG)$, where $(DL_x)T_eG = T_xG$ and $T_eG' \subset T_eG$, $H_x := (DL_x)T_eG'$. Operators L_h are (strongly) C^∞-differentiable diffeomorphisms of G such that $D_hL_h : T_\eta G \to T_{h\eta}G$ is correctly defined, since $D_hL_h = h_*$ is the differential of h [13, 14]. In view of the choice of G' in G each covariant derivative $\nabla_{X_1}...\nabla_{X_n}(D_hL_h)Y$ is of class $L_{n+2,2}(TG'^{n+1} \times G', TG)$ for each vector fields $X_1, ..., X_n, Y$ on G' and $h \in G'$, since for each $0 \le l \in \mathbf{Z}$ the embedding of T^lG' into T^lG is of Hilbert-Schmidt class, where $T^0G := G$ (above and in [6] mappings of trace and Hilbert-Schmidt classes were defined for linear mappings on Banach and Hilbert spaces and then for mappings on vector bundles). Take a dense subgroup G' as it was otlined above and consider left shifts L_h for $h \in G'$.

The considered here groups G are separable, hence the minimal σ-algebra generated by all cylindrical subalgebras $f^{-1}(\mathsf{B}_n)$, n=1,2,..., coincides with the σ-algebra B of all Borel subsets of G, where $f : G \to \mathbf{R^n}$ is a continuous function, B_n is the Borel σ-algebra of $\mathbf{R^n}$. Moreover, G is the topological Radon space (see Theorem I.1.2 and Proposition I.1.7 [11]). Let $P(t_0, \psi, t, W) := P(\{\omega : \xi(t_0, \omega) = \psi, \xi(t, \omega) \in W\})$ be a transition probability of a stochastic process ξ for $0 \le t_0 < t$, which is defined on a σ-algebra B of Borel subsets in G, $W \in \mathsf{B}$, since each measure $\mu_{A^\phi_\eta B A^{\phi *}_\eta}$ is defined on the σ-algebra of Borel subsets of $T_\eta G$ (see above).

If G is a manifold with an uniform atlas (see §2.1) such that an Itô field (a, A) and Christoffel symbols are bounded, then there exists a unique up to stochastic equivalence random evolution family $S(t, \tau)$ consistent with the flow of σ-algebras F_t generated by a solution $\xi(t, \omega)$ of the stochastic differential equation $d\xi = \exp_{\xi(t,\omega)}(a_{\xi(t,\omega)}dt + A_{\xi(t,\omega)}dw)$ on G, that is, $\xi(\tau, \omega) = x$, $\xi(t, \omega) = S(t, \tau, \omega)x$ for each $t_0 \le \tau < t < \infty$ (see Theorem 4.2.1 [6]).

On the other hand, $S(t, \tau; gx) = gS(t, \tau; x)$ is the stochastic evolution family of operators for each $0 \le t_0 \le \tau < t$. There exists $\mu(W) := P(t_0, \psi, t, W)$ such that it is a σ-additive quasi-invariant strongly C^∞-differentiable relative to the action of G' by the left shifts L_h on μ measure on G, for example, $t_0 = 0$ and $\psi = e$ with $t_0 < t$, that is, $\mu_h(W) := \mu(h^{-1}W)$ is equivalent to μ and it is strongly infinitely differentiable by $h \in G'$.

The proof in cases $G = \bar{G}$ is thus obtained. In cases $G \subset \bar{G}$ and $G \ne \bar{G}$ the use of the standard procedure of cylinder subsets induce a Weiener process and a transition probability from G on $\bar{G}$ which is quasi-invariant and C^∞-differentiable relative to G' (see aslo [44]). Evidently, considering different (a, A) we see that there exists a family of nonequivalent Wiener processes on G of the cardinality $\mathsf{c} = card(\mathbf{R})$. In view of the Kakutani theorem in [11] there exists a family of the cardinality c of pairwise orthogonal quasi-invariant C^∞-differentiable measures on G relative to G'.

3.4. Note. This proof also shows, that μ is infinitely differentiable relative to each 1-parameter group $\rho : \mathbf{R} \times G' \to G'$ of diffeomorphisms of G' generated by a C^∞-vector field X_ρ on G'.

4 Unitary representations associated with quasi-invariant measures.

4.1.1. Note. A transition probability $P =: \nu$ on G induces strongly continuous unitary regular representation of G' given by the following formula: $T_h^\nu f(g) := (\nu^h(dg)/\nu(dg))^{(1+bi)/2} f(h^{-1}g)$ for $f \in L^2(G,\nu,\mathbf{C}) =: H$, $T_h^\nu \in U(H)$, $U(H)$ denotes the unitary group of the Hilbert space H, where $b \in \mathbf{R}$, $i = (-1)^{1/2}$. For the strong continuity of T_h^ν conditions of the continuity of the mapping $G' \ni h \mapsto \rho_\nu(h,g) \in L^1(G,\nu,\mathbf{C})$ and that ν is the Borel measure are sufficient, where $g \in G$, since ν is the Radon measure (see its definition in Chapter I [11]). On the other hand, the continuity of $\rho_\nu(h,g) = \nu^h(dg)/\nu(dg)$ by h from a Polish group G' into $L^1(G,\nu,\mathbf{C})$ follows from the inclusion $\rho_\nu(h,g) \in L^1(G,\nu,\mathbf{C})$ for each $h \in G'$ and that G' is a topological subgroup of G. In section 3 mostly Polish groups $\bar{G}$ and G' were considered. When $\bar{G}$ was not Polish it was used an embedding into $\bar{G}$ of a Polish subgroup G such that $G' \subset G \subset \bar{G}$ and a measure on G induces a measure on $\bar{G}$ with the help of an algebra of cylindrical subsets. So the considered cases of representations reduce to the case of Polish groups (G,G').

More generally it is possible to consider instead of a topological group G a Polish topological space X on which G' acts jointly continuously: $\phi : (G' \times X) \ni (h,x) \mapsto hx =: \phi(h,x) \in X$, $\phi(e,x) = x$ for each $x \in X$, $\phi(v,\phi(h,x)) = \phi(vh,x)$ for each v and $h \in G'$ and each $x \in X$. If ϕ is a Borel function, then it is jointly continuous [20].

A representation $T : G' \to U(H)$ is called topologically irreducible, if there is not any unitary operator (homeomorphism) S on H and a closed (Hilbert) subspace H' in H such that H' is invariant relative to ST_hS^* for each $h \in G'$, that is, $ST_hS^*(H') \subset H'$.

For a topological space S let S^d denotes the derivative set of S, that is, of all limit points $x \in cl(S \setminus \{x\})$, $x \in S$, where $cl(A)$ denotes the closure of a subset A in S (see §1.3 [18]). A topological space S is called dense in itself if $S \subset S^d$.

A measure ν on X is called ergodic, if for each $U \in Af(X,\nu)$ and $F \in Af(X,\nu)$ with $\nu(U) \times \nu(F) \ne 0$ there exists $h \in G'$ such that $\nu((h \circ E) \cap F) \ne 0$.

4.1.2. Theorem. *Let X be an infinite Polish topological space with a σ-additive σ-finite nonnegative nonzero ergodic Borel measure ν with $supp(\nu) = X$ and quasi-invariant relative to an infinite dense in itself Polish topological group G' acting on X by a Borel function ϕ. If*

(i) $sp_{\mathbf{C}}\{\psi| \quad \psi(g) := (\nu^h(dg)/\nu(dg))^{(1+bi)/2}, h \in G'\}$ is dense in H, where $b \in \mathbf{R}$ is fixed, and

(ii) *for each* $f_{1,j}$ *and* $f_{2,j}$ *in* H, $j = 1, ..., n$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ *and each* $\epsilon > 0$ *there exists* $h \in G'$ *such that* $|(T_h f_{1,j}, f_{2,j})| \le \epsilon |(f_{1,j}, f_{2,j})|$, *when* $|(f_{1,j}, f_{2,j})| > 0$. *Then the regular representation* $T : G' \to U(H)$ *is topologically irreducible.*

Proof. From Condition (i) it follows, that the vector f_0 is cyclic, where $f_0 \in H$ and $f_0(g) = 1$ for each $g \in X$. In view of $card(X) \ge \aleph_0$ and an ergodicity of ν for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there are subsets $U_j \in Bf(X)$ and elements $g_j \in G'$ such that $\nu((g_j U_j) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1,...,j-1,j+1,...,n} U_i)) = 0$ and $\prod_{j=1}^n \nu_j(U_j) > 0$. Together with Condition (ii) this implies, that there is not any finite dimensional G'-invariant subspace H' in H such that $T_h H' \subset H'$ for each $h \in G'$ and $H' \ne \{0\}$. Hence if there is a G'-invariant closed subspace $H' \ne 0$ in H it is isomorphic with the subspace $L^2(V, \nu, \mathbf{C})$, where $V \in Bf(X)$ with $\nu(V) > 0$.

Let A_G denotes a $*$-subalgebra of an algebra $\mathsf{L}(H)$ of bounded linear operators on H generated by the family of unitary operators $\{T_h : h \in G'\}$. In view of the von Neumann double commuter Theorem (see §VI.24.2 [19]) A_G" coincides with the weak and strong operator closures of A_G in $\mathsf{L}(H)$, where $\mathsf{A}_G{}'$ denotes the commuting algebra of A_G and $\mathsf{A}_G" = (\mathsf{A}_G{}')'$.

Each Polish space is Čech-complete. By the Baire category theorem in a Čech-complete space X the union $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$ of a sequence of nowhere dense subsets A_i is a codense subset (see Theorem 3.9.3 [18]). On the other hand, in view of Theorem 5.8 [27] a subgroup of a topological group is discrete if and only if it contains an isolated point. Therefore, we can choose

(i) a probability Radon measure λ on G' such that λ has not any atoms and $supp(\lambda) = G'$. In view of the strong continuity of the regular representation there exists the S. Bochner integral $\int_X T_h f(g) \nu(dg)$ for each $f \in H$, which implies its existence in the weak (B. Pettis) sence. The measures ν and λ are non-negative and bounded, hence $H \subset L^1(X, \nu, \mathbf{C})$ and $L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C}) \subset L^1(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C})$ due to the Cauchy inequality. Therefore, we can apply below the Fubini Theorem (see §II.16.3 [19]). Let $f \in H$, then there exists a countable orthonormal base $\{f^j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ in $H \ominus \mathbf{C}f$. Then for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ the following set $B_n := \{q \in L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C}) : (f^j, f)_H = \int_{G'} q(h)(f^j, T_h f_0)_H \lambda(dh)$ for $j = 0, ..., n\}$ is non-empty, since the vector f_0 is cyclic, where $f^0 := f$. There exists $\infty > R > \|f\|_H$ such that $B_n \cap B^R =: B_n^R$ is non-empty and weakly compact for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, since B^R is weakly compact, where $B^R := \{q \in L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C}) : \|q\| \le R\}$ (see the Alaoglu-Bourbaki Theorem in §(9.3.3) [59]). Therefore, B_n^R is a centered system of closed subsets of B^R, that is, $\cap_{n=1}^m B_n^R \ne \emptyset$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$, hence it has a non-empty intersection, consequently, there exists $q \in L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C})$ such that

$$(ii)\ f(g) = \int_{G'} q(h) T_h f_0(g) \lambda(dh)$$

for ν-a.e. $g \in X$. If $F \in L^\infty(X, \nu, \mathbf{C})$, f_1 and $f_2 \in H$, then there exist q_1 and $q_2 \in L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C})$ satisfying Equation (ii). Therefore,

$$(iii)\ (f_1, Ff_2)_H =: c =$$

$$\int_X \int_{G'} \int_{G'} \bar{q}_1(h_1) q_2(h_2) \rho_\nu^{(1+bi)/2}(h_1, g) \rho_\nu^{(1+bi)/2}(h_2, g) F(g) \lambda(dh_1) \lambda(dh_2) \nu(dg).$$

Let $\xi(h) := \int_X \int_{G'} \int_{G'} \bar{q}_1(h_1) q_2(h_2) \rho_\nu^{(1+bi)/2}(h_1, g) \rho_\nu^{(1+bi)/2}(hh_2, g) \lambda(dh_1) \lambda(dh_2) \nu(dg)$.

Then there exists $\beta(h) \in L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C})$ such that

(iv) $\int_{G'} \beta(h) \xi(h) \lambda(dh) = c$.

To prove this we consider two cases. If $c = 0$ it is sufficient to take β orthogonal to ξ in $L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C})$. Each function $q \in L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C})$ can be written as $q = q^1 - q^2 + iq^3 - iq^4$, where $q^j(h) \ge 0$ for each $h \in G'$ and $j = 1, ..., 4$, hence we obtain the corresponding decomposition for ξ, $\xi = \sum_{j,k} b^{j,k} \xi^{j,k}$, where $\xi^{j,k}$ corresponds to q_1^j and q_2^k, where $b^{j,k} \in \{1, -1, i, -i\}$. If $c \ne 0$ we can choose (j_0, k_0) for which $\xi^{j_0,k_0} \ne 0$ and

(v) β is orthogonal to others $\xi^{j,k}$ with $(j,k) \ne (j_0, k_0)$.

Otherwise, if $\xi^{j,k} = 0$ for each (j,k), then $q_l^j(h) = 0$ for each (l,j) and λ-a.e. $h \in G'$, since

$$\xi(0) = \int_X \nu(dg) (\int_{G'} \bar{q}_1(h_1) \rho_\nu^{(1+bi)/2}(h_1, g) \lambda(dh_1)) (\int_{G'} q_2(h_2) \rho_\nu^{(1+bi)/2}(h_2, g) \lambda(dh_2)) = 0$$

and this implies $c = 0$, which is the contradiction with the assumption $c \ne 0$. Hence there exists β satisfying conditions (iv, v).

Let $a(x) \in L^\infty(X, \nu, \mathbf{C})$, f and $g \in H$, $\beta(h) \in L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C})$. Since $L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C})$ is infinite dimensional, then for each finite family of $a \in \{a_1, ..., a_m\} \subset L^\infty(X, \nu, \mathbf{C})$, $f \in \{f_1, ..., f_m\} \subset H$ there exists $\beta(h) \in L^2(G', \lambda, \mathbf{C})$, $h \in G'$, such that β is orthogonal to $\int_X \bar{f}_s(g)[f_j(h^{-1}g)(\rho_\nu(h,g))^{(1+bi)/2} - f_j(g)]\nu(dg)$ for each $s, j = 1, ..., m$. Hence each operator of multiplication on $a_j(g)$ belongs to A_G”, since due to Formula (iv) and Condition (v) there exists $\beta(h)$ such that

$$(f_s, a_j f_l) = \int_X \int_{G'} \bar{f}_s(g) \beta(h) (\rho_\nu(h,g))^{(1+bi)/2} f_l(h^{-1}g) \lambda(dh) \nu(dg) =$$

$$= \int_X \int_{G'} \bar{f}_s(g)\beta(h)(T_h f_l(g))\lambda(dh)\nu(dg), \ \int_X \bar{f}_s(g)a_j(g)f_l(g)\nu(dg) =$$

$$= \int_X \int_{G'} \bar{f}_s(g)\beta(h)f_l(g)\lambda(dh)\nu(dg) = (f_s, a_j f_l).$$

Hence A_G" contains subalgebra of all operators of multiplication on functions from $L^\infty(X, \nu, \mathbf{C})$. With G' and a Banach algebra A the trivial Banach bundle $\mathsf{B} = \mathsf{A} \times G'$ is associative, in particular let $\mathsf{A} = \mathbf{C}$ (see §VIII.2.7 [19]).

The regular representation T of G' gives rise to a canonical regular H-projection-valued measure $\bar{P}$: $\bar{P}(W)f = Ch_W f$, where $f \in H$, $W \in Bf(X)$, Ch_W is the characteristic function of W. Therefore, $T_h\bar{P}(W) = \bar{P}(h \circ W)T_h$ for each $h \in G'$ and $W \in Bf(X)$, since $\rho_\nu(h, h^{-1} \circ g)\rho_\nu(h, g) = 1 = \rho_\nu(e, g)$ for each $(h, g) \in G' \times X$, $Ch_W(h^{-1} \circ g) = Ch_{h \circ W}(g)$ and $T_h(\bar{P}(W)f(g)) = \rho_\nu(h, g)^{(1+bi)/2}\bar{P}(h \circ W)f(h^{-1} \circ g)$. Thus $< T, \bar{P} >$ is a system of imprimitivity for G' over X, which is denoted T^ν. This means that conditions $SI(i - iii)$ are satisfied:

$SI(i)$ T is a unitary representation of G';

$SI(ii)$ $\bar{P}$ is a regular H-projection-valued Borel measure on X and

$SI(iii)$ $T_h\bar{P}(W) = \bar{P}(h \circ W)T_h$ for all $h \in G'$ and $W \in Bf(X)$.

For each $F \in L^\infty(X, \nu, \mathbf{C})$ let $\bar{\alpha}_F$ be the operator in $\mathsf{L}(H)$ consisting of multiplication by F: $\bar{\alpha}_F(f) = Ff$ for each $f \in H$. The map $F \to \bar{\alpha}_F$ is an isometric $*$-isomorphism of $L^\infty(X, \nu, \mathbf{C})$ into $\mathsf{L}(H)$ (see §VIII.19.2[19]).

If $\bar{p}$ is a projection onto a closed T^ν-stable subspace of H, then $\bar{p}$ commutes with all $\bar{P}(W)$. Hence $\bar{p}$ commutes with multiplication by all $F \in L^\infty(X, \nu, \mathbf{C})$, so by §VIII.19.2 [19] $\bar{p} = \bar{P}(V)$, where $V \in Bf(X)$. Also $\bar{p}$ commutes with all T_h, $h \in G'$, consequently, $(h \circ V) \setminus V$ and $(h^{-1} \circ V) \setminus V$ are ν-null for each $h \in G'$, hence $\nu((h \circ V) \triangle V) = 0$ for all $h \in G'$. In view of ergodicity of ν and Proposition VIII.19.5 [19] either $\nu(V) = 0$ or $\nu(X \setminus V) = 0$, hence either $\bar{p} = 0$ or $\bar{p} = I$, where I is the unit operator. Hence T is the irreducible unitary representation.

4.2. Theorem. *On a loop group or a diffeomorphism group or on their semidirect product G there exists a stochastic process, which generates a quasi-invariant measure μ relative to a dense subgroup G' such that an associated regular unitary representation $T^\mu : G' \to U(L^2(G, \mu, \mathbf{C}))$ is irreducible. The family Ψ of such pairwise nonequivalent irreducible unitary representations has the cardinality $card(\Psi) = card(\mathbf{R})$.*

Proof. From the construction of G' and μ in §3.2 and Theorem 3.3 it follows that, if a function $f \in L^1(G, \mu, \mathbf{C})$ satisfies the following condition

$f^h(g) = f(g)$ $(mod\ \mu)$ by $g \in G$ for each $h \in G'$, then $f(x) = const$ $(mod\ \mu)$, where $f^h(g) := f(hg)$, $g \in G$.

Let $f(g) = Ch_U(g)$ be the characteristic function of a subset U, $U \subset G$, $U \in Af(G, \mu)$, then $f(hg) = 1 \Leftrightarrow g \in h^{-1}U$. If $f^h(g) = f(g)$ is accomplished by $g \in G$ μ-almost everywhere, then $\mu(\{g \in G : f^h(g) \neq f(g)\}) = 0$, that is $\mu((h^{-1}U) \triangle U) = 0$, consequently, the measure μ satisfies the condition (P) from §VIII.19.5 [19], where $A \triangle B := (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ for each $A, B \subset G$. For each subset $E \subset G$ the outer measure is bounded, $\mu^*(E) \le 1$, since $\mu(G) = 1$ and μ is non-negative, consequently, there exists $F \in Bf(G)$ such that $F \supset E$ and $\mu(F) = \mu^*(E)$. This F may be interpreted as the representative of the least upper bound in $Bf(G)$ relative to the latter equality. In view of the Proposition VIII.19.5 [19] the measure μ is ergodic.

In view of Theorems 2.1.7, 2.8, 2.10 the Wiener process on the Hilbert manifold G induces the Wiener process on the Hilbert space T_eG with the help of the manifold exponential mapping. Then the left action L_h of G' on G induces the local left action of G' on a neighbourhood V of 0 in T_eG with $\nu(V) > 0$, where ν is induced by μ. A class of compact subsets approximates from below each measure μ^f, $\mu^f(dg) := |f(g)|\mu(dg)$, where $f \in L^2(G, \mu, \mathbf{C}) =: H$. Due to the Egorov Theorem II.1.11 [19] for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each sequence $f_n(g)$ converging to $f(g)$ for μ-almost every $g \in G$, when $n \to \infty$, there exists a compact subset K in G such that $\mu(G \setminus \mathsf{K}) < \epsilon$ and $f_n(g)$ converges on K uniformly by $g \in \mathsf{K}$, when $n \to \infty$.

In view of Lemma IV.4.8 [61] the set of random variables $\{\phi(B_{t_1}, ..., B_{t_n}) : t_i \in [t_0, T], \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R^n}), n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathsf{F}_T, \mu)$, where $T > t_0$. In accordance with Lemma IV.4.9 [61] the linear span of random variables of the type $\{\exp\{\int_0^T h(t)dB_t(\omega) - \int_0^T h^2(t)dt/2\}$: $h \in L^2[t_0, T]$ (deterministic) $\}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathsf{F}_T, \mu)$, where $T > t_0$. Therefore, in view of Girsanov Theorem 2.1.1 and Theorem 5.4.2 [74] the following space $sp_{\mathbf{C}}\{\psi(g) := (\rho(h, g))^{(1+bi)/2} : h \in G'\} =: Q$ is dense in H, since $\rho_\mu(e, g) = 1$ for each $g \in G$ and $L_h : G \to G$ are diffeomorphisms of the manifold G, $L_h(g) = hg$, where $b \in \mathbf{R}$ is fixed. Finally we get from Theorem 3.3 above that there exists μ, which is ergodic and Conditions (i, ii) of Theorem 4.1.2 are satisfied. Evidently G' and G are infinite and dense in themselves. Hence from Theorem 4.1.2 the statement of this theorem, follows.

In view of Theorems 3.10 and 3.13 [45] a pair of representations T^μ and T^ν generated by quasi-invariant measures μ and ν is equivalent if and only if measures μ and ν are equivalent. Considering different Wiener processes on G, their transition probabilities and using the Kakutani theorem [11] it is possible to construct a family Ψ of pairwise nonequivalent measures and representations such that $card(\Psi) = card(\mathbf{R})$.

4.3. Note. Analogously to §3.3 there can be constructed quasi-invariant and differentiable measures on the manifold M relative to the action of the diffeomorphism group G_M such that $G' \subset G_M$. Then Poisson measures on configuration spaces associated with either G or M can be constructed and producing new unitary representations including irreducible as in [45].

Having a restriction of a transition measure μ from §3.3 on a proper open neighbourhood of e in G it is possible to construct a quasi-invariant σ-finite nonnegative measure m on G such that $m(G) = \infty$ using left shifts L_h on the paracompact G. Analogously such measure can be constructed on the manifold M in the case of the diffeomorphism group using Wiener processes on M. For definite μ in view of Theorems 2.9 [45] and 4.2 the corresponding Poisson measure P_m is ergodic. Therefore, Theorems 3.4, 3.6, 3.9, 3.10, 3.13 and 3.14 [45] also encompass the corresponding class of measures m and P_m arising from the constructed in §3.3 transition measures.

4.4. Theorem. *Let G be an infinite dimensional Lindelöf C^∞-Lie group and G' be its dense subgroup such that relative to their own uniformities G and G' have structures of Banach manifolds with the Hilbert-Schmidt operator of embedding $A : T_eG' \hookrightarrow T_eG$. Suppose that $T : G' \to U(H)$ is a strongly continuous injective unitary representation of G' such that $T(G')$ is a complete uniform subspace in $U(H)$ supplied with strong topology. Then there exists on G a quasi-invariant probability measure μ relative to G'. If T is topologically reducible, then μ is isomorphic to product of measures μ_k on G quasi-invariant relative to G'.*

Proof. Since G and G' are infinite dimensional and Lindelöf, then G and G' have countable bases of neighbourhoods of e relative to their topologies τ and τ' respectively, hence they are mertizable [27]. Since G and G' are Lindelöf and metrizable, then they are separable [18]. Therefore, T_eG and T_eG' are Lindelöf and separable.

In view of Proposition II.1 [60] for the separable Hilbert space H the unitary group endowed with the strong operator topology $U(H)_s$ is the Polish group. Let $U(H)_n$ be the unitary group with the metric induced by the operator norm. In view of the Pickrell's theorem (see §II.2 [60]): if $\pi : U(H)_n \to U(V)_s$ is a continuous representation of $U(H)_n$ on the separable Hilbert space V, then π is also continuous as a homomorphism from $U(H)_s$

into $U(V)_s$. Therefore, if $T : G' \to U(H)_s$ is a continous representation, then there are new representations $\pi \circ T : G' \to U(V)_s$. On the other hand, the unitary representation theory of $U(H)_n$ is the same as that of $U_\infty(H) := U(H) \cap (1 + L_0(H))$, since the group $U_\infty(H)$ is dense in $U(H)_s$, where $L_0(H)$ denotes the Banach space of $\mathbf{R}$-linear compact operators from H into H. If H_1 is an invariant subspace of a representation T, then H_1 is separable, since G' is Lindelöf and separable. That is there exists a unitary operator $S \in U(H)$ such that $\{ST_hS^* : h \in G'\}$ leaves invariant subspaces H_1 and $H \ominus H_1$, since each operator ST_hS^* is unitary. Therefore, there exists a representation ${}_1T : G' \to U(H_1)$, which is strongly continuous and injective. For a Hilbert space H_1, the tangent space $T_eU_\infty(H_1)$ can be supplied with the natural Banach space structure.

The norm topology and strong operator topologies induce the same algebra of Borel subsets of $U(H_1)$, since relative to these topologies $U(H_1)$ is Lindelöf. Consider a rigged Hilbert space $X_+ \hookrightarrow X_0 \hookrightarrow X_-$ with a nondegenerate positive definite nuclear operator of embedding $W : X_+ \hookrightarrow X_-$. Choose $X_0 \subset T_eU(H_1)$ and $W < A^2$. Then take on X_- a Gaussian measure ν induced by a cylindrical Gaussian measure λ_I on X_0 with the unit correlation operator. The unitary group $U_\infty(H_1)$ satisfies the Campbell-Hausdorff formula, hence a measure ν induces a measure ψ on $U_\infty(H_1)$. Consider a space $L_A(H_1)$ of all $\mathbf{R}$-linear operators K from H_1 into H_1 such that KA is a bounded operator and put $\|K\|_A := \|KA\|$, then $L_A(H_1)$ is the Banach space. Then the completion of $U(H_1)$ relative to the uniformity induced by $L_A(H_1)$ gives the uniform space denoted by $\bar{U}(H_1)$. Supply $L_A(H_1)$ by the strong topology with a base of neighbourhoods of zero $W_\epsilon(x_1, ..., x_n) := \{K \in L_A(H_1) : \|KAx_j\|_{H_1} < \epsilon, j = 1, ..., n\}$, where $x_1, ..., x_n \in H_1$, which generates a uniformity. It induces the strong topology $\bar{s}$ in $\bar{U}(H_1)$. Relative to such strong topology we denote it by $\bar{U}(H_1)_{\bar{s}}$.

Using cylindrical subsets generated by projections on finite dimensional subspaces in $T_eU_\infty(H_1)$ with the help of finite dimensional subalgebras $T_eU(n)$ embedded into $T_eU_\infty(H_1)$ induce a measure ψ from $U_\infty(H_1)$ on $\bar{U}(H_1)$, which is the C^∞-manifold. Consider a C^∞-vector field X in $\bar{U}(H_1)_{\bar{s}}$, then it induces a local one-parameter group of diffeomorhisms g^t_X acting from the left on $U(H_1)$ and hence on $\bar{U}(H_1)$ such that $\partial g^t_X/\partial t|_{t=0} = X$, where $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subset \mathbf{R}$, $\epsilon > 0$. On the other hand, $U_\infty(H_1)$ satisfies the Campbell-Hausdorff formula. Thus ψ can be chosen σ-additive and quasi-invariant on the manifold $\bar{U}(H_1)$ relative to the left action of $U(H_1)$ (see [11]).

Since $T(G')$ is complete relative to the strong unifomity inherited from $U(H)_s$, then $T(G')$ is closed in $U(H)_s$ (see about complete uniform spaces in [18]). Thus $A : T_eG' \hookrightarrow T_eG$, $T : G' \to U(H)$ and exponential mappings of G' and G as manifolds induce an embedding of G into $\bar{U}(H)_{\bar{s}}$. Denote images of G' and G in $U(H)_s$ and in $\bar{U}(H)_{\bar{s}}$ under embeddings by the same letters G' and G. There exists a retraction $r : \bar{U}(H_1)_{\bar{s}} \to G$, since G' is closed in $U(H_1)_s$ relative to the topology s in $U(H_1)_s$ induced by the strong operator topology, where $r|_{G'} = id$, $r(U(H_1)) = G'$, $r(\bar{U}(H_1)) = G$, $r : \bar{U}(H_1)_{\bar{s}} \to G$ and $r|_{U(H_1)} : U(H_1)_s \to G'$ are continuous (see about retractions in [30]). Therefore, ν induces a Gaussian σ-additive measure ζ on G, where $\zeta(V) := \psi(r^{-1}(V))$ for each Borel subset V in G. Since G and G' are C^∞-Lie groups, then they have C^∞-manifold structures such that $\exp : \tilde{T}G \to G$ and $\exp : \tilde{T}G' \to G'$ are C^∞-mappings, where $\tilde{T}G$ denotes a neighbourhood of G in TG. Thus $(\exp \circ A \circ \exp^{-1})' - I$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt operator and by Theorem II.4.4 [11] ψ induces a σ-additive quasi-invariant measure ζ on the Borel algebra of G relative to the left action of G', since $T_{h_1}T_{h_2} = T_{h_1h_2}$ for each h_1 and h_2 in G'. Instead of a concrete Gaussian measure it is possible to induce a quasi-invariant measure on $\bar{U}(H_1)$ with the help of a positive definite functional on $T_eU(H_1)$ satisfying the Sazonov theorem.

If T is topologically reducible, then there exists at least two invariant subspaces H_1 and H_2 in H relative to ST_hS^* for each $h \in G'$, where S is a fixed unitary operator. Then a product measure on $\bar{U}(H_1) \times \bar{U}(H_2)$ induces a product measure on G. Since H is separable, then such product can contain only countable product of probability measures. In view of the Kakutani theorem it can be chosen quasi-invariant relative to the left action of G'.

4.5. Proposition. *Consider the semidirect product* $G := Diff^\xi_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^MN)_\xi$ *of a group of diffeomorphisms and a group of loops, where* ξ *is such that* $Y^\xi(M,N) \subset C^\infty(M,N)$. *Then the tangent space* $T_eG =: \mathsf{g}$ *can be supplied with the algebra structure.*

Proof. Since $TC^\infty(M,N) = C^\infty(M,TN)$, then $T_eDiff^\xi(N)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\Sigma(N)$ of Y^ξ-vector fields on N. For the proof consider foliated structure of M with foliated submanifolds M_m in M such that $\bigcup_m M_m$ is dense in M. Consider subgroups and subspaces corresponding to restrictions on M_m and then use completion of the strict inductive limits of subgroups and subalgebars to get general statement.

For each $f \in Y^\xi(M_m, s_{0,m}; N, y_0)$ the Riemannian volume element ν_m in M_m, where $dim_{\mathbf{K}}M_m =: m$, induces due to the Morse theorem natural coordinates in $f(M_m)$ defined almost everywhere in $f(M_m)$ relative to the measure μ_m on $f(M_m)$ such that $\mu_m(V) := \nu_m(f^{-1}(V))$ for each Borel subset V in $f(M_m)$. Let $x_1, ..., x_{km}$ be natural coordinates in $f(M_m)$, where $k = dim_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{H}$. Consider $g \in TY^{\xi}(M_m, s_{0,m}; N, y_0)$, then $\lim_{x\to\bar{1}} pr_2(g(x)) =: z_g \in T_{y_0}N$, where $\bar{1} := (1, ..., 1) \in \mathbf{R^{km}}$, $x_l \in [0, 1]$ for each $l = 1, ..., km$, $pr_2 : TN \to Z$ is the natural projection, where $\{y\} \times Z = T_yN$ for each $y \in N$, Z is the vector space over $\mathbf{K}$. Therefore, $\lim_{x\to\bar{1}} pr_2(g(x)) - x_1...x_{km}z_g = 0$, consequently, $T_{w_0}Y^{\xi}(M_m, s_{0,m}; N, y_0)$ is isomorphic with $Y^{\xi}(M_m, s_{0,m}; T_{y_0}N, y_0 \times 0) \otimes Z$. The latter space has $\mathbf{K}$-vector structure and the wedge combination $g \vee f$ of mappings g and f and the equivalence relation R_{ξ} induce the monoid structure, hence $T_e(S^MN)_{\xi}$ is isomorphic with $(S^MZ)_{\xi} \otimes Z$ and inevitably $T_e(L^MN)_{\xi}$ is isomorphic with $(L^MZ)_{\xi} \otimes Z$ which is the $\mathbf{K}$-vector space and $(f, v) \circ (g, w) := (f \circ g, v + w)$ gives the algebra structure in $T_e(L^MN)_{\xi}$, where $f, g \in (L^MZ)$, $v, w \in Z$. If $X, P \in \Sigma(N)$, then there exists a Lie algebra structure $[X, P]$ in $\Sigma(N)$. For $P \in Y^{\xi}(M_m, TN)$ there exists $\nabla_X P$. Thus $T_e(Diff^{\xi}_{y_0}(N) \otimes^s (L^MN)_{\xi})$ is isomorphic with the semidirect product of algebras $\Sigma_0(N) \otimes^s [(L^MZ) \otimes Z]$, where $\Sigma_0(N)$ is a subalgebra of all $X \in \Sigma(N)$ such that $\pi(X(y_0)) = y_0$, where $\pi : TN \to N$ is the natural projection.

4.6. Definition. We call the algebra g from Proposition 4.5 by the vector field loop algebra. The algebra $T_eDiff^{\xi}(N) =: \mathsf{g}(N)$ is called the algebra of vector fields (in N). The algbera $T_e(L^MN)_{\xi}$ we call the loop algebra.

For $N = S^4$ supplied with the quaternion manifold structure (see §2.1.3.6) consider the semidirect product of groups $Diff^{\mathsf{H}}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathbf{H}$, where $\mathbf{H}$ is considered as the additive group. Then we call $T_e(Diff^{\mathsf{H}}(S^4) \otimes \mathbf{H})_{\mathbf{O}} =: (\mathsf{g}(S^4) \otimes \mathsf{h})_{\mathbf{O}}$ the quaternion Virasoro algebra, where $\mathsf{g}_{\mathbf{O}}$ denotes the octonified algebra g, $\mathbf{O}$ denotes the octonion division algebra (over $\mathbf{R}$).

4.7. Theorem. *Let g' be a vector field loop algebra or an algebra of vector fields or a loop algebra. Then there exists a family Φ of the cardinality $card(\Phi) = card(\mathbf{R})$ of infinite dimensional pairwise nonequivalent representations $\mathsf{t} : \mathsf{g}' \to \mathsf{gl}(H)$, where $\mathsf{gl}(H)$ denotes the general linear algebra of the Hilbert space H over $\mathbf{R}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the category of Lie groups with differentiable morphisms, let also $\mathcal{A}$ be the category of algebras over $\mathbf{R}$. Use the tangent covariant functor $\mathcal{T}$ from the category $\mathcal{L}$ into $\mathcal{A}$ such that for each object $L \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $\mathcal{T}(L) = A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{T} : Mor(L, L') \to Mor(A, A')$ such that $\mathcal{T}(\alpha\alpha') = \mathcal{T}(\alpha)\mathcal{T}(\alpha')$ for each $\alpha \in Mor(L, L')$ and $\alpha' \in Mor(L', L")$, $\mathcal{T}(1_A) = 1_{\mathcal{T}(A)}$.

In particular, for a differentiable unitary representation $\alpha \in Mor(G, U(H))$ we get $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) \in Mor(\mathsf{g}, \mathsf{u}(H))$.

Consider at first a unitary representation of the group G given by Theorem 4.2 such that it is induced by the differentiable measure. Since G has the C^∞-manifold structure, then the operator $L_h : G \to G$ such that $L_h(g) := hg$ for each $h, g \in G$ is strongly differentiable. Relative to a strongly differentiable operator S on a Banach space such that $S' - I$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt operator the Gaussian transition measure transforms in accordance with Theorem II.4.4 [11]. In accordance with Theorem 3.4.3 [6] for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ the solution ξ of the stochastic equation from §3.3 possesses k bounded Fréchet derivatives relative to the action of L_h up to stochastic equivalence of the solution. The exponential mapping exp of G as the manifold is also of class C^∞. The measures considered in Theorem 3.3 are infinite differentiable relative to left shifts L_h of a dense subgroup G' in G. Thus the quasi-invariance factor $\rho_\mu(h, g)$ is also strongly differentiable by $h \in G'$. Therefore, the irreducible unitary representation of G' in $U(H)$ is strongly differentiable and induces the representation $\mathsf{t} : \mathsf{g}' \to \mathsf{u}(H)$, where $\mathsf{g}' = T_e G'$ and $\mathsf{u}(H) = T_e U(H)$. On the other hand, each unitary group $U_\infty(H)$ of a complex separable Hilbert space H is isomorphic with the general linear group $GL_\infty(H_\mathbf{R})$ of compact $\mathbf{R}$-linear operators from $H_\mathbf{R}$ into $H_\mathbf{R}$, where $H_\mathbf{R}$ is the space H considered over $\mathbf{R}$ which is induced by the isomorphism of $\mathbf{C}$ as the $\mathbf{R}$-linear space with $\mathbf{R^2}$. Thus $\mathsf{u}(H)$ is isomorphic with the general $\mathbf{R}$-linear algebra $\mathsf{gl}_\infty(H_\mathbf{R})$ of all compact $\mathbf{R}$-linear operators $w : H_\mathbf{R} \to H_\mathbf{R}$. The group $U_\infty(H)$ is dense in $U(H)_s$, consequently, strongly continuous irreducible representation $T : G' \to U(H)$ induces the irreducible representation $\mathsf{t} : \mathsf{g}' \to \mathsf{gl}(H_\mathbf{R})$, where $\mathsf{gl}(H_\mathbf{R})$ denotes the algebra of all continuous $\mathbf{R}$-linear operators from $H_\mathbf{R}$ into $H_\mathbf{R}$. Since $card(\Psi_0) = card(\mathbf{R})$ in Theorem 4.2 for the subfamily Ψ_0 of Ψ of strongly differentiable unitary representations, hence $card(\Phi) = card(\mathbf{R})$.

4.8. Theorem. *The algebra* $(\mathsf{g}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathsf{h})_\mathbf{O}$ *is the algebra over octonion division algebra* $\mathbf{O}$ *such that there exists an embedding into it of the standard Virasoro algebra of* S^1. *A set of generators of* $(\mathsf{g}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathsf{h})_\mathbf{O}$ *is* $\{\exp(lmz) : m \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{y\}$, *where* $\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{H}l$, l *is the doubling generator of* $\mathbf{O}$ *over* $\mathbf{H}$, $y, z \in \mathbf{H}$.

Proof. Mention that $\mathbf{H}$ is the Abelian Lie group when $\mathbf{H}$ is considered as the additive group. Then to it there corresponds the Lie algebra h over $\mathbf{R}$. The unit sphere S^4 is homeomorphic with the one-point (Alexandroff) compactification of $\mathbf{H}$. There exists the epimorphism $\exp : \mathcal{I}_3 \to$

$S(\mathbf{O},0,1)$, where $\mathcal{I}_3 := \{y \in \mathbf{O} : Re(y) = 0\}$, $S(\mathbf{O},0,1) := \{z \in \mathbf{O} : |z| = 1\}$ (see Corollary 3.5 [48]). Therefore, $\exp(l\mathbf{H})$ is the four dimensional unit sphere S^4 embedded into $S(\mathbf{O},0,1)$. In view of Corollary 3.4 [48] $\exp(z(1 + 2\pi k/|z|)) = \exp(z)$ for each $0 \ne z \in \mathcal{I}_3$, $\exp(0) = 1$. In the $\mathbf{O}$-vector space $C^0(B(l\mathbf{H},0,1),\mathbf{O})$ is dense the subspace of polynomials $P_n(lz) = \sum_{|v|\le n}\{(a_v,(lz)^v)\}_{q(2|v|)}$, where $z \in \mathbf{H}$, $a_{v_k} \in \mathbf{O}$ for each k, $(a_v, x^v) := a_{v_1}x^{v_1}...a_{v_p}x^{v_p}$, $p \in \mathbf{N}$, $v = (v_1,...,v_p)$, $0 \le v_k \in \mathbf{N}$, $|v| := v_1+...+v_p$, $\{b_1...b_p\}_{q(p)}$ denotes the product of octonions $b_1,...,b_p$ in an association order prescribed by the vector $q(p)$, $B(X,z,r) := \{y \in X : \rho(z,y) \le r\}$ denotes the ball in the metric space (X,ρ), $r > 0$, $z \in X$ (see §2.1 [48]). From this it follows, that the $\mathbf{O}$-vector space $C^0(S^4,\mathbf{O})$ is $\mathbf{R}$-linearly isomorphic with the $\mathbf{O}$-vector space of continuous functions $f : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{O}$ periodic in the following manner: $f(z + 2\pi kz/|z|) = f(z)$ for each $0 \ne z \in \mathbf{H}$ and each $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Each function $\exp(lmz)$ has the decomposition into the series $\exp(lmz) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}(lmz)^p/p!$ converging on $\mathbf{H}$, where $z \in \mathbf{H}$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore, the system of equations

(i) $(lz)^k = \sum_m a_{m,k}\exp(lmz)$

is equivalent to $\sum_m a_{m,k}m^p/p! = \delta_{k,p}$ and the latter has the real solution $a_{m,k} \in \mathbf{R}$ for each m, k, where $\delta_{m,k} = 1$ for $m = k$ and $\delta_{m,k} = 0$ for $m \ne k$ is the Kronerek delta. These expansion coefficients $a_{m,k}$ can be expressed in the form:

(ii) $a_{m,k} = \int_B (lz)^k \exp(lmz)\lambda(dz)/\lambda(B)$,

where $\lambda \ne 0$ denotes the measure on $\mathbf{H}$ induced by the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R^4}$, $B := B(\mathbf{H},0,2\pi)$.

Thus $K_m := \exp(lmz)l^*\partial/\partial z$ is the basis of generators of the algebra of vector fields on $S^4 = \exp(l\mathbf{H})$, $z \in \mathbf{H}$, where $\partial/\partial z$ denotes the superdifferentiation by the quaternion variable z. Consider the subgroup $Diff^{\mathsf{H},p}(B)$ of $Diff^{\mathsf{H}}(B)$ consisting of periodic diffeomorphisms $f(z(1 + 2\pi k/|z|)) = f(z)$ for each $0 \ne z \in \mathbf{H}$. Then put

(iii) $c(f,g) := \int_B Ln(f'(g(z)).1)dLn(g'(z).1)$ for each $f, g \in Diff^{\mathsf{H},p}(B)$

and define the semidirect product $Diff^{\mathsf{H},p}(B) \otimes^s \mathbf{H}$ such that

(iv) $(g(z), y_1)(f(z), y_2) := (f(g(z)), y_1 + y_2 + c(f,g))$,

where Ln denotes the logarithmic function for $\mathbf{O}$ (see about Ln in [48]). This induces the semidirect product $Diff^{\mathsf{H}}(S^4)\otimes^s\mathbf{H}$. Therefore, $T_e(Diff^{\mathsf{H}}(S^4)\otimes^s\mathbf{H})_{\mathbf{O}}$ is the algebra over $\mathbf{O}$ denoted by $(\mathsf{g}(S^4)\otimes^s\mathsf{h})_{\mathbf{O}}$ which is the octonification of $T_e(Diff^{\mathsf{H}}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathbf{H})$, that is obtained by extension of scalars (expansion coefficients) from $\mathbf{H}$ to $\mathbf{O}$. In view Formulas $(i - iv)$ $(\mathsf{g}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathsf{h})_{\mathbf{O}}$ has the basis of generators $A_m = K_m + w_m y$, where $w_m \in \mathbf{R}$ is a constant for each $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, $y \in \mathbf{H}$. Thus $[A_m, y] = 0$ for each $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and y belongs to the center of this algebra, $y \in Z((\mathsf{g}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathsf{h})_{\mathbf{O}})$. Let $f(z)$ be a quaternion holomorphic function from $\mathbf{H}$ into $\mathbf{O}$. Then $[K_n, K_m]f(z) = \{(K_n \exp(lmz)) - (K_m \exp(lnz))\}l^* \partial f(z)/\partial z$. On the other hand, $\partial f(z)/\partial z_p = (\partial f(z)/\partial z).i_p$, where $z = \sum_{p=0}^{3} z_p i_p$, $z_p \in \mathbf{R}$, $i_p \in \{1, i, j, k\}$, $\partial f(z)/\partial z$ is generally neither right nor left linear operator in $\mathbf{H}$. It remains to calculate $(K_n \exp(lmz)).i_p$ to verify, that $[A_n, A_m] \in (\mathsf{g}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathsf{h})_{\mathbf{O}}$ and embed into it the Virasoro algebra of S^1, where $i_p \in \{1, i, j, k\}$, $p = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Evidently, $[K_n, K_m].1f(z) := (m-n)K_{m+n}.1f(z)$, where $(\partial/\partial z).1 =: \partial/\partial z_1$. Then $(\partial \exp(lmz)/\partial z).i_p = m(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} ((lmz)^k (li_p))(lmz)^{n-k-1}/n!)$, since $\mathbf{O}$ is power-associative. There are identites: $(lz)(lw) = -w\tilde{z}$, $((lz)(li_p))(lz) = z(i_p \tilde{z})$, $(lz)(li_p) = -i_p \tilde{z}$, $(li_p)(lz) = zi_p$ for each $z, w \in \mathbf{H}$, $p = 0, ..., 3$, where $\tilde{z} = z^*$ is the conjugated quaternion z. Therefore,

$(\partial \exp(lmz)/\partial z).i_p =$
$m(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-|mz|)^{[k/2]+[(n-k-1)/2]} ((lmz)^{k-2[k/2]} (li_p))(lmz)^{n-k-1-2[(n-k-1)/2]}/n!)$,
since $\mathbf{R}$ is the centre of $\mathbf{O}$, where $[a]$ denotes the integer part of $a \in \mathbf{R}$, $[a] \le a$, $p = 1, 2, 3$. Hence

(v) $(\partial \exp(lmz)/\partial z).i_p = m(e^{lmz} + e^{-lmz})((lz)^{-1}(i_p z - ((lz)l^*)i_p))$
$+m(e^{lmz} - e^{-lmz})((lz)^{-1}(zi_p - i_p((lz)l^*)) - ((e^{lmz} - e^{-lmz})(lz)^{-1})((lz)^{-1}(i_p z + ((lz)l^*)i_p))/4$,
where $(lz)^{-1}(i_p z - ((lz)l^*)i_p)$ and $((lz)^{-1}(zi_p - i_p((lz)l^*))$ and $(lz)^{-1}(i_p z + ((lz)l^*)i_p)$ do not depend on $|z|$, $p = 1, 2, 3$. The equations $(lz)^{-1} i_p z = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{lmz} v_m$ and $(lz)^{-1}((lz)l^*)i_p = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{lmz} w_m$ are equivalent to $i_p z = (lz) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (lmz)^n v_m/n!$ and $((lz)l^*)i_p = (lz) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (lmz)^n w_m/n!$ respectively, which evidently has the solutions with expansion coefficients $v_m, w_m \in \mathbf{H} \cup l\mathbf{H}$, since $(lz)^n = (-|lz|^2)^{[n/2]}(lz)^{n-2[n/2]}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $z \in \mathbf{H}$, $p = 1, 2, 3$. Using decomposition of $(e^{lmz} - e^{-lmz})(lz)^{-1}$ into the power series by $(lz)^k$ and Equation (i) we get the expansion $(e^{lmz} - e^{-lmz})(lz)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{m,k} e^{kmz}$, where $b_{m,k}$ are real coefficients. Using $f(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{7} f_p(z) i_p$ with $f_p(z) \in \mathbf{R}$ for each p we get, that

(vi) $[K_n, K_m]f(z) = \sum_{s \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{p=0}^{7} (K_s a_{s,p})(f(z) b_{s,p})$,
where $i_p \in \{1, i, j, k, l, li, lj, lk\}$, $p = 0, ..., 7$, $a_{s,p}$ and $b_{s,p} \in \mathbf{O}$ are octonion constants for each s, p.

The usual Virasoro algebra Vir of S^1 is the complexification of the algebra of vector fields on S^1 centrally extended and it has generators $L_n := Y_n + v_n x$, where $v_n \in \mathbf{R}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $x \in \mathbf{R}$, $Y_n := \exp(in\phi) i^* \partial/\partial\phi$, where ϕ is the polar angle parameter on S^1, $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$, $i = (-1)^{1/2}$. The commutation relations are: $[L_n, L_m] := (m-n)L_{m+n} + s(n^3 - n)\delta_{n,-m}x/12$, $[L_n, x] = 0$ for each $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$, where s is a real constant. Thus Vir has the embedding into $(\mathsf{g}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathsf{h})_{\mathbf{O}}$.

4.9. Corollary. *The algebra* $\mathsf{g}_{\mathbf{O}} := (\mathsf{g}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathsf{h})_{\mathbf{O}}$ *has a family* Φ *of the cardinality* $card(\Phi) = card(\mathbf{R})$ *of infinite dimensional pairwise nonequivalent representations* $\mathsf{t} : \mathsf{g}_{\mathbf{O}} \to \mathsf{gl}(H)_{\mathbf{O}}$.

Proof. There exists a group $G = Diff^{\xi}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathbf{H}$ such that T_eG' has an embedding into T_eG of the Hilbert-Schmidt class, where $G' = Diff^{\mathsf{H}}(S^4) \otimes^s \mathbf{H}$ (see §2.1.5). In view of Theorems 4.2 and 4.7 $\mathsf{g} := T_eG'$ has a family Φ of representations into $T_e\mathsf{u}(H_{\mathbf{R}})$. Each $\mathsf{t} \in \Phi$ has the natural extension on the octonification: $\mathsf{t} : \mathsf{g}_{\mathbf{O}} \to \mathsf{u}(H_{\mathbf{R}})_{\mathbf{O}}$.

References

[1] R. Abraham, J.E. Marsden, T. Ratiu. "Manifolds, Tensor Analysis and Applications" (London: Addison-Wesley, 1983).

[2] K. Adachi, H.R. Cho. "H^p and L^p Extensions of Holomorphic Functions from Subvarieties to Certain Convex Domains", Math. J. Toyama Univ., **20** (1997), 1-13.

[3] S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn, M. Marion, D. Testard. "Noncommutative distribuitions: unitary representations of Gauge groups and algebras" (New York: M. Dekker, 1993).

[4] V.I. Averbukh, O.G. Smolyanov. "The theory of differentiation in linear topological spaces". Usp. Math. Nauk. **22: 6** (1966), 201-260.

[5] A.O. Barut, R. Raczka. "Theory of groups representations and applications" (Warszawa: Polish Scient. Publ., 1977).

[6] Ya. I. Belopolskaya, Yu. L. Dalecky. "Stochastic equations and differential geometry" (Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1989 translated from: Kiev: Vyush. Sckhola, 1989).

[7] S. Bochner, D. Montgomery. "Groups on Analytic Manifolds", Annals of Mathem. **48** (1947), 659-668.

[8] P. Gajer. "Higher Holonomies, Geometric Loop Groups and Smooth Deligne Cohomology". in: "Advances in Geometry". J.-L. Brylinski ed. Progr. Math. V. **172**, P. 195-235 (Birkhaüser, Boston, 1999).

[9] J. Chaumat, A.-M. Chollet. "Classes de Gevrey non Isotropes et Application à Interpolation", Annali della Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa, Ser. IV, **15** (1988), 615-676.

[10] K.T. Chen. "Iterated Integrals of Differential Forms and Loop Space Homology", Ann. Math. Ser. 2, **97**(1973), 217-246.

[11] Yu. L. Dalecky, S.V. Fomin. "Measures and differential equations in infinite dimensional space" (Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1991).

[12] Yu.L. Dalecky, Ya.I. Schnaiderman. "Diffusion and quasi-invariant measures on infinite dimensional Lie groups". Funct. Anal. Appl. **3: 2** (1969), 88-90.

[13] D.G. Ebin, J. Marsden. "Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of incompressible fluid". Ann. of Math. **92** (1970), 102-163.

[14] J. Eichhorn. "The manifold structure of maps between open manifolds". Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **3** (1993), 253-300.

[15] H.I. Eliasson. "Geometry of manifolds of maps". J. Differ. Geom. **1** (1967), 169-194.

[16] K.D. Elworthy, Y. Le Jan, X.-M. Li. "Integration by parts formulae for degenerate diffusion measures on path spaces and diffeomorphism groups". C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Sér. 1. **323** (1996), 921-926.

[17] G. Emch. "Mèchanique quantique quaternionienne et Relativitè restreinte". Helv. Phys. Acta **36**, 739-788 (1963).

[18] R. Engelking. "General topology" (Moscow: Mir, 1986).

[19] J.M.G. Fell, R.S. Doran. "Representations of $*$-algebras, locally compact groups and Banach algebraic $*$-bundles" (Boston: Acad. Press, 1988).

[20] F. Fidaleo. "Continuity of Borel actions of Polish groups on standard measure algebras". Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena. **48** (2000), 79-89.

[21] P. Flaschel, W. Klingenberg. "Riemannsche Hilbermannigfaltigkeiten. Periodische Geodätische" (Springer, Berlin, 1972).

[22] P. Gajer. "Higher holonomies, geometric loop groups and smooth Deligne cohomology". In: "Advances in geometry". Progr. in Math. **172**, 195-235 (Boston, Birkhäuser, 1999).

[23] F. Gürsey, C.-H. Tze. "On the role of division, Jordan and related algebras in particle physics" (World Scientific Publ. Co.: Singapore, 1996).

[24] W.R. Hamilton. "Selected papers. Optics. Dynamics. Quaternions" (Nauka: Moscow, 1994).

[25] M. Heins. "Selected Topics in the Classical Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable" (Holt, Rinehard and Winston, New York, 1962).

[26] G. Henkin, J. Leiterer. "Theory of Functions on Complex Manifolds" (Birkhauser, Basel, 1984).

[27] E. Hewitt, A. Ross. "Abstract harmonic analysis" (Berlin: Springer, 1979).

[28] P.-C. Hu, C.-C. Yang, "Applications of Volume Forms to Holomorphic Mappings", Complex Variab. **30** (1996), 153-168.

[29] C. Hummel. "Gromov's Compactness Theorem for Pseudo-Holomorphic Curves", Ser. Progr. in Math. v. **151** (Bikhäuser, Basel, 1997).

[30] J.R. Isbell. "Uniform neighborhood retracts". Pacif. J. Mathem. **11** (1961), 609-648.

[31] C.J. Isham. "Topological and global aspects of quantum theory". In: "Relativity, groups and topology.II" 1059-1290, (Les Hauches, 1983). Editors: R. Stora, B.S. De Witt (Amsterdam, Elsevier Sci. Publ., 1984).

[32] J.D.C. Jones, R. Léandre "A stochastic approach to the Dirac operator over the free loop space". Proceed. of the Steklov Math. Inst. **217** (1997), 253-282.

[33] I.L. Kantor, A.S. Solodovnikov. "Hypercomplex numbers" (Berlin: Springer, 1989).

[34] W. Klingenberg. "Riemannian geometry" (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1982).

[35] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu. "Foundations of Differential Geometry" v. **1** and v. **2** (Interscience, New York, 1963).

[36] S. Kobayashi. "Transformation groups in differential geometry" (Berlin: Springer, 1972).

[37] H.-H. Kuo. "Gaussian measures in Banach spaces". Lect. Notes in Math. **463** (Berlin: Springer, 1975).

[38] S. Lang. "Differential manifolds" (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985).

[39] S. Lang. "Algebra" (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1965).

[40] H.B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelson. "Spin geometry" (Princeton: Princ. Univ. Press, 1989).

[41] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-invariant measures on loop groups of Riemann manifolds", Dokl. Akad. Nauk **370: 3** (2000), 306-308.

[42] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Properties of quasi-invariant measures on topological groups and associated algebras". Annales Mathem. B. Pascal. **6: 1** (1999), 33-45.

[43] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Irreducible unitary representations of a diffeomorphisms group of an infinite dimensional real manifold", Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste **30** (1998), 21-43.

[44] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-invariant measures on a group of diffeomorphisms of an infinite dimensional real manifold and induced irreducible unitary representations". Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste **31** (1999), 101-134.

[45] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Poisson measures for topological groups and their representations". Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics. **25** (2002), 653-680. (shortly in Russ. Math. Surv. **56: 1** (2001), 169-170; previous versions: **IHES/M/98/88**, 38 pages, also Los Alamos Nat. Lab. **math.RT/9910110**).

[46] S.V. Ludkovsky. J. Mathem. Sciences. "Functions of several quaternion variables and quaternion manifolds", 30 pages, to appear (previous variant: Los Alamos Nat. Lab. **math.CV/0302011**).

[47] S.V. Ludkovsky, F. van Oystaeyen. Bull. Sci. Math. (Paris). Ser. 2. "Differentiable functions of quaternion variables", **127** (2003), 755-796.

[48] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Differentiable functions of Cayley-Dickson numbers". Los Alam. Nat. Lab. **math.CV/0405471**, May 2004, 62 pages.

[49] P. Malliavin. "Stochastic analysis" (Berlin: Springer, 1997).

[50] M.B. Mensky. "The paths group. Measurement. Fields. Particles" (Moscow, Nauka, 1983).

[51] V.P. Mihailov. "Differential equations in partial derivatives" (Moscow: Nauka, 1976).

[52] P.W. Michor. "Manifolds of Differentiable Mappings" (Shiva, Boston, 1980).

[53] G. Moretti. "Functions of a Complex Variable" (Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964).

[54] A.S. Mshimba, "On the Riemann Boundary Value Problem for Holomorphic Functions in the Sobolev Space $W_{1,p}(D)$", Complex Variab. **14** (1990), 237-242.

[55] A.S. Mshimba, "The Riemann Boundary Value Problem for Nonlinear Systems in Sobolev Space $W_{1,p}(D)$", Complex Variab. **14** (1990), 243-249.

[56] N. Murakoshi, K. Sekigawa, A. Yamada. "Integrability of almost quaternionic manifolds". Indian J. Mathem. **42: 3**, 313-329 (2000).

[57] H. Omori. "Groups of diffeomorphisms and their subgroups". Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **179** (1973), 85-122.

[58] M.A. Naimark. "Normed algebras" (Groningen: Wolters Noordhoff Publ., 1972).

[59] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein. "Topological vector spaces". N.Y.: Marcel-Dekker Inc., 1985.

[60] K.-H. Neeb. "On a theorem of S. Banach". J. of Lie Theory. **8** (1997), 293-300.

[61] B. Øksendal. "Stochastic differential equations" (Berlin: Springer, 1995).

[62] A. Pietsch. "Nukleare Lokalkonvexe Räume" (Berlin: Akadeimie-Verlag, 1965).

[63] L.S. Pontrjagin. "Continuous groups" (Moscow: Nauka, 1984).

[64] J. Riordan. "Combinatorial identities" (New York: John Wiley, 1968).

[65] G. Sansone, J. Gerretsen. "Lectures on the Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable" v. II (Wolters Noordhoff, Groningen, 1969).

[66] R.T. Seeley. "Extensions of C^∞ Functions Defined in a Half Space", Proceed. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 625-626.

[67] A.V. Skorohod. "Integration in Hilbert space" (Springer, Berlin, 1974).

[68] H. Schaefer. "Topological vector spaces" (Moscow, Mir: 1971).

[69] E.T. Shavgulidze. "On a measure that is quasi-invariant relative to the action of a group of diffeomorphisms of a finite dimensional manifolds". Soviet Math. Dokl. **38** (1989), 622-625.

[70] R.C. Swan. "The Grothendieck Ring of a Finite Group", Topology **2** (1963), 85-110.

[71] R.M. Switzer. "Algebraic Topology - Homotopy and Homology" (Springer, Berlin, 1975).

[72] J.C. Tougeron. "Ideaux de Fonctions Differentiables" (Springer, Berlin, 1972).

[73] H. Triebel. "Interpolation theory. Function spaces. Differentiable operators" (Berlin: Deutsche Verlag, 1978).

[74] A.S. *Ü*st*ü*nel, M. Zakai. "Transformation of measure on Wiener space" (Berlin: Springer, 2000).

[75] K. Yano, M. Ako. "An affine connection in almost quaternion manifolds". J. Differ. Geom. **3** (1973), 341-347.

Address: Mathematical Department, Brussels University, V.U.B.,
Pleinlaan 2, Brussels 1050, Belgium